# **PUBLIC DISCLOSURE**

March 22, 2004

# COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Old Second Bank - Yorkville RSSD# 289542

102 E. Van Emmon Street Yorkville, Illinois 60560

Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago

230 South LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60604-1413

NOTE:

This document is an evaluation of this institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operation of the institution. This evaluation is not, nor should it be construed as, an assessment of the financial condition of this institution. The rating assigned to this institution does not represent an analysis, conclusion or opinion of the federal financial supervisory agency concerning the safety and soundness of this financial institution.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Institution Rating
Institution's CRA Rating
Table of Performance Test Ratings
Summary of Major Factors Supporting Rating
Institution
Description of Institution
Scope of Examination
Conclusions With Respect to Performance Tests
Full Review Assessment Area Conclusions
Assessment Area Name
Assessment Area Name
CRA Appendices
CRA Appendix A: Scope of Examination
CRA Appendix B: Glossary
CRA Appendix C: Core CRA Tables
<del></del>

# **INSTITUTION'S RATING**

INSTITUTION'S CRA RATING: Old Second Bank – Yorkville is rated "SATISFACTORY."

The following table indicates the performance level of <u>Old Second Bank</u> – Yorkville with respect to the lending, investment, and service tests.

PERFORMANCE	OLD SECOND BANK - Yorkville PERFORMANCE TESTS									
LEVELS										
	Lending Test*	Investment Test	Service Test							
Outstanding										
High Satisfactory										
Low Satisfactory	X	X	X							
Needs to Improve										
Substantial Noncompliance										

<sup>\*</sup>The lending test is weighted more heavily than the investment and service tests in determining the overall rating.

The major factors supporting the institution's rating include:

# **Lending Test**

- Lending levels reflect good responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area.
- The bank originated an adequate level of residential real estate, small business, and small farm loans within its assessment area.
- The geographic distribution of real estate, small business, and small farm loans reflects satisfactory penetration throughout the assessment area.
- Borrowers distribution reflects an adequate level of penetration among customers of different income levels.

- Lending distribution among businesses and farms of different revenue sizes reflects good penetration among small businesses and small farms with revenues equal to or less than \$1 million.
- The distribution by loan amounts reflects an adequate level of small business and small farm loans originated in amounts equal to or less than \$100,000.
- The bank makes limited use of innovative and/or flexible lending practices in serving assessment area credit needs.

# **Investment Test**

• An adequate level of donations was made to organizations that benefit low- and moderateincome individuals.

# Service Test

- Services do not vary in a way that would inconvenience any portion of the assessment area, particularly moderate-income geographies and/or low- and moderate-income individuals.
- Delivery systems are reasonably accessible to all portions of the assessment area.
- The bank provides an adequate level of community development services.

# INSTITUTION

# **DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION**

Old Second Bank – Yorkville, with total assets of \$285.5 million as of December 31, 2003, is a subsidiary of Old Second Bancorp, Inc., a three-bank holding company located in Aurora, Illinois. Formally, a national charter, the bank became a State Member Bank (SMB) on July 1, 2003. In addition to this being the first Compliance/CRA examination conducted by the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, this is also is the bank's first evaluation under the large bank CRA examination procedures.

Old Second Bank – Yorkville is a full-service bank offering a wide range of deposit products. The bank's office network consists of the main office and branches in Countryside, Sandwich and Ottawa, Illinois. In addition to the four branches, the bank operates an in-store facility in the Wal-Mart Supercenter, located in Plano. To further serve the community, the bank operates six full-service automated teller machines (ATMs) throughout the assessment area.

Old Second Bank – Yorkville's primary focus has evolved over the years from primarily an agricultural/commercial lender to include more residential lending. The bank's December 31, 2003 Report of Condition and Income (Call Report) loan portfolio mix is shown in Exhibit 1:

#### Exhibit 1

k Loan Mix
16.5%
20.4%
6.7%
2.1%
3.8%
28.4%
<b>77.9</b> %
8.8%
9.2%
2.6%
1.5%
100.0

Real estate lending comprises 77.9% of the bank's loan portfolio, and real loans are distributed as follows: 52.4% consumer, 42.9% commercial and 4.7% agricultural.

There are no financial or legal impediments that affect the bank's ability to lend, invest, or provide deposit services within its assessment area.

## **DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT AREA**

Old Second Bank – Yorkville's assessment area, includes portions of La Salle, Kendall and De Kalb counties. The assessment area is approximately 60 miles southwest of Chicago and includes 14 contiguous geographies; five middle-income census tracts in the Chicago MSA, and nine block numbering areas (BNAs) in LaSalle County. These BNAs consists of four upper-income, four middle-income and one moderate-income. In early 2002, the bank opened a full-service branch in the city of Sandwich, Illinois. At that time the assessment area was modified to reflect the location of the new office.

Old Second Bank - Yorkville competes with a number of financial service providers within its assessment area. The FDIC Deposit Market Share report as of June 30, 2003 indicated that the bank's mark et share in the three counties was 5.2%, which placed them 5th among competing institutions. Primary competitors within the three counties are Castle Bank (7 branches), Union Bank (11 branches), National Bank & Trust of Sycamore (3 branches), Eureka Savings Bank (3 branches) and First National Bank of Ottawa (4 branches).

