# PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

April 17, 2006

# COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Neighborhood Community Bank Newnan, Georgia

RSSD ID Number: 2906311

# FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF ATLANTA 1000 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4470

NOTE: This document is an evaluation of this institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operation of the institution. This evaluation is not, nor should it be construed as, an assessment of the financial condition of this institution. The rating assigned to the institution does not represent an analysis, conclusion or opinion of the federal financial supervisory agency concerning the safety and soundness of this financial institution.

	PAGE
INSTITUTION'S CRA RATING	2
DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION	3
DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT AREA	5
CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PREFORMANCE CRITERIA	12
Scope of Examination	12
Loan-to-Deposit Ratio Analysis	17
Assessment Area Concentration	17
Lending to Borrowers of Different Incomes and Businesses of Different Sizes	18
Geographic Distribution of Loans	19
Complaints	21
Compliance With Antidiscrimination Laws	21
APPENDIX A: AGGREGATE LENDING TABLES	22
APPENDIX B: GENERAL INFORMATION AND DEFINITIONS	25

**TABLE OF CONTENTS** 

## **INSTITUTION'S CRA RATING**

## **INSTITUTION'S CRA RATING**

This institution is rated **Satisfactory**.

The bank's average LTD ratio for the past sixteen quarters ended December 31, 2005, was **MORE THAN REASONABLE** given the institution's length of time in operation, size, financial condition, and competition within its assessment area. A **MAJORITY** of the bank's loans were extended to businesses inside the assessment area. The bank's lending reflects a **REASONABLE** penetration among individuals of different incomes, including low- and moderate-income levels, and businesses of different revenue sizes. The geographic distribution of the bank's HMDA loans and commercial loans within its assessment area was analyzed using both 1990 and 2000 demographic data. The geographic distribution of loans reflects **EXCELLENT** dispersion throughout the assessment area. The bank has received no CRA related complaints since the previous examination. In addition, the bank is in compliance with the substantive provisions of the antidiscrimination laws and regulations.

## **DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION**

## **DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION**

Neighborhood Community Bank is a small community bank headquartered in Newnan, Georgia. The bank operates three banking offices with ATM services in Coweta and Fayette Counties, which are part of the Atlanta MSA. According to the Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income (Call Report), between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2005, the bank's total assets increased by 116.5 percent, from \$85.9 million to \$186.1 million. During this same time period, total loans outstanding increased by 119.7 percent, from \$70.6 million to \$155.1 million; and total deposits increased by 123.7 percent, from \$75.5 million to \$168.9 million.

Neighborhood Community Bank is a full service community bank that offers a wide variety of credit products to meet community credit needs. However, the bank's primary focus is to operate as a commercial bank catering to small and medium sized businesses in Coweta and Fayette County, Georgia. The bank also offers traditional consumer loan products including home improvement loans, automobile loans, personal and overdraft protection lines of credit, and home equity lines of credit.

The composition of the loan portfolio, as of the dates listed below, is displayed in the following table:

COMPOSITION OF LOAN PORTFOLIO												
<u>12/31/2005</u> <u>12/31/2004</u> <u>12/31/2003</u>												
Loan Type	\$ (000s)	Percent	\$ (000s)	Percent	\$ (000s)	Percent						
Construction and Development	66,127	42.6%	41,639	33.8%	20,680	21.1%						
Secured by One- to Four- Family Dwellings	14,945	9.6%	14,207	11.5%	12,872	13.2%						
Other Real Estate:												
Farmland	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	148	0.2%						
Multifamily	2,457	1.6%	2,218	1.8%	2,134	2.2%						
Nonfarm nonresidential	47,777	30.8%	44,257	35.9%	42,022	42.9%						
Commercial and Industrial	20,934	13.5%	18,160	14.7%	16,964	17.3%						
Loans to Individuals	2,835	1.8%	2,806	2.3%	3,025	3.1%						
Agricultural Loans	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
Total	\$155,075	100.00%	\$123,287	100.00%	\$97,845	100.00%						

\* This table does not include the entire loan portfolio. Specifically, it excludes loans to depository institutions, bankers acceptances, lease financing receivables, obligations of state and political subdivisions, and other loans that do not meet any other category. Contra assets are also not included in this table.

As illustrated by the table above, commercial and industrial and non-residential real estate loans made up 44.3 percent of the loan portfolio as of December 31, 2005. These types of loans were sampled as a part of the CRA analysis. These loans will be referred to as "commercial loans" throughout the analysis.

# **DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION (Continued)**

Neighborhood Community Bank generally complies with the requirements of the CRA. No known legal impediments exist that would restrain the bank from meeting the credit needs of its assessment areas. There were no significant local economic factors that affected the ability of the bank to comply with the regulation.

The bank received a satisfactory CRA rating at its previous evaluation, conducted by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, dated April 8, 2002.

#### DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT AREA: COWETA AND FAYETTE COUNTIES

#### **Overview**

Neighborhood Community Bank operates three banking offices, each with ATM services throughout Coweta and Fayette Counties. Two offices are located in middle-income census tracts and one office is located in an upper-income census tract. Two offices were opened since the previous performance evaluation. As of June 30, 2005, the bank had deposits of \$143.3 million and a deposit market share of 5.4 percent in the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area.

#### **DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

#### 1990 Demographic Data by Census Tract

The following table provides key demographic information from the 1990 census that was used in analyzing the bank's CRA performance. Certain components of the data in the table are discussed in the evaluation as they apply to particular parts of the analysis.

