

Proposal: 1818(AG67) Debit Card Interchange Fees and Routing

Description:

---

Comment ID: 155027

From: Andy Baker

Proposal: 1818(AG67) Debit Card Interchange Fees and Routing

Subject: 1818(AG67) Debit Card Interchange Fees and Routing

---

Comments:

NONCONFIDENTIAL // EXTERNAL

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System  
20th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20551

I'm writing to ask that the Federal Reserve not propose any changes to Regulation II, which regulates the interchange that banks can receive as compensation for processing debit transactions. My message is simple: debit card thresholds don't work, and proposals based on them will only continue the pretense that debit card interchange controls can be designed in a way that protects consumers. Unfortunately, and inconsistent with the Administration's stated position on fees, the predictable result of the merchants' demands will be higher fees paid by consumers. Regulation II has been costly for banks of all sizes and cuts to the core of our ability to offer affordable checking account products. Its routing provision, recently made more burdensome and costly by the Federal Reserve's card-not-present rule, directly impacts the revenue and fraud costs of every debit card issuer. The price caps applicable to many issuers not only further reduce their ability to offer affordable products to consumers, but also drive down net interchange for smaller issuers who are supposedly "exempt" from the price caps. Study after study has shown that Regulation II has been a wealth transfer from consumers to large merchants, primarily by increasing fees for checking accounts.

Recently, merchants have been given preference in regulator policies on debit cards and there has been a lack of focus on updating data collections regarding debit issuer costs, leading to outdated data sets that are not suitable for rulemaking. Rising and new incremental costs at all issuers, some driven by regulatory changes, have been ignored. The new routing rule, despite imposing high costs and enabling fraud cost manipulation by payments facilitators, was enacted while waving away financial industry concerns and giving full credit to the claims made by merchants and core processors. These incorrect assumptions permeate the data that the Federal Reserve collects on debit card processing. The banking industry unjustly continues to absorb the majority of the losses from debit card fraud which takes place at the merchants POS of which the Bank has no control. So, please consider something meaningful like requiring a PIN number for all debit card transactions and/or require merchants to share in the loss.

I urge the Federal Reserve to recognize that it does not possess accurate data about the real-world experience of debit card issuers in the post-CNP rule world. Any efforts undertaken now to change Regulation II will be based on nothing more than guesses about key factors. For that reason, the Federal Reserve should postpone its October 25, 2023, agenda item on Regulation II and instead undertake research, informed by industry expertise.

Sincerely,

Andy Baker