Based on 2000 Census data, the median family income for the combined assessment area is \$55,354. Total population of the assessment area is 64,925 individuals or 17,127 families; 43.3% of the

families are classified as upper-income, 25.0% as middle-income, 16.9% as moderate-income and 14.8% as low-income. Of the low- and moderate-income families, 5.3% have incomes below the poverty level for the area.

The majority of housing within the assessment area is owner-occupied (70.9%), and the median housing value is \$119,088 with a median housing age of 38 years. Approximately 34.5% of all housing was built prior to 1950, and median rental cost is \$537.

Exhibit #2 Assessment Area State of Illinois	a Demograp	hics						
Income Categories	Tract Distributi	on	Famil	ies by Income	,	y Level as	% Famil	lies by ly Income
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,533	14.8
Moderate-income	1	7.1	543	3.2	79	14.5	2,902	16.9
Middle -income	9	64.3	11,540	67.4	496	4.3	4,279	25.0
Upper-income	4	28.6	5,044	29.5	341	6.8	7,413	43.3
TOTALS	14	100.0	17,127	100.0	916	5.3	17,127	100.0
	Housing Units by		g Types occupie	by Tract d	Rental	Vacan	it	
	Tract	#	%	<u> </u>	#	%	#	<u>%</u>
Low-income	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate-income	1,244	540	3.1	43.4	583 46.9		121	9.7
Middle -income	16,229	11,837	67.0	72.9	3,707	22.8	685	4.2
Upper-income	7,432	5,278	29.9	71.0	1,692	22.8	462	6.2
TOTALS	24,905	17,655	100.0	70.9	5,982	24.0	1,268	5.1
				Businesses	by Tract	& Revenue	e Size	
	Total Busi	nesses by	Tract	Under \$1 m	-		ver \$1 mill	ion
	#	%		#	%	#		%
Low-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0		0.0
Moderate-income	257	14.4		222	14.6	26		13.0
Middle -income	1,109	62.2		944	62.1	124	1	62.0
Upper-income	417	23.4		353	23.2	50		25.0
Tract not reported	0	0.0		0	0.0	0		0.0
TOTALS	1,783	100.0		1,519	100.0	200	)	100.0
Percentage of Total I	Businesses:				85.2			11.2
Note: Information about b	ousinesses if from a	2003 Dun &	Bradstreet	demographic data	all other info	rmation is fro	m 2000 censu	s data.

In addition to the data in Exhibit 2, there were 162 total farms within the assessment area; 6 were in moderate, 49 in middle and 107 in upper income census tracts. All farms had revenues of \$1mm or less.

Discussions with two community representatives were considered in determining the banking needs within the assessment area and evaluating the bank's performance in responding these needs. The community representatives felt that local banks were doing an acceptable job of meeting the credit needs within the assessment area. However, they indicated that there is a need for small business development, and felt that banks could address this need through special lending programs.

Overall, there are no factors relative to the bank's financial condition, size, or local economic conditions that prevent the bank from meeting the various credit needs in its assessment area.

#### **SCOPE OF EXAMINATION**

This evaluation covers the period from January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2003, unless otherwise indicated. The bank is being evaluated under the Large Bank Performance Standards, which include the Lending, Investment, and Services tests.

The lending test is based upon the following loan types originated by Old Second Bank - Yorkville:

- Loans reported under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act ("HMDA"), including home purchase, home improvement, home refinancing, and multifamily dwelling loans;
- Loans reported under the Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA"), including small business and small farm loans and; and
- Community development loans.

The lending test includes an analysis of bank's overall HMDA and CRA lending activity, the concentration of its loans in the assessment area, the geographic and borrower distribution of its loans, a comparison of the bank's lending to aggregate lenders, and the innovativeness and flexibility of loan products or programs.

The investment test applies to qualified investments, donations, and grants made by the bank.

The service test considers the distribution and accessibility of the bank's branches, the alternative delivery systems available for its services, the reasonableness of its hours of operation, the effect of any opening or closing of bank branches, and community development services within its assessment areas.

#### CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS

# **LENDING TEST**

The bank's performance under the Lending Test is rated "low satisfactory." The volume of lending was consistent from 2002 to 2003 with an adequate percentage of loans in the assessment area. Geographic and borrower distribution of loans was good. The bank utilizes some innovative

and/or flexible lending programs through its participation in government-sponsored subsidized lending programs that target low- and moderate-income borrowers.

The facts and data used to evaluate the bank's performance are shown in Appendix C. Lending activity is depicted in Table 1, Geographic Distribution in Tables 2 through 7 and Borrower Characteristics in Tables 8 through 12."

# **Lending Activity:**

Exhibit #3				
Summary of Lending Activity				
Old Second Bank - Yorkville				
January 1, 2002 – December 31, 200	03			
Loan Type	#	%	\$(000s)	%
Home Improvement	152	30.9	4,000	7.8
Home Purchase – Conventional	160	32.5	25,441	49.9
Refinancing	179	36.4	21,446	42.1
Total HMDA-related	492	33.5	50,987	34.7
Total small business	737	50.2	79,620	54.1
Total small farm	239	16.3	16,496	11.2
TOTALLOANS	1,468	100.0	147,103	100.0

Note: Total HMDA – related includes 1 Multi-Family loan for \$100,000 included.

For the period January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2003, the bank originated 1,468 residential real estate, small business and small farm loans. The bank's loan-to-deposit ratio at year-end 2003 was 88.6%.