Income Categories	Tract Distribut			amilies act Inco		Families < P Level as % Families by	∕o of	Families Family Inc		
	#	%		#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	5,276	15.9	
Moderate-income	3	16.7		5,269	15.8	759	14.4	5,366	16.1	
Middle-income	7	38.9		12,230	36.7	654	5.3	7,515	22.6	
Upper-income	8	44.4		15,780	47.4	291	1.8	15,122	45.4	
Unknown-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total Assessment Area	18	100.0		33,279	100.0	1,704	5.1	33,279	100.0	
	Housing Units by				Housi	ng Types by T	ract			
	Tract		Owner-	Occupied	1	Rental		Vacant		
			#	%	%	#	%	#	%	
Low-income	0		0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate-income	7,287		4,503	14.1	61.8	2,281	31.3	503	6.9	
Middle-income	16,045	1	1,621	36.4	72.4	3,194	19.9	1,230	7.7	
Upper-income	19,509	1	5,800	49.5	81.0	2,585	13.3	1,124	5.8	
Unknown-income	0		0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total Assessment Area	42,841	3	1,924	100.0	74.5	8,060	18.8	2,857 6.7		
	Total Busine Tract				Business	sses by Tract & Revenue Size				
			L	ess Than \$1 Millio		Over \$1 Million		Revenue I Reporte		
	#	%		#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate-income	789	10.6		721	10.8	39	7.5	29	11.1	
Middle-income	2,680	35.9		2,407	36.0	167	32.2	106	40.5	
Upper-income	3,991	53.5		3,551	53.2	313	60.3	127	48.5	
Unknown-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total Assessment Area	7,460	100.0		6,679	100.0	519	100.0	262	100.0	
	Percentage of	Total B	usiness	es:	89.5		7.0		3.5	

# Assessment Area Demographics

Assessment Area: Neighborhood Community 2002 (1990 Census)

Based on 1990 Census Information.

#### **1990 Housing Characteristics**

1990 census data indicates that the median value of housing units in the assessment area was \$97,768, which is 38.3 percent higher than the median housing value for the state at \$70,707. The median age of housing in the assessment area is 10 years compared to the state of Georgia at 18 years.

### **1990 Poverty Level**

As indicated in the table on page 6, there are no low income tracts in the assessment area. Of the families residing in moderate-income tracts, 14.4 percent had incomes below the poverty level. This level of poverty could affect the bank's ability to originate mortgage loans in moderate-tracts without special financing or affordable housing programs. Of total families, 5.1 percent have incomes below the poverty level. The HUD estimated median family income for the Atlanta MSA, which includes Coweta and Fayette Counties, in 2002 was \$71,200, which is significantly higher than the state of Georgia at \$42,200.

### **2000 Demographic Data by Census Tract**

The following tables provide key demographic information from the 2000 census that is used in analyzing the bank's CRA performance. As a result of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) revision to census tract delineations in June 2003, tables are provided for both 2003 and 2004 & 2005 assessment area demographics. Certain components of the data in the table are discussed in the evaluation as they apply to particular parts of the analysis.

Income Categories	Tract Distribut			amilies act Inco		Families < P Level as % Families by	∕₀ of ́	Families Family Inc		
	#	%		#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	6,921	13.6	
Moderate-income	1	3.4		1,812	3.6	217	12.0	7,707	15.2	
Middle-income	12	41.4		25,006	49.3	1,362	5.4	12,141	23.9	
Upper-income	16	55.2		23,955	47.2	460	1.9	24,004	47.3	
Unknown-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total Assessment Area	29	100.0		50,773	100.0	2,039	4.0	50,773	100.0	
	Housing Units by									
	Tract		Owner-	Occupied	d	Rental		Vacant		
			# % % # %					#	%	
Low-income	0		0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate-income	2,676		1,648	3.2	61.6	888	33.2	140	5.2	
Middle-income	33,489	2	4,807	47.9	74.1	7,065	21.1	1,617	4.8	
Upper-income	29,743	2	5,363	48.9	85.3	3,195	10.7	1,185	4.0	
Unknown-income	0		0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total Assessment Area	65,908	5	1,818	100.0	78.6	3.6 11,148 16.9 2,942				
	Total Busine Tract				Busines	ses by Tract &	Revenue	e Size		
			L	ess Than \$1 Millio		Over \$1 Million		Revenue 1 Reporte		
	#	%		#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate-income	227	3.0		212	3.2	7	1.4	8	3.0	
Middle-income	3,446	46.3		3,086	46.3	233	45.0	127	48.3	
Upper-income	3,770	50.7		3,364	50.5	278	53.7	128	48.7	
Unknown-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total Assessment Area	7,443	100.0		6,662	100.0	518	100.0	263	100.0	
	Percentage of	Total B	usiness	ses:	89.5		7.0		3.5	

## Assessment Area Demographics

Assessment Area : Neighborhood Community 2003 (2000 Census)

Based on 2000 Census Information.

