

**National Association for Information Destruction, Inc.**

3420 East Shea Blvd., Suite 120, Phoenix, Arizona 85028

Phone: (602)788-6243 Facsimile: (602)788-4144

Email: cxedir@naidonline.org Website: www.naidonline.org

July 23, 2004

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
250 E Street, S.W.
Public Reference Room, Mail Stop 1-5
Washington, DC 20219

Jennifer J. Johnson, Secretary
Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
20th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20551

Robert E. Feldman, Executive Secretary
Attention: Comments, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
550 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, R. C. 20429

Regulation Comments, Chief Counsel's Office
Office of Thrift Supervision
1700 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20552
Attention: No. 2004-26

RE: **FACT** Act Disposal Rule,
OCC Docket No. **04-13**
Board Docket No. **R-1199**
FDIC RIN No. **3064-AC77**
OTS No. **2004-26**

To the Banking Agencies:

The National Association for Information Destruction, Inc. ("NAID") submits these comments on the regulations proposed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Federal Reserve System, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and Office of Thrift Supervision ("Banking Agencies") entitled, *Proper Disposal of Consumer Information Under the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003*.¹

¹ 69 Fed. Reg. 31913 (June 8, 2004) (to be codified at 12 C.F.R.pts. 30, 41, 208, 211, 222, 225, 334, 364, 568, 570, 571).

Introduction

Identity theft is a serious crime that imposes enormous costs on **society**. Tens of millions of Americans have been victims of identity theft, costing consumers and businesses tens of billions of dollars? As President **Bush** recently stressed,

The crime of identity theft undermines the basic trust **on** which our economy depends. When a **person takes** out **an** insurance policy [for example], he or she must have confidence that personal financial information **will** be protected **and** treated with **care**. Identity **theft harms** not **only** its direct victims, **but** also many businesses and customers **whose** confidence is shaken. Like other forms of stealing, identity theft leaves the victim poor and feeling terribly violated.

But the losses are not measured **only** in dollars. **Any** identity [thief] can steal **the** victim's financial reputation. . . . Repairing the damage can **take** months or **years**.³

Numerous identity theft crimes **are** committed by so-called "dumpster divers" who uncover sensitive financial information after it **has been** disposed. Once there is access to enough of this information, "the scope of fraud is limited **only** by the criminal **imagination**."⁴

One of the most efficient **and** effective ways to prevent identity theft is to ensure the proper disposal of confidential information at the point when documents are **discarded** in the normal course of business. It makes far greater sense to adopt a strong rule that prevents these "dumpster divers" and other criminals **from** accessing information, **than** waiting until after massive **losses** have occurred and attempting (often unsuccessfully) to **find** and prosecute the perpetrators after the fact.

NAID is **the** international, non-profit trade association of the information destruction industry. **NAID's** members include individuals as well **as** large **and** small businesses that provide information destruction services, **We** are on the front lines of the information disposal work that **is** addressed by this **rule and** we urge the Banking Agencies to bolster this rule in several respects in order to ensure that the rule is effective in preventing identity theft **and** that it cannot **be** easily circumvented. In particular, these

² Synovate/FTC, *Identity Theft Survey Report 6-7*, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2003/09/synovatoreport.pdf> (Sept. 2003); **see also Report: Overview of the Identity Theft Program (Oct. 1998–Sept. 2003)**, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2003/09/timelinereport.pdf> (Sept. 2003).

³ Remarks by the President at Signing of Identity Theft Penalty Enhancement Act, at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2004/07/20040715.html> (July 15, 2004).

⁴ Deputy Attorney General James B. Comey, *Ask the White House*, at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/ask/20040715.html> (July 15, 2004).

comments begin **with** a proposal **for** a clear disposal standard. Second, we suggest that the Banking Agencies **add definitions** for “dispose” or “disposal,” add a definition for the phrase, “derived from,” **and** clarify the phrase “about an individual” within the definition of “consumer information.” Third, we recommend **a** more fulsome explanation **of** the responsibilities **of third-party** record custodians.