### **Assessment Area Concentration:**

Exhibit #4													
Lending Inside and Outside the Assessment Area													
January 1, 2002 – December 31, 2003													
Inside Outside													
LOAN TYPE	#	%	\$	%	#	%	\$	%					
LOAN TIPE	#	70	(000s)	/0	#	70	(000s)	70					
Home Improvement	105	69.1	2,584	64.6	47	30.9	1,416	35.4					
Home Purchase – Conventional	101	63.1	15,469	60.8	59	36.9	9,972	39.2					
Refinancing	125	69.8	13,882	64.7	54	30.2	7,564	35.3					
Total HMDA-related	331	67.3	31,935	62.6	161	32.7	19,052	37.4					
Total small business	497	67.4	43,133	54.2	240	32.6	36,487	45.8					
Total small farm	131	54.8	7,535	45.7	108	45.2	8,961	54.3					
TOTALLOANS	959	65.3	82,603	56.2	509	34.7	64,500	43.8					

Note: Outside Total HMDA - related includes 1 Multi-Family loan for \$100,000 included.

The majority of the bank's loans were made in its assessment. As illustrated in Exhibit 4, approximately 65% of the number and 56% of the dollar amount originated within the assessment area.

# **Geographic Distribution:**

The geographic distribution of the bank's lending is adequate when compared to assessment area demographics and aggregate lending data. The bank's assessment area includes no low-income geographies and only one moderate-income BNA. This moderate-income BNA accounts for 3.2% of the families in the assessment area and 3.1% of the owner-occupied units.

The bank's performance compared to market aggregate lending within the moderate-income BNA in 2002 is shown below:

<u>Product</u>	<u>Bank</u>	<u>Aggregate</u>
Home Purchase	2.0	3.2
Refinancing	4.1	5.5
Home Improvement	0.0	11.7
Small Business	0.7	8.1
Small Farm	0.0	8.9

# **Distribution of Loans by Income Level of the Borrower:**

Loan distribution by borrower income is considered good. With 31.7% of the families designated as low- and moderate-income, the bank made 27.6% of its residential real estate loans to low- and moderate-income borrowers in 2003. In 2002, the bank's lending to low- and moderate-income individuals was fairly consistent with the market aggregate. The bank made 6.8% of its loans to low-income individuals compared to 7.5% market aggregate, and 16.2% to moderate-income individuals compared to 23.7% market aggregate.

Small business lending is considered good. In 2003, the bank made 72.6% of its small business loans to businesses with annual gross revenues less than or equal to \$1 million, and 73.5% of small business loans were in amounts of \$100,000 or less. In 2002, 72.9% of small business loans went to businesses with annual gross revenues less than or equal to \$1 million and 76.6% of small business loans were in amounts of \$100,000 or less. This compares favorably to aggregate lending, with 36.7% to businesses with gross revenues of \$1 million or less and 88.9% in amounts of \$100,000 or less.

# **Community Development Lending:**

The bank did not make any community development loans between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2003.

The bank offers special direct and indirect loan programs to augment lending initiatives. These programs provide financing to individuals and small businesses that may not have access to funds through traditional products. The following are some examples of special programs or practices:

- <u>Consumer Underwriting Practices</u> uses underwriting guidelines that result in loan approvals
  for borrowers with high debt-to-income ratios. This allows low-income borrowers more access
  to credit products; however, the bank does not track data relating to the success of this
  program.
- <u>Third Party Investor Residential Mortgage Loans</u> offers mortgages through third party
  investors who have access to a wide variety of flexible programs that target low- and
  moderate-income borrowers. These third party investors will also underwrite mortgages to
  borrowers with low credit ratings. The bank does not track data relating to the success of these
  programs.
- <u>USDA Rural Development Guaranteed Loans (USDA)</u> This program is used to help low-income individuals or households purchase homes in rural areas. The bank originated two loans totaling \$193,000, since the previous examination.
- SBA Low DOC Program The program allows more flexibility, shorter application and approval times, and less stringent documentation requirements. The bank originated two loans totaling \$115,000, since the previous examination.

#### **INVESTMENT TEST**

The bank's performance under the Investment Test is rated "low satisfactory." The qualified investments are comprised of donations to local nonprofit organizations that provide direct services to low- and moderate-income persons, or to local civic organizations that conduct fundraising events to benefit nonprofit organizations. In 2002 and 2003, the bank made qualified contributions to numerous organizations totaling \$6,000. None of the bank's qualified investments were innovative or complex.

#### **SERVICE TEST**

The bank's performance under the Service Test is rated "low satisfactory." The bank offers an array of loan and deposit products, and its hours of operation are reasonable to meet the banking needs within the assessment area. Community development services provided by the bank are considered adequate.