Income Categories	Tract Distribut			amilies act Inco		Families < P Level as 9 Families by	% of	Families Family Inc		
	#	%		#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	6,775	13.3	
Moderate-income	1	3.4		1,812	3.6	217	12.0	7,523	14.8	
Middle-income	11	37.9		20,403	40.2	1,286	6.3	12,002	23.6	
Upper-income	17	58.6		28,558	56.2	536	1.9	24,473	48.2	
Unknown-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total Assessment Area	29	100.0		50,773	100.0	2,039	4.0	50,773	100.0	
	Housing Units by									
	Tract		Owner-	Occupie	d	Rental		Vacant		
			#	%	%	#	%	#	%	
Low-income	0		0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate-income	2,676		1,648	3.2	61.6	888	33.2	140	5.2	
Middle-income	28,026	1	9,801	38.2	70.7	6,764	24.1	1,461	5.2	
Upper-income	35,206	3	0,369	58.6	86.3	3,496	9.9	1,341	3.8	
Unknown-income	0		0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total Assessment Area	65,908	5	1,818	100.0	78.6	11,148	16.9	2,942 4.5		
	Total Busine Tract	•			Busines	ses by Tract &	Revenu	e Size		
			L	ess Than \$1 Millio	-	Over \$1 Million		Revenue Reporte		
	#	%		#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate-income	227	3.0		212	3.2	7	1.4	8	3.0	
Middle-income	2,940	39.5		2,608	39.1	219	42.3	113	43.0	
Upper-income	4,276	57.4 3,842		3,842	57.7	292	56.4	142	54.0	
Unknown-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total Assessment Area	7,443	100.0		6,662	100.0	518	100.0	263	100.0	
	Percentage of	Total B	usiness	ses:	89.5		7.0		3.5	

## **Assessment Area Demographics**

Assessment Area: Neighborhood Community 2004 & 2005 (2000 Census)

Based on 2000 Census Information.

#### 2000 Housing Characteristics (2000 census and 2004 OMB changes)

2000 census data indicates that the median value of housing units in the assessment area was \$146,119, which is 45.2 percent higher than the median housing value for the state at \$100,600. The median age of housing in the assessment area is 14 years compared to the state of Georgia at 20 years. The OMB changes did not affect the 2000 census data in this regard.

### 2000 Poverty Level (2000 census and 2004 OMB changes)

As indicated in the tables on page 8 and 9, there are no low income tracts in the assessment area. 12.0 percent of the families residing in moderate-income tracts have incomes below the poverty level. This level of poverty could affect the bank's ability to originate mortgage loans in moderate-tracts without special financing or affordable housing programs. Of total families, 4.0 percent have incomes below the poverty level. The HUD estimated median family income for the Atlanta MSA, which includes Coweta and Fayette Counties, in 2003, 2004, and 2005 was \$68,800, \$67,900, and \$69,300; which is significantly higher than the state of Georgia at \$44,300, \$43,300 and \$44,150, respectively. The OMB changes did not affect the 2000 census data in this regard.

## **Other Information**

## **Employment Statistics**

The assessment area's economy is primarily dependent on retail trade, construction and manufacturing. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the following table shows the unemployment rates for Coweta and Fayette Counties and the state of Georgia from 2003 to 2005. The unemployment rate for Coweta and Fayette Counties are consistently below the unemployment rates for the state of Georgia.

Unemployment Rates										
	2003	2004	2005							
Coweta County	4.3%	3.8%	4.6%							
Fayette County	3.5%	3.4%	4.3%							
State of Georgia	4.8%	4.8%	5.3%							

#### Competition

The assessment area has a competitive banking market. There are 11 other financial institutions operating 46 offices in Coweta and Fayette Counties. Many of these banks are statewide or multi-regional. According to the June 30, 2005 FDIC Market Share Report, Neighborhood Community Bank had a deposit market share of 5.4 percent. However, local competition has not adversely affected the bank's ability to serve the credit needs of its assessment area.

### **Community Contacts**

As part of the CRA examination, information was obtained from two community contacts regarding local economic conditions and community credit needs. Both contacts were helpful and knowledgeable of the economic conditions in Coweta and Fayette Counties. Both contacts generally had positive comments regarding the degree of involvement of the financial institutions in the community.

## CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE CRITERIA

#### SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Neighborhood Community Bank's CRA performance review was based on CRA activities within its assessment area using the small bank examination procedures. The evaluation included an analysis of HMDA loans, and commercial loans originated from January 1, 2002, through December 31, 2005. Because the loan analysis includes loans that were originated before and after MSA and census tract changes made by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) became effective in 2004, loans extended in 2003 were analyzed separately from loans extended in 2004 and 2005.

For all loans originated in 2002, 1990 census demographic data were used to define the bank's assessment area. The assessment area using this data is defined as 18 census tracts, three of which were moderate-income tracts, seven were middle-income tracts, and eight were upper-income tracts. The bank's assessment area does not include any low-income census tracts.

For all loans originated in 2003, 2000 census demographic data were used to define the bank's assessment area. The assessment area using this data is defined as 29 census tracts, one of which was a moderate-income tract, 12 were middle-income tracts, and 16 were upper-income tracts. The bank's assessment area does not include any low-income census tracts.

For all loans originated in 2004 and 2005, 2000 census demographic data reflecting OMB changes were used to define the bank's assessment area. The assessment area using this data is defined as 29 census tracts, one of which is a moderate-income tract, 11 are middle-income tracts, and 17 are upper-income tracts. The bank's assessment area does not include any low-income census tracts.