I. Disposal Standard

A. Proposed Standard

The Banking Agencies’ supplementary information preceding the proposed rule states that “**an** institution’s information security program should ensure that paper records containing either customer or consumer information **should** be rendered *unreadable as* indicated by the institution’s *risk* assessment, such as by *shredding* or **any** other means.”⁵ NAID urges the Banking Agencies to include **this** important language in the text of the rule itself so that the covered institutions will operate under a clear and enforceable standard. Additionally, we recommend that the **Banking** Agencies specify in the rule that this standard of rendering information unreadable applies to electronic documents, **in** addition to paper records.

This standard will achieve Congress’ goal of reducing the incidence **of** identity theft resulting **from** improper disposal of records without imposing unreasonable burdens in the process. Without this clarification, the rule would fail to provide **a** clear standard with respect to the central issue presented **and** might invite controversy **as to** whether it remains **permissible**, at least in some cases, merely to throw consumer information into the trash without ensuring its destruction.

Furthermore, the **Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003** (“the **FACT Act**”)⁶ requires the **Banking** Agencies to “consult and coordinate with each other such agency [issuing disposal regulations] so that, to the extent possible, the regulations prescribed by each **such** agency are *consistent and comparable with* the regulations by each such other **agency**.”⁷ The Federal Trade Commission’s (“**FTC**’s”) proposed disposal **rule** requires covered entities to **take** “reasonable measures” to protect consumer information. Examples **of** reasonable measures include “[i]mplementing **and** monitoring compliance **with** policies and procedures that require the burning, pulverizing, or shredding **of** papers” **and** “the destruction or erasure **of** electronic media containing consumer information so that the information cannot practicably be read or

⁵ 69 Fed. Reg. at 31916 (emphasis added).

⁶ Pub. L. No. 108-159 (2003). The FACT Act amends the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*

⁷ **FCRA** § 628(a)(2)(A) (emphasis added).

reconstructed.”⁸ We recommend that the Banking Agencies adopt a clear destruction standard that requires shredding and other safe destruction practices to dispose of consumer information, a category of documents which requires special treatment in Congress’ Estimation. In this way, as required by the FACT Act, the regulations of the Banking Agencies will be consistent and comparable with the FTC’s regulations.

B. Role of FFIEC Guidelines

The proposed rule references the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (“FFIEC”) Handbook,⁹ which describes the methods by which financial institutions should handle their sensitive information. These hortatory measures provide helpful information about designing and implementing effective information security policies and procedures. In order to prevent identity theft by imposing strong and clear requirements, NAID recommends that the Banking Agencies’ final rule require covered institutions to follow the instructions set forth in this handbook.

C. Practical Advice for Compliance with the Standard

NAID recommends a new provision that will increase the effectiveness of the rule in preventing identity theft and provide clear guidance to covered entities that seek certainty regarding their compliance. The Banking Agencies’ rule should expressly advise record owners to adopt a policy of shredding all documents that could possibly contain consumer information. This practical advice is especially important when it is not clear what sensitive information is derived from consumer reports. At a minimum, NAID encourages the Banking Agencies to disseminate this advice during its business education campaign associated with the promulgation of these regulations.

II. Definitions

A. Add Definition of “Dispose” or “Disposal”

For the sake of clarity, we suggest that the Banking Agencies define the terms “dispose” or “disposal” within the rule. Similar to the FTC’s proposed rule,” NAID recommends the following language:

As used in this part, “disposing” or “disposal” includes: (1) the discarding or abandonment of consumer information, or (2) the sale, donation, transfer, or discarding of any medium, including computer equipment, upon which consumer information is stored.

⁸ FTC Proposed Rule § 682.3(a), (b)(1)-(2), 69 Fed. Reg. 21388,21392 (Apr. 20, 2004) (to be codified at 16 C.F.R.pt. 682).

⁹ 69 Fed. Reg. at 31916.

¹⁰ FTC Proposed Rule § 682.1(c), 69 Fed. Reg. at 21392.

B. Information Derived from Consumer Reports

The Banking Agencies' supplementary information recognizes that "the phrase 'derived from consumer reports' covers all of the information about a consumer that is taken from a consumer report, including information that results *in whole or in part from* manipulation of information from a consumer report **or** information **from** a consumer report that **has** been *combined with other types of information.*"¹¹ NAID recommends that the Banking Agencies **add** this definition to the **text** of the rule. This clarification **will** foster compliance under the rule, and promote the purpose of the rule by preventing identity theft.