#### **Retail Services:**

The bank operates five full-service branch offices and ATMs throughout the assessment area. The hours of operations are illustrated in the following table:

<b>Old Second Locations</b>	County									
	Geography #	Hours of Op	peration							
	Income Level	•								
Main Office	Kendall	Lobby:	8:30am – 4:30pm	Monday - Thursday						
102 E.Van Emmon Street	8906.00		8:30am – 5:00pm	Friday						
Yorkville, IL. 60560	Middle		8:30am – 12 noon	Saturday						
		Drive Up:	8:00am – 6:00pm	Monday - Thursday						
			8:00am – 7:00pm	Friday						
			8:00am - 12:30pm	Saturday						
Countryside Facility	Kendall	Lobby:	8:30am – 5:30pm	Monday - Thursday						
408 E. Countryside Pkwy.	8904.00		8:30am – 6:00pm	Friday						
Yorkville, IL. 60560	Upper		8:30am - 12:30pm	Saturday						
		Drive Up:	7:00am – 6:00pm	Monday - Thursday						
			7:00am-7:00pm	Friday						
			7:00am - 12:30pm	Saturday						
Wal-Mart Supercenter	Kendall	Lobby:	9:00am - 7:00pm	Monday – Friday						
6800 W. Route 34	8905.00		9:00am - 4:00pm	Saturday						
Plano, IL. 60545	Middle									
Ottawa Facility	LaSalle	Lobby:	8:30am – 5:00pm	Monday - Friday						
323 E. Norris Street	9626.00		8:30am – 12 noon	Saturday						
Ottawa, IL. 61350	Middle	Drive Up:	7:30am – 5:00pm	Monday - Thursday						
			7:30am – 6:00pm	Friday						
			7:30am – 12:30pm	Saturday						
Sandwich Facility	DeKalb	Lobby:	8:30am – 5:00pm	Monday - Friday						
410 E. Church Street	21.00		8:30am – 12 noon	Saturday						
Sandwich, IL. 60548	Middle	Drive Up:	8:00am – 5:00pm	Monday - Friday						
			8:00am – 12 noon	Saturday						

# **Alternative Delivery Systems:**

In addition to the standard products and services, the bank offers NetTeller, which allows customers 24-hour access to their accounts through the Internet. The bank also offers Infoline, a bank-by-phone product, which is also accessible anytime. The bank is set-up to offer electronic transfer accounts to recipients of public aid payments.

Multi-banking allows all Bancorp customers to bank at any of the banking offices under the holding company, regardless of with which institution they have a customer relationship. This service is particularly beneficial in the Wal-mart Supercenter branch in Plano, which serves a significant portion of the Bancorp's market.

# **Community Development Services:**

Bank personnel continue to be involved in community development services within the assessment area. Examples include:

- Serving as president and treasury of the Chamber of Commerce. The bank has provided leadership to the Ottawa Chamber of Commerce and Economic Development Group by providing strategic planning sessions at no cost to the group. The facilitator was a bank employee who has significant background in strategic planning and municipal development;
- Serving on the board of Ottawa Community Hospital;
- Serving on the Board of Pleasant View Luther Home, and
- Hosting the Tri County Board of Realtors breakfast.

# COMPLIANCE WITH ANTIDISCRIMINATION LAWS

The bank is in compliance with the substantive provisions of anti-discrimination laws and regulations, including the Equal Opportunity and the Fair Housing Acts.

# **CRA APPENDIX A**

#### **SCOPE OF EXAMINATION**

This was the bank's first CRA examination as a state member bank. It was also the first examination during which the bank was evaluated under large bank examination procedures. The bank's previous CRA examination was conducted by the OCC on September 11, 1997. At that time the bank was evaluated under small bank exam procedures and was rated "satisfactory". The bank's assessment area at that time consisted of 11 contiguous geographies, 3 in the Chicago MSA and 8 in a non-metropolitan area. This was treated as a single assessment area.

Since the OCC's examination the bank opened a full-service branch in Sandwich, Illinois during the first quarter of 2002. At that time the bank also modified its assessment area and eliminated a rural BNA from the La Salle County portion of its assessment area while adding census tracts in De Kalb County. The bank has not made any additional changes to its assessment area since then. Although the 2000 census data showed a shift in the income classification of six of 14 geographies within the assessment area, the changes did not affect the total number of upper, middle, and moderate-income geographies. The assessment area consists of 4 upper, 9 middle and 1 moderate-income geographies. There are 5 census tracts in the Chicago MSA portion of the assessment area, all of which are classified as middle-income. The non-metropolitan assessment area consists of 4 upper-income, 4 middle-income, and 1 moderate-income BNA.

The bank's overall assessment area is in compliance with Regulation BB. Evaluation of the bank's CRA performance is based on two contiguous assessment areas. One assessment area consists of the 5 census tracts within the Chicago MSA. The other non-metropolitan assessment area consists of the 9 BNAs in La Salle County.

The CRA examination period is January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2003. Loan products evaluated under the lending test include:

- HMDA Loans
- o Small Business Loans
- o Small Farm Loans
- o Community Development Loans and any innovative lending products that the bank originated from January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2003.

The investment test consist of all qualified investments, grants and donations made from January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2003. In addition, the service test takes into consideration all retail services and community development services offered from January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2003.

To support the CRA as well as the Fair Lending evaluations, visits were made to the Sandwich branch in DeKalb County and the Ottawa branch in La Salle County. Also, two community representatives were contacted to help determine assessment area credit needs and the level of bank responsiveness to those needs.

# **CRA APPENDIX B**

#### GLOSSARY

**Aggregate lending:** The number of loans originated and purchased by all lenders subject to reporting requirements as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all lenders in the MSA/assessment area.

**Block numbering area ("BNA"):** Statistical subdivisions of a county for grouping and numbering blocks in non-metropolitan counties where local census statistical area committees have not established census tracts. BNAs do not cross county lines.