The bank's assessment area was reviewed using full scope examination procedures. As indicated earlier in this report, Neighborhood Community Bank focuses primarily on commercial loans, and more small business loans than HMDA loans were made during the entire review period (92 commercial versus 72 HMDA). Because of this, slightly greater weight was given to commercial loans to determine the bank's performance under the CRA. In addition, because of the low number of loans made during 2002, when the bank was relatively new, greater weight was given to the bank's performance during the time period beginning January 1, 2003.

The tables on the following pages, and additional tables in Appendix A of this report, show Neighborhood Community Bank's lending performance in the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area. Certain components of the data are discussed on the following pages as they apply to particular parts of the analysis.

	As	ssessment Ar	ea: Neighb	orhood Con	nmunity 20	02						
			_	HM	IDA		_					
Income Categories			t Income			e	ver Income					
	#	%	\$(000s)	%	#	%	\$(000s)	%				
Y	0	0.00/	0	•	Purchase	20.6%	1.42	7.4%				
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	143	7.4%				
Moderate	4	57.1%	586	30.4%	1	14.3%	93 0	4.8%				
Middle	3 0	42.9% 0.0%	1,341 0	69.6% 0.0%	0 4	0.0% 57.1%	0 1,691	0.0% 87.8%				
Upper Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Total	7	100.0%	1,927	100.0%	7	100.0%	1,927	100.0%				
10101	/	100.070	1,927		nance	100.070	1,927	100.070				
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	23.1%	205	11.9%				
Moderate	4	30.8%	392	22.7%	1	7.7%	24	1.4%				
Middle	9	69.2%	1,333	77.3%	3	23.1%	322	18.7%				
Upper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	46.2%	1,174	68.1%				
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Total	13	100.0%	1,725	100.0%	13	100.0%	1,725	100.0%				
		•		Home Im	provement	-						
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Moderate	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3	100.0%				
Middle	1	100.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Upper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Total	1	100.0%	3	100.0%	1	100.0%	3	100.0%				
			-	Multi-	Family	_						
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Moderate	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Middle	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Upper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
<b>.</b>		1			A Totals	1						
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	23.8%						
Moderate	8	38.1%	978	26.8%	3	14.3%						
Middle	13	61.9%	2,677	73.2%	3	14.3%						
Upper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	47.6%	-					
Unknown Total	0 21	0.0%	0 3,655	0.0%	0 21	0.0%						
Total	21	100.0%	5,055		ERCIAL	100.0%	5,055	100.0%				
		#	Ģ	%		000s)	(	322       18.7%         1,174       68.1%         0       0.0%         1,725       100.0%         0       0.0%         3       100.0%         0       0.0%         0       0.0%         0       0.0%         0       0.0%         0       0.0%         0       0.0%         0       0.0%         0       0.0%         0       0.0%         0       0.0%         0       0.0%         0       0.0%         0       0.0%         348       9.5%         120       3.3%         322       8.8%         2,865       78.4%         0       0.0%         0.0%       0.0%         0.0%       0.0%         0.0%       0.0%         0.0%       0.0%				
				By Trac	t Income							
Low		0	0.	0%		0	0.	0%				
Moderate		0	0.	0%		0	0.	0%				
Middle		2	100	0.0%	1,	568	100	0.0%				
Upper		0	0.	0%		0	0.	0%				
Unknown		0	0.	0%		0						
Total		2	100	0.0%		568	100	0.0%				
A1 X 211 X				evenue								
\$1 Million or Less		2		0.0%		568		).0%				
Over \$1 Million Not Known		0		0%		0		0%				
		0		0%		0		0%				
Total		2	100	0.0% By Lo	an Size	568	100	0.0%				
\$100,000 or less		1	50	<b>Бу L0</b> .0%	•	58	А	3%				
\$100,000 - \$250,000		0		0%		0						
\$250,001 - \$1 Million		0		0%		0	0.0% 0.0%					
Total		2		0.0%		568		0.0%				
Originations and Purchases		-	100		1,.		100					