C. Records About Individuals

The proposed regulations limit application of **the** disposal requirement to records "about **an individual.**"¹² NAID is concerned, **however**, that **a portion** of the commentary on the proposed rules might generate some confusion regarding the breadth of **the rules.** In particular, the commentary states that information that "does **not** identify a particular consumer would not be covered under the **proposal.**"¹³ Presumably, this comment is not intended to suggest that **the** information must actually include the name of the consumer — as opposed to other information that might be associated with **a particular individual**, such **as** a social security number, bank account number, address, phone number, or credit card number. Nonetheless, to avoid any confusion, **and** to ensure that the commentary is consistent **with the** text of the proposed **rule** itself, NAID recommends that the Banking Agencies clarify that any consumer information, or compilation of consumer information, that includes information about a particular individual (as opposed, for example, to aggregate data) falls within the scope of the proposed rules. In this respect, the commentary might simply follow **the** language of the proposed rules themselves, which **adopt** this approach **and**, in any event, **will** constitute the legally-operative provisions.

III. Custodian Liability

Outsourcing by financial institutions of record storage **and** disposal functions raises special concerns, including the risk that records transferred overseas by storage and **disposal** companies might be compromised. The FFIEC handbook provides some guidance by recognizing that "[m]anagement is responsible for ensuring institution **and** customer data is protected, even when that data is transmitted, processed or stored by a service **provider.**"¹⁴ The Banking Agencies' Guidelines for Safeguarding Member Information ("Guidelines") also mandate that the covered entities "[r]equire [their]

¹¹ 69 Fed. Reg. at 31915.

¹² *Id.*

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ See FFIEC Information Security Booklet at 81, at http://www.ffiec.gov/ffiecinfobase/booklets/information_security/information_security.pdf (Dec. 2002).

service providers by contract to implement appropriate measures designed to meet the objectives of these Guidelines.”¹⁵ The proposed disposal rule, in turn, amends the objectives articulated in the Guidelines to include the objective of “[e]nsur[ing] the proper disposal of consumer information in a manner consistent with the disposal of customer information.”¹⁶

In general, the rule should clarify that financial institutions bear responsibility for proper disposal of consumer information – even when they make use of service providers. Thus, the rules should require that financial institutions contractually require their service providers to abide by the procedures established by the final disposal regulations.

Notwithstanding this approach, in some instances third parties will offer document disposal services. Financial institutions should be permitted to transfer their responsibility to assure proper disposal of consumer information to such entities only when those entities affirmatively accept the responsibility and thus subject themselves to the jurisdiction of the appropriate federal regulator and its disposal rules, such as the Federal Trade Commission and its disposal rules in the case of non-bank service companies. Nonetheless, service providers should not be obligated to make independent determinations about whether the documents in their custody constitute consumer information. Any contrary rule that required service providers to evaluate the contents of a financial institution’s documents would be costly and counter-productive. Clearly, the financial institutions themselves are in the best position to determine whether their records contain consumer information. Accordingly, we suggest the following additional language to govern the use of third party disposal companies:

Financial institutions are liable under these rules for proper disposal of consumer information unless and until: (A) They enter a contract with a third party, including garbage collectors, recyclers, and records management and storage companies, pursuant to 12 C.F.R. § 30, App. B § III(D)(2), 12 C.F.R. § 225, App. F § III(D)(2), 12 C.F.R. § 364, App. B § III(D)(2), or 12 C.F.R. § 570, App. B § III(D)(2); and (B) They notify the third party that transferred documents contain consumer information.

This modification would close any potential loopholes by requiring record owner financial institutions to arrange for the proper disposal of consumer information and by requiring third parties who carry out this work to comply with the requisite standards.

* * * * *

¹⁵ 12 C.F.R. § 30, App. B § III(D)(2); 12 C.F.R. § 225, App. F § III(D)(2); 12 C.F.R. § 364, App. B § III(D)(2); 12 C.F.R. § 570, App. B § III(D)(2).

¹⁶ 69 Fed. Reg. at 31922.

We respectfully request that the Banking Agencies consider our proposed clarifications and modifications, which we believe will further serve the laudable goal of minimizing identity theft in an efficient and effective manner.

Respectfully submitted,



John Bauknight IV, President



Robert Johnson, Executive Director