**Census tract:** Small subdivisions of metropolitan and other densely populated counties. Census tract boundaries do not cross county lines; however, they may cross the boundaries of metropolitan statistical areas. They usually have between 2,500 and 8,000 persons, and their physical size varies widely depending upon population density. Census tracts are designed to be homogeneous with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions to allow for statistical comparisons.

**Community development:** Affordable housing for low- or moderate-income individuals; community services targeted to low- or moderate-income individuals, activities that promote economic development by financing businesses or farms that meet the size eligibility standards of the Small Business Administration's Development Company or Small Business Investment Company programs (13 CFR 121.301) or have gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less; or, activities that revitalize or stabilize low- or moderate-income geographies,

**Consumer loan**: A loan to one or more individuals for household, family, or other personal expenditures. A consumer loan does not include a home mortgage, small business, or small farm loan. This definition includes the following categories of loans: motor vehicle, credit card, home equity, other secured loan, and other unsecured loan.

**Family**: Includes a householder and one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The number of family households always equals the number of families; however, a family household may also include non-relatives living with the family. Families are classified by type as either a married couple family or other family, which is further classified into "male householder" (a family with a male household and no wife present) or "female householder" (a family with a female householder and no husband present).

**Full review**: Performance under the lending, investment, and service tests is analyzed considering performance context, quantitative factors (e.g., geographic distribution, borrower distribution, total

number and dollar amount of investments, branch distribution) and qualitative factors (e.g., innovation, complexity).

**Geography**: A census tract or a block numbering area delineated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in the most recent decennial census.

**Home Mortgage Disclosure Act ("HMDA"):** The statute that requires certain mortgage lenders that do business or have banking offices in a metropolitan statistical area to file annual summary reports of their mortgage lending activity. The reports include such data as the race, gender, and the income of applications, the amount of loan requested, and the disposition of the application (e.g., approved, denied, and withdrawn).

**Home mortgage loans**: Include home purchase and home improvement loans as defined in the HMDA regulation. This definition also includes multifamily (five or more families) dwelling loans, loans for the purchase of manufactured homes and refinancings of home improvement and home purchase loans.

**Household**: Includes all persons occupying a housing unit. Persons not living in households are classified as living in group quarters. In 100 percent tabulations, the count of households always equals the count of occupied housing units.

**Low-income**: Individual income that is less than 50 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is less than 50 percent in the case of a geography.

**Limited review:** Performance under the lending, investment, and service tests is analyzed using only quantitative factors (e.g., geographic distribution, borrower distribution, total number and dollar amount of investments, branch distribution).

**Market share:** The number of loans originated and purchased by the institution as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all lenders in the MSA/assessment area.

**Metropolitan area**: Any primary metropolitan statistical area ("PMSA"), metropolitan statistical area ("MSA"), or consolidated metropolitan area ("CMSA"), as defined by the Office of Management and Budget, with a population of 250 thousand or more, and any other area designated as such by the appropriate federal financial supervisory agency.

**Moderate-income:** Individual income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent in the case of a geography.

**Middle-income:** Individual income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent in the case of a geography.

**Multifamily:** Refers to a residential structure that contains five or more units.

**Optional loans:** Includes any unreported category of loans for which the institution collects and maintains data for consideration during a CRA examination. Also includes consumer loans and other loan data an institution may provide concerning its lending performance.

**Owner-occupied units:** Includes units occupied by the owner or co-owner, even if the unit has not been fully paid for or is mortgaged.

**Qualified investment**: A qualified investment is defined as any lawful investment, deposit, membership share, or grant that has as its primary purpose community development.

**Small loans to business:** A loan included in "loans to small businesses" as defined in the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report). These loans have original amounts of \$1 million or less and are either secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties or are classified as commercial and industrial loans.

**Small loans to farms:** A loan included in "loans to small farms" as defined in the instructions for preparation of the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report). These loans have original amounts of \$500 thousand or less and are either secured by farmland, including farm residential and other improvements, or are classified as loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.

**Owner-occupied units:** Includes units occupied by the owner or co-owner, even if the unit has not been fully paid for or is mortgaged.

**Qualified investment**: A qualified investment is defined as any lawful investment, deposit, membership share, or grant that has as its primary purpose community development.

**Upper-income**: Individual income that is more than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is more than 120 percent in the case of a geography.

# **CRA APPENDIX C**

# **CORE CRA TABLES**

# CRA APPENDIX C CORE CRA TABLES

**Table 1. Lending Volume** 

LENDING VOLUME					State: ILL	INOIS	Eva	luation Perio	od: <b>JANUA</b>	RY 1, 2002 TO	O DECEMB	BER 31, 2003
	% of Rated Home Mortgag Area		Mortgage		Loans to nesses		Small Loans to Farms		Community Development Loans 1		eported ins	Market Share of Deposits
MA/Assessment Area	Loans (#) in MA/AA <sup>2</sup>	#	(\$000)	#	(\$000)	#	(\$000)	#	(\$000)	#	(\$000)	in AA <sup>3</sup>
FULL REVIEW 2002												
CHICAGO	45.1	87	9,644	99	10,866	28	1,820	0	0	214	22,330	81
NON-MSA	54.9	61	4,033	168	12,008	31	1,462	0	0	260	17,503	19
FULL REVIEW 2003												
CHICAGO	42.5	108	12,712	76	8,021	22	1,979	0	0	206	22,712	82
NON-MSA	57.5	75	5,546	154	12,238	50	2,274	0	0	279	20,058	18

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The evaluation period for Community Development Loans is same.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Loan data as of December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Deposit data as of June 30, 2002 and June 30, 2003, respectively.

**Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans** 

Geographic Distribution	n: <b>HOM</b>	E PURCI	HASE		State: <b>ILLINOIS</b> Evalu				uation Period: <b>JANUARY 1, 2002 TO DECEMBER 31, 2003</b>						
	Purc	Home chase ans	Low-Income Geographies		Moderate-Income Geographies		Middle-Income Geographies		Upper-Income Geographies		Ag	Aggregate HMDA Lending (%) by Tract Income <sup>1</sup>			
MA/Assessment Area	#	% of Total <sup>2</sup>	% Owner Occupied Units <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper	
FULL REVIEW 2002															
CHICAGO	32	3.1	0.0	0.0	9.2	3.1	65.7	68.8	25.1	28.1	0.0	3.2	59.7	37.1	
NON-MSA	17	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.3	88.2	37.7	11.8	0.0	0.0	54.6	45.4	
FULL REVIEW 2003															
CHICAGO	27	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NON-MSA	25	-	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	42.8	48.0	51.9	52.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on 2002 Aggregate HMDA Data; 2003 Aggregate HMDA Data is not available.

 $<sup>{}^{\</sup>mathbf{2}} Home\ purchase\ loans\ originated\ and\ purchased\ in\ the\ MSA/AA\ as\ a\ percentage\ of\ all\ home\ purchase\ loans\ originated\ and\ purchased\ in\ the\ rated\ area.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on census information (1990 for 2002 and 2000 for 2003).

**Table 3. Geographic Distribution of Home Improvement Loans** 

Geographic Distribution	OVEMENT		State	State: <b>ILLINOIS</b> Evalua				nation Period: <b>JANUARY 1, 2002 TO DECEMBER 31, 2003</b>						
	Impro	Total Home Low-Income mprovement Geographies Loans		Moderate-Income Geographies			Middle -Income Geographies		Upper-Income Geographies		Aggregate HMDA Lending (%) by Tract Income <sup>1</sup>			
MA/Assessment Area	#	% of Total <sup>2</sup>	% Owner Occupied Units <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper
FULL REVIEW 2002														
CHICAGO	28	46.7	0.0	0.0	9.2	0.0	65.7	85.7	25.1	14.3	0.0	11.7	66.7	21.7
NON-MSA	22	68.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.3	50.0	37.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	53.1	46.9
FULL REVIEW 2003														
CHICAGO	31	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NON-MSA	24	-	0.0	0.0	5.3	4.2	42.8	58.3	51.9	37.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Based on 2002 Aggregate HMDA Data; 2003 Aggregate HMDA Data is not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on census information (1990 for 2002 and 2000 for 2003).

Old Second Bank
Yorkville, IL

CRA Performance Evaluation
March 22, 2004

**Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans** 

Geographic Distribution	E MORT	GAGE REFI	NANCE	State: <b>ILLINOIS</b> Evalua				nation Period: <b>JANUARY 1, 2002 TO DECEMBER 31, 2003</b>							
	Total Home Mortgage Refinance				Moderate Geogra			Middle -Income Geographies		ncome phies	Aggregate HMDA Lending (%) by Tract Income <sup>1</sup>			ng (%)	
		ans													
MA/Assessment Area	#	% of Total <sup>2</sup>	% Owner Occupied Units <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper	
FULL REVIEW 2002															
CHICAGO	27	1.0	0.0	0.0	9.2	7.4	65.7	51.9	25.1	40.7	0.0	5.5	56.4	38.2	
NON-MSA	22	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.3	54.5	37.7	45.5	0.0	0.0	54.1	45.9	
FULL REVIEW 2003															
CHICAGO	50	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NON-MSA	26	-	0.0	0.0	5.3	19.2	42.8	53.8	51.9	26.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on 2002 Aggregate HMDA Data; 2003 Aggregate HMDA Data is not available.

Table 5. Geographic Distribution of Multifamily Loans has been omitted because the institution had limited activity for this loan type during the review period. More specifically, one multifamily loan was outside the assessment area in 2002 and no multifamily loans were originated in 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on census information (1990 for 2002 and 2000 for 2003).

**Table 6. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses** 

Geographic D	istributio	n: <b>SMAI</b>	L LOANS TO	BUSINES	SSES	State: <b>ILL</b>	INOIS	Eva	aluation Period	l: JANUAI	RY 1, 20	02 TO DECH	MBER 31	, 2003	
	Total Small Business Loans		Low-Income Geographies					come hies		Upper-Income Geographies		Aggregate Small Business Lending (%) by Tract Income <sup>1</sup>			
MA/ Assessment Area	#	% of Total <sup>2</sup>	% of Businesses <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans <sup>4</sup>	Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper							
FULL REVIEW 2002															
CHICAGO	99	9.8	0.0	0.0	20.8	2.0	57.8	57.6	21.4	40.4	0.0	14.1	56.4	29.5	
NON-MSA  FULL  REVIEW 2003	168	22.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.1	53.0	24.9	47.0	0.0	0.0	69.5	30.5	
CHICAGO	76	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NON-MSA	154	-	0.0	0.0	26.0	16.2	31.9	23.4	42.1	60.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Based on 2002 Aggregate Small Business Data; 2003 Aggregate Small Business Data is not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Data obtained from Dun and Bradstreet. Percentages do not reflect businesses for which revenue was not reported.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Percentage of bank loans does not include loans originated in census tracts for which income information is not available.