## Loan Distribution Table

	As	ssessment Ar	ea: Neighb			03							
		D T	4 1	HM	IDA	D D	<b>T</b>						
Income Categories	#		t Income	0/	#	By Borrov %	ver Income	0/					
	#	%	\$(000s)	%	# urchase	%	\$(000s)	%					
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	75	10.7%					
Moderate	4	0.0% 66.7%	485	69.4%	1 0	0.0%	0	0.0%					
Middle	4	16.7%	485 64	9.2%	0	16.7%	150	21.5%					
Upper	1	16.7%	150	9.2% 21.5%	4	16.7% 66.7%	474	21.3% 67.8%					
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.0%	4/4	07.8%					
Total	6	100.0%	699	100.0%	6	100.0%	699	100.0%					
Totai	0	100.070	0//		nance	100.070	0//	100.070					
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	15	2.4%					
Moderate	2	28.6%	157	25.5%	1	14.3%	78	12.7%					
Middle	5	71.4%	458	74.5%	2	28.6%	157	25.5%					
Upper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	365	59.3%					
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%					
Total	7	100.0%	615	100.0%	7	100.0%	615	100.0%					
		•		Home Im	provement								
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%					
Moderate	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%					
Middle	2	100.0%	15	100.0%	1	50.0%	10	66.7%					
Upper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	5	33.3%					
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%					
Total	2	100.0%	15	100.0%	2	100.0%	15	100.0%					
				Multi-	Family								
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%					
Moderate	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%					
Middle	2	100.0%	663	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%					
Upper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	25	3.8%					
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	638	96.2%					
Total	2	100.0%	663	100.0%	2	100.0%	663	100.0%					
				HMDA	Totals								
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.8%	90	4.5%					
Moderate	6	35.3%	642	32.2%	1	5.9%	78	3.9%					
Middle	10	58.8%	1,200	60.2%	4	23.5%	317	15.9%					
Upper	1	5.9%	150	7.5%	9	52.9%	869	43.6%					
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	638	32.0%					
Total	17	100.0%	1,992	100.0%	17	100.0%	1,992	100.0%					
					ERCIAL			0         0.0%           10         66.7%           5         33.3%           0         0.0%           15         100.0%           0         0.0%           0         0.0%           0         0.0%           0         0.0%           0         0.0%           0         0.0%           638         96.2%           663         100.0%           90         4.5%           78         3.9%           317         15.9%           869         43.6%           638         32.0%					
		#		% By Tree	\$(0 t Income	00s)	ų	/0					
Low		0	0	<b>Бу Пас</b> 0%		0	۰ ۱	0%					
Moderate													
Middle		1 8		1% .7%		'1 336		5% .2%					
Upper		8 2		.2%		96		3%					
Unknown		0		0%		0		0%					
Total		11		0.0%		703		0.0%					
		11	100		evenue	05	100	.070					
\$1 Million or Less		8	72	.7%		507	32	.0%					
Over \$1 Million		3		.3%		196		.0%					
Not Known		0		0%		0		0%					
Total		11		0.0%		703		.0%					
					an Size								
\$100,000 or less		3	27	.3%		02	2.5	2%					
\$100,001 - \$250,000		2		.2%		49		4%					
\$250,001 - \$1 Million		4		.4%		564		.4%					
Total		11		0.0%		703		.0%					
Originations and Purchases			-				-						

## Loan Distribution Table

	Assess	ment Area:	Neighborho			k 2005		
Income Categories		By Trac	t Income	HM	IDA	By Borroy	ver Income	
Income categories	#	%	\$(000s)	%	#	%	\$(000s)	%
				Home P	urchase			
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	44	2.0%
Moderate	2	18.2%	298	13.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Middle	5	45.5%	798	36.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Upper	4	36.4%	1,112	50.4%	5	45.5%	1,302	59.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	45.5%	862	39.0%
Total	11	100.0%	2,208	100.0%	11	100.0%	2,208	100.0%
					nance			
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Moderate	1	5.0%	272	8.8%	1	5.0%	66	2.1%
Middle	15	75.0%	2,271	73.3%	2	10.0%	542	17.5%
Upper	4	20.0%	557	18.0%	3	15.0%	555	17.9%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14	70.0%	1,937	62.5%
Total	20	100.0%	3,100	100.0%	20	100.0%	3,100	100.0%
					provement		I	
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	10	30.3%
Moderate	1	33.3%	9	27.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Middle	1	33.3%	10	30.3%	1	33.3%	9	27.3%
Upper	1	33.3%	14	42.4%	1	33.3%	14	42.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	3	100.0%	33	100.0%	3	100.0%	33	100.0%
		1			Family		1	
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Moderate	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Middle	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Upper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
					Totals		1	
Low	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.9%	54	1.0%
Moderate	4	11.8%	579	10.8%	1	2.9%	66	1.2%
Middle	21	61.8%	3,079	57.6%	3	8.8%	551	10.3%
Upper	9	26.5%	1,683	31.5%	9	26.5%	1,871	35.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	55.9%	2,799	52.4%
Total	34	100.0%	5,341	100.0%	34	100.0%	5,341	100.0%
		#		COMM	ERCIAL	00s)		%
		π			هرن t Income	008)		/0
Low		0	0	0%		0	0	0%
Moderate		2		5%		80		2%
Middle		50		.3%		,379		.7%
Upper		27		.2%		829		.1%
Unknown		0		0%		0		0%
Total		79		0.0%		588		0.0%
		.,	100		venue	2000	100	1070
\$1 Million or Less		60	75	.9%		,003	56	.9%
Over \$1 Million		18		.8%		160		.7%
Not Known		1		3%		25		4%
Total		79		0.0%		588		0.0%
					an Size			
\$100,000 or less		52	65	.8%		495	14	.2%
\$100,001 - \$250,000		7		9%		238		0%
\$250,001 - \$1 Million		18		.8%				
		79		0.0%	9,374 17,587		53.3% 100.0%	

## **Loan Distribution Table**

#### Loan-to-Deposit Ratio Analysis

Neighborhood Community Bank's average loan-to-deposit (LTD) ratio for the sixteen-quarter period ended December 31, 2005, was 92.8 percent and is considered **MORE THAN REASONABLE** given the institution's size, financial condition, and assessment area credit needs. The LTD ratio ranged from a high of 102.4 percent as of June 30, 2005, to a low of 87.2 percent as of June 30, 2003. Neighborhood Community Bank's average LTD ratio was compared with the LTD ratio of one financial institution of similar asset size with branch offices in the bank's assessment areas. The LTD ratio for this bank was 98.3 percent.

#### **Assessment Area Concentration**

An analysis of the bank's record of lending inside its assessment area was conducted. The review consisted of an analysis of 101 HMDA loans and a sample of 107 commercial loans originated by the bank from January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2005. The following table shows the levels of lending inside and outside the assessment area for each loan type:

Loan Type		Inside Outside							
	#	%	\$(000s)	%	#	%	\$(000s)	%	
Home Improvement	6	85.7	\$51	50.5	1	14.3	\$50	49.5	
Home Purchase	24	61.5	\$4,834	69.9	15	38.5	\$2,082	30.1	
Multi-Family Housing	2	50.0	\$663	37.4	2	50.0	\$1,112	62.6	
Refinancing	40	78.4	\$5,440	82.0	11	21.6	\$1,198	18.0	
Total HMDA related	72	71.3	\$10,988	71.2	29	28.7	\$4,442	28.8	
Total Commercial	92	86.0	\$23,859	81.2	15	14.0	\$5,527	18.8	
TOTAL LOANS	164	78.8	\$34,847	77.8	44	21.2	\$9,969	22.2	

Lending Inside and Outside the Assessment Area

A **MAJORITY** of Neighborhood Community Bank's HMDA and commercial loans are **IN** the bank's assessment area. As illustrated in the table above, 78.8 percent of the bank's HMDA and commercial loans and 77.8 percent of the loan dollars associated with these loans were originated in the assessment area.

#### Lending to Borrowers of Different Incomes and Businesses of Different Sizes

For this analysis, the distribution of HMDA lending across borrower income levels and commercial lending across business revenue sizes was compared with available demographic information. Performance context issues were also considered, as well as the performance of other banks.

## Analysis Based on 1990 Census Data (2002 Loans)

Based on demographic and loan data from the review period, low-income families represented 15.9 percent of total families and received 23.8 percent of Neighborhood Community Bank's HMDA loans, which was significantly higher than the demographic percentage. The bank's percentage of HMDA loans to moderate-income borrowers at 14.3 percent was slightly lower than the percentage of moderate-income families in the assessment area at 16.1 percent. The 2002 peer group loan distribution report for the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area in Appendix A shows that Neighborhood Community Bank's HMDA lending to low-income borrowers at 23.8 percent was significantly higher than the aggregate performance at 7.1 percent. However, the bank's HMDA lending to moderate-income borrowers at 18.0 percent.

Of the two loans to businesses with reported gross revenues originated by Neighborhood Community Bank in the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area, both were originated to businesses with annual gross revenues of \$1 million or less. Neighborhood Community Bank's percentage of lending at 100 percent is higher than the percentage of businesses with annual gross revenues of \$1 million or less in the assessment area at 89.5 percent.

## Analysis Based on 2000 Census Data (2003 Loans)

Based on demographic and loan data from the review period, low-income families represented 13.6 percent of total families and received 11.8 percent of Neighborhood Community Bank's HMDA loans, which was lower than the demographic percentage. The bank's percentage of HMDA loans to moderate-income borrowers at 5.9 percent was significantly lower than the percentage of moderate-income families in the assessment area at 15.2 percent. The 2003 peer group loan distribution report for the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area in Appendix A shows that Neighborhood Community Bank's HMDA lending to low-income borrowers at 11.8 percent was significantly higher than the aggregate performance at 6.1 percent. However, the bank's HMDA lending to moderate-income borrowers at 5.9 percent.

Of the 11 loans to businesses with reported gross revenues originated by Neighborhood Community Bank in the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area, 72.7 percent were originated to businesses with annual gross revenues of \$1 million or less. Neighborhood Community Bank's percentage of lending is somewhat lower than the percentage of businesses with annual gross revenues of \$1 million or less in the assessment area at 89.5 percent.

#### Analysis Based on 2000 Census Data (2004 & 2005)

Based on demographic and loan data from the review period, low-income families represented 13.3 percent of total families and received 5.9 percent of Neighborhood Community Bank's HMDA loans, which was significantly lower than this percentage. A possible reason for part of this lending disparity is that 4.0 percent of families in the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area have incomes below the poverty level. Typically, families with incomes below the poverty level have difficulty qualifying for HMDA loans without flexible or innovative loan underwriting standards. The bank's percentage of HMDA loans to moderate-income borrowers at 2.9 percent was significantly lower than the percentage of moderate-income families in the assessment area at 14.8 percent.

Of the 79 loans to businesses with reported gross revenues originated by Neighborhood Community Bank in the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area, 75.9 percent were originated to businesses with annual gross revenues of \$1 million or less. Neighborhood Community Bank's percentage of lending at 75.9 percent is somewhat lower than the percentage of businesses with annual gross revenues of \$1 million or less in the assessment area at 89.5 percent.

Based on these factors, Neighborhood Community Bank's record of lending to borrowers of different incomes and businesses of different sizes is considered **REASONABLE**.

#### **Geographic Distribution of Loans**

For this analysis the geographic distribution of the bank's HMDA lending and small business lending was compared with the demographic information available. Performance context issues were also considered, as well as the performance of other banks. There are no low-income tracts in the bank's assessment area.

## Analysis Based on 1990 Census Data (2002 Loans)

As indicated in the demographic tables, there are no low-income tracts in the assessment area. Neighborhood Community Bank's level of HMDA lending in the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area in moderate-income tracts at 38.1 percent is significantly higher than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 14.1 percent. The 2002 peer group loan distribution report for the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area in Appendix A shows that Neighborhood Community Bank's HMDA lending in moderate-income tracts at 38.1 percent was significantly higher than the aggregate performance at 11.5 percent.