Table 7. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Geographic Distribution: SI	MALL LO	ANS TO FA	RMS		State: ILI	INOIS		Evalua	tion Perioc	l: JANUA	RY 1, 20	02 TO DECI	EMBER 31	., 2003
Total Small Fa Loans				ncome aphies	Income Geographies Geographies					Income aphies	Aggr	egate Small by Tract	Farm Lend Income <sup>1</sup>	ling (%)
MA/Assessment Area	#	% of Total <sup>2</sup>	% of Farms <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	% of Farms <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	% of Farms <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	% of Farms <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans	Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper
FULL REVIEW 2002														
CHICAGO	28	41.2	0.0	0.0	6.1	0.0	87.9	85.7	6.1	14.3	0.0	17.6	70.6	11.8
NON-MSA	31	46.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.2	61.3	32.8	38.7	0.0	0.0	58.2	41.8
FULL REVIEW 2003														
CHICAGO	22	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NON-MSA	50	-	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	10.3	0.0	84.9	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Based on 2002 Aggregate Small Farm Data; 2003 Aggregate Farm Data is not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Data obtained from Dun and Bradstreet. Percentages do not reflect farms for which revenue was not reported.

**Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans** 

Borrower Distribution:	номн	EPURCH	ASE		Stat	te: <b>ILLINOIS</b> Evaluation Period: <b>JANUARY 1, 2002 TO DECEMBER 31, 2003</b>								2003
	Pui	l Home rchase oans	Low-In Borrov		Moderate Borro		Middle -I Borrov		Upper-In Borrov		Aggregate HMDA Lending (%) by Borrower Income <sup>1</sup>			
MA/Assessment Area	#	% of Total <sup>2</sup>	% of Families <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans <sup>4</sup>	% of Families <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans <sup>4</sup>	% of Families <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans <sup>4</sup>	% of Families <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans <sup>4</sup>	Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper
FULL REVIEW 2002														
CHICAGO	32	3.1	13.9	6.3	20.6	15.6	28.7	31.3	36.7	43.8	12.9	31.6	32.4	23.2
NON-MSA	17	3.6	15.7	5.9	15.2	11.8	22.2	29.4	46.9	52.9	2.6	19.9	31.2	46.3
FULL REVIEW 2003														
CHICAGO	27	-	13.9	0.0	19.3	18.5	29.5	18.5	37.4	63.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NON-MSA	25	-	15.5	12.0	15.1	8.0	21.5	28.0	47.9	44.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on 2002 Aggregate HMDA Data; 2003 Aggregate HMDA Data is not available. Percentages do not include loans to borrowers for which income information is not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Percentage of Families is based on census information (1990 for 2002 and 2000 for 2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Home purchase loans originate d and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area. Percentage of bank loans does not include loans to borrowers for which income information is not available.

**Table 9. Borrower Distribution of Home Improvement Loans** 

Borrower Distribution:	номн	IMPRO	VEMENT		Stat	e: <b>ILLINO</b>	Evaluation Period: <b>JANUARY 1, 2002 TO DECEMBER 31, 2003</b>										
	Total Home Low-Income Improvement Borrowers Loans					Moderate-Income Borrowers Borrowers Borrowers								Aggregate HMDA Lending (%) by Borrower Income <sup>1</sup>			
MA/Assessment Area	#	% of Total <sup>2</sup>	% of Families <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans <sup>4</sup>	% of Families <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans <sup>4</sup>	% of Families <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans <sup>4</sup>	% of Families <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans <sup>4</sup>	Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper			
FULL REVIEW 2002																	
CHICAGO	28	46.7	13.9	3.6	20.6	17.9	28.7	35.7	36.7	42.9	19.0	17.2	31.0	32.8			
NON-MSA	22	68.8	15.7	4.5	15.2	18.2	22.2	27.3	46.9	40.9	13.8	6.9	31.0	48.3			
FULL REVIEW 2003																	
CHICAGO	31	-	13.9	6.5	19.3	22.6	29.5	35.5	37.4	32.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
NON-MSA	24	-	15.5	16.7	15.1	8.3	21.5	29.2	47.9	45.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on 2002 Aggregate HMDA Data; 2003 Aggregate HMDA Data is not available. Percentages do not include loans to borrowers for which income information is not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Percentage of Families is based on census information (1990 for 2002 and 2000 for 2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area. Percentage of bank loans does not include loans to borrowers for which income information is not available.

**Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans** 

Borrower Distribution:	номн	MORTO	GAGE REFI	NANCE	Stat	e: <b>ILLINO</b> I	IS	Evalı	ıation Perioc	l: JANUA	RY 1, 20	02 TO DECH	EMBER 31	, 2003
	Tota	l Home	Low-In	come	Moderate-Income Mide			ncome	Upper-I	ncome	Ag	gregate HMI	DA Lendir	ng (%)
	Mortgage Borro		Borrov	vers	Borrowers		Borrov	vers	Borrov	vers				_
	Refinance		efinance							5				
	L	oans												
	#	% of	% of	%										
MA/Assessment Area		Total <sup>2</sup>	Families <sup>3</sup>	BANK	Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper						
				Loans <sup>4</sup>		Loans4		Loans <sup>4</sup>		Loans <sup>4</sup>				
FULL REVIEW 2002														
CHICAGO	27	1.0	13.9	14.8	20.6	22.2	28.7	22.2	36.7	40.7	7.4	25.9	37.5	29.2
NON-MSA	22	2.4	15.7	4.5	15.2	9.1	22.2	27.3	46.9	54.5	3.2	11.6	26.2	59.0
FULL REVIEW 2003														
CHICAGO	50	-	13.9	6.0	19.3	20.0	29.5	30.0	37.4	32.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NON-MSA	26	-	15.5	19.2	15.1	19.2	21.5	23.1	47.9	38.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on 2002 Aggregate HMDA Data; 2003 Aggregate HMDA Data is not available. Percentages do not include loans to borrowers for which income information is not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available.

 $<sup>{\</sup>bf ^3}$  Percentage of Families is based on census information (1990 for 2002 and 2000 for 2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area. Percentage of bank loans does not include loans to borrowers for which income information is not available.

**Table 11. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses** 

Borrower Distribut	ion: <b>SMALL</b>	LOANS TO BU	JSINESSES	Sta	te: <b>ILLINOIS</b>	Evaluation	n Period: <b>JANUA</b>	RY 1, 2002 TO DECEMBER 31, 2003					
		nall Loans sinesses	Businesses W of \$1 milli	ith Revenues on or less		ns by Original A rdless of Busines		Aggregate Lending Data <sup>1</sup>					
MA/Assessment Area	#	% of Total <sup>2</sup>	% of Businesses <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans <sup>4</sup>	\$100,000 or less	>\$100,000 to \$250,000	>\$250,000 to \$1,000,000	All	Revenues of \$1 Million or Less				
FULL REVIEW 2002													
CHICAGO	99	9.8	86.0	95.0	75.0	13.0	12.0	1,007	39.7				
NON-MSA	168	22.2	85.1	61.0	78.0	16.0	6.0	751	32.8				
FULL REVIEW 2003													
CHICAGO	76	-	85.1	100.0	70.0	20.0	10.0	N/A	N/A				
NON-MSA	154	-	85.2	64.0	75.0	18.0	6.0	N/A	N/A				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on 2002 Aggregate Small Business Data; 2003 Aggregate Small Business Data is not available. Percentages do not include loans to borrowers for which income information is not available.

**Table 12. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Farms** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all businesses. (Dun and Bradstreet 2003)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to businesses.

Borrower Distributi	ion: <b>SMALL</b>	LOANS TO FA	ARMS	Sta	te: <b>ILLINOIS</b>	Eval	uation Period: <b>JA</b> l	NUARY 1, 2002 TO	DECEMBER 31, 2003		
		nall Loans Farms		Revenues of on or less		nns by Original <i>A</i> gardless of Farm		Aggregate Lending Data <sup>1</sup>			
MA/Assessment Area	#	% of Total <sup>2</sup>	% of Farms <sup>3</sup>	% BANK Loans <sup>4</sup>	\$100,000 or less	>\$100,000 to \$250,000	>\$250,000 to \$1,000,000	All	Revenues of \$1 Million or Less		
FULL REVIEW 2002											
CHICAGO	28	41.2	100.0	100.0	71.0	25.0	4.0	68	85.3		
NON-MSA	31	46.3	100.0	100.0	87.0	13.0	0.0	67	98.5		
FULL REVIEW 2003											
CHICAGO	22	-	100.0	100.0	55.0	41.0	4.0	N/A	N/A		
NON-MSA	50	-	100.0	100.0	86.0	12.0	2.0	N/A	N/A		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on 2002 Aggregate Small Farm Data; 2003 Aggregate Small Farm Data is not available.

Table 13. Geographic and Borrower Distribution of Consumer Loans has been omitted because the institution did not opt to report consumer loans.

Table 14. Qualified Investments has been omitted because the institution's opportunities lie in the form of charitable contributions to qualified organizations and community-based programs.

Table 15. Distribution of Branch Delivery System and Branch Openings/Closings has been omitted as the institution has opened one branch and has not closed any branches.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all farms. (Dun and Bradstreet 2003)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to farms.

Table 16. Distribution of Branch and ATM Delivery System

DISTRIBU'	STEM	Sta	ate: <b>ILLI</b> N	NOIS		Evalua	ation Per	iod: <b>JAN</b>	NUARY 1,	2002 TO DE	CEMBER	31, 2003						
	Deposits Branches								ATMs Population						ation			
MA/	% of Rated	#of Bank	% of Rated		Location of I Income of Geo			#of Bank	% of Total	Location of ATMs by Income of Geographies (%)			6)	%of P	of Population within each Geography <sup>2</sup>			
Assessment Area	Area Deposits in AA <sup>1</sup>	Facilities	Area Branches in AA	Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper	ATMs	Bank ATM s	Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper	Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper	
FULL REVIEW																		
CHICAGO	81.6	4	80.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4	80.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
NONMSA	18.4	1	20.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	1	20.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	6.4	39.1	54.5	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Deposit data as of June 30, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on 2000 census information.