Neighborhood Community Bank made no commercial loan in moderate-income tracts in the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area. The percentage of small businesses located in these tracts is 10.6 percent. It should be noted that the bank was recently opened in 2002, and there were only two commercial loans from 2002 in the sample.

## Analysis Based on 2000 Census Data (2003 Loans)

Neighborhood Community Bank's level of HMDA lending in the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area in moderate-income tracts at 35.3 percent is significantly higher than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 3.2 percent. The 2003 peer group loan distribution report for the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area in Appendix A shows that Neighborhood Community Bank's HMDA lending in moderate-income tracts at 35.3 percent was significantly higher than the aggregate performance at 2.6 percent.

Neighborhood Community Bank's commercial lending in moderate-income tracts in the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area at 9.1 percent is significantly higher than the percentage of small businesses located in these tracts at 3.0 percent.

## Analysis Based on 2000 Census Data (2004 & 2005 Loans)

Neighborhood Community Bank's level of HMDA lending in the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area in moderate-income tracts at 11.8 percent is significantly higher than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 3.2 percent.

Neighborhood Community Bank's commercial lending in moderate-income tracts in the Coweta and Fayette County assessment area at 2.5 percent is comparable to the percentage of small businesses located in these tracts at 3.0 percent.

Based on these factors, Neighborhood Community Bank's geographic distribution of loans reflects **EXCELLENT** dispersion throughout the assessment area.

## **Responsiveness to Complaints**

The bank has not received any CRA-related complaints since the previous examination.

## **Compliance With Antidiscrimination Laws**

Neighborhood Community Bank is in compliance with the substantive provisions of the antidiscrimination laws and regulations. No evidence of discrimination or the use of illegal credit practices was noted during the review of bank policies and procedures. No practices have the intent or the effect of discouraging applicants for credit.

# APPENDIX A: AGGREGATE LENDING TABLES

		113503511	ent Area:	(Cignbor)		IDA				
Learne Categories		By	y Tract Inco	me	111		By I	Borrower In	come	
Income Categories		Bank			regate		Bank			regate
	#	%	% \$(000s)	%	% \$(000s)		%	% \$(000s)	%	% \$(000s)
						urchase	i			-
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2	28.6%	7.4%	8.1%	5.1%
Moderate	4	57.1%	30.4%	12.5%	9.8%	1	14.3%	4.8%	21.8%	17.5%
Middle	3	42.9%	69.6%	42.8%	39.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	24.9%	23.8%
Upper	0	0.0%	0.0%	44.7%	50.9%	4	57.1%	87.8%	28.9%	35.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	16.3%	17.9%
Total	7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		1	1	1		nance	i	1		1
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3	23.1%	11.9%	6.4%	3.7%
Moderate	4	30.8%	22.7%	10.9%	8.8%	1	7.7%	1.4%	15.9%	11.7%
Middle	9	69.2%	77.3%	40.8%	36.7%	3	23.1%	18.7%	23.4%	20.6%
Upper	0	0.0%	0.0%	48.3%	54.5%	6	46.2%	68.1%	31.9%	40.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	22.3%	23.3%
Total	13	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	13	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
						provement	i			-
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.6%	8.6%
Moderate	0	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	10.5%	1	100.0%	100.0%	18.9%	13.7%
Middle	1	100.0%	100.0%	43.2%	40.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	31.4%	29.8%
Upper	0	0.0%	0.0%	42.6%	48.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	34.0%	43.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	4.7%
Total	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		1				Family				
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Moderate	0	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Middle	0	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	96.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Upper	0	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	3.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		1	1			Totals	1	1 1	1	
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5	23.8%	9.5%	7.1%	4.2%
Moderate	8	38.1%	26.8%	11.5%	9.1%	3	14.3%	3.3%	18.0%	13.6%
Middle	13	61.9%	73.2%	41.5%	38.0%	3	14.3%	8.8%	24.1%	21.6%
Upper	0	0.0%	0.0%	46.9%	52.9%	10	47.6%	78.4%	30.9%	38.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	19.8%	21.8%
Total	21	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	21	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Aggregate Comparison Loan Distribution Table Assessment Area: Neighborhood Community 2002

# APPENDIX A: AGGREGATE LENDING TABLES (Continued)

	-	Assessi	ent Area:	vergnbori		ě.	15			
		D.			HN	IDA	D 1	Donnormon In		
Income Categories		Bank	y Tract Inco		regate		Bank	Borrower In		regate
	#	Main Main Main Main Main Main Main Main	% \$(000s)	%	% \$(000s)	#	%	% \$(000s)	%	% \$(000s)
	π	70	γ <b>υ</b> φ(υυυυ)	70	Home F	urchase	,0	/0 ¢(0005)	70	/0 0(0005)
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	16.7%	10.7%	8.3%	5.5%
Moderate	4	66.7%	69.4%	2.9%	2.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	21.9%	17.4%
Middle	1	16.7%	9.2%	51.4%	45.2%	1	16.7%	21.5%	22.9%	21.5%
Upper	1	16.7%	21.5%	45.7%	52.5%	4	66.7%	67.8%	30.1%	37.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	17.7%
Total	6	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
					Refi	nance				
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	14.3%	2.4%	5.1%	3.0%
Moderate	2	28.6%	25.5%	2.4%	1.8%	1	14.3%	12.7%	15.0%	11.1%
Middle	5	71.4%	74.5%	46.4%	41.0%	2	28.6%	25.5%	21.3%	18.9%
Upper	0	0.0%	0.0%	51.2%	57.2%	3	42.9%	59.3%	33.7%	41.9%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	24.8%	25.1%
Total	7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
					Home Im	provement				
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.3%
Moderate	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	2.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	24.1%	16.0%
Middle	2	100.0%	100.0%	50.4%	36.8%	1	50.0%	66.7%	26.8%	21.7%
Upper	0	0.0%	0.0%	45.9%	60.9%	1	50.0%	33.3%	35.7%	49.1%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	8.9%
Total	2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		1				Family			1	1
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Moderate	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Middle	2	100.0%	100.0%	75.0%	96.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Upper	0	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	3.4%	1	50.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	50.0%	96.2%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		1				Totals	1		1	1
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2	11.8%	4.5%	6.1%	3.7%
Moderate	6	35.3%	32.2%	2.6%	2.0%	1	5.9%	3.9%	17.2%	12.9%
Middle	10	58.8%	60.2%	47.9%	42.4%	4	23.5%	15.9%	21.8%	19.7%
Upper	1	5.9%	7.5%	49.5%	55.7%	9	52.9%	43.6%	32.7%	40.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	5.9%	32.0%	22.2%	23.1%
Total	17	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	17	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Aggregate Comparison Loan Distribution Table Assessment Area: Neighborhood Community 2003

# APPENDIX A: AGGREGATE LENDING TABLES (Continued)

1		Assessii	ient Area:	Neignbori	100d Comr	ě	/4				
	HMDA										
Income Categories		By Tract Income Bank Aggregate					By Borrower Income Bank Aggregate				
	#	<b>Dank</b>	% \$(000s)	Aggi %	regate	#	Maink	% \$(000s)	Aggi %	regate   % \$(000s)	
	#	70	70 φ(0003)	70		urchase	70	70 φ(0003)	70	70 φ(0003)	
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	4.4%	
Moderate	1	16.7%	5.4%	2.8%	2.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	21.4%	15.8%	
Middle	2	33.3%	23.3%	41.3%	35.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	21.8%	
Upper	3	50.0%	71.3%	55.9%	62.6%	3	50.0%	55.5%	33.7%	43.5%	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3	50.0%	44.5%	14.5%	14.5%	
Total	6	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
			11		Refi	nance	1				
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	3.8%	
Moderate	1	7.7%	13.7%	3.2%	2.2%	1	7.7%	3.3%	17.4%	12.8%	
Middle	10	76.9%	68.3%	40.1%	34.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	23.2%	21.4%	
Upper	2	15.4%	17.9%	56.7%	63.4%	3	23.1%	28.0%	33.8%	43.6%	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9	69.2%	68.6%	19.1%	18.4%	
Total	13	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	13	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
						provement					
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	8.1%	4.5%	
Moderate	0	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	3.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	24.1%	19.9%	
Middle	1	100.0%	100.0%	37.3%	28.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	28.1%	23.9%	
Upper	0	0.0%	0.0%	57.2%	68.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	32.8%	41.8%	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	10.0%	
Total	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
						Family	1		1	1	
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Moderate	0	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Middle	0	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Upper	0	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	99.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		1	1 <b>I</b>		1	Totals	1	1	I	1	
Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	5.0%	0.3%	6.8%	4.1%	
Moderate	2	10.0%	11.1%	3.1%	2.1%	1	5.0%	2.3%	19.6%	14.4%	
Middle	13	65.0%	54.7%	40.6%	34.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	21.6%	
Upper	5	25.0%	34.2%	56.3%	63.2%	6	30.0%	36.3%	33.7%	43.4%	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12	60.0%	61.0%	16.4%	16.6%	
Total	20	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Aggregate Comparison Loan Distribution Table Assessment Area: Neighborhood Community 2004

## **APPENDIX B: GENERAL INFORMATION AND DEFINITIONS**

## General Information

The Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) requires each federal financial supervisory agency to use its authority when examining financial institutions subject to its supervision, to assess the institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operation of the institution. Upon conclusion of such examination, the agency must prepare a written evaluation of the institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its community.

This document is an evaluation of the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) performance of <u>Neighborhood</u> <u>Community Bank</u> prepared by the <u>Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta</u>, the institution's supervisory agency, as of <u>April 17, 2006</u>. The agency evaluates performance in assessment area(s), as they are delineated by the institution, rather than individual branches. This assessment area evaluation may include visits to some, but not necessarily all, of the institution's branches. The agency rates the CRA performance of an institution consistent with the provisions set forth in to 12 CFR Part 228.

## **Income Definitions**

Throughout this evaluation, the following definitions are used for the various income levels. These levels are defined in the CRA.

Low-Income -	An individual income, or median family income for geographies, that is less than 50						
	percent of the area median income.						
Moderate-Income -	An individual income, or median family income for geographies, that is at least 50						
	percent but less than 80 percent of the area median income.						
Middle-Income -	An individual income, or median family income for geographies, that is at least 80						
	percent but less than 120 percent of the area median income.						
Upper-Income -	An individual income, or median family income for geographies, that is 120 percent or						
	more of the area median income.						

## **APPENDIX B: GENERAL INFORMATION AND DEFINITIONS (Continued)**

Other Definitions	
ATM -	Automated Teller Machine
FDIC -	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
HMDA -	Home Mortgage Disclosure Act
HUD -	Department of Housing and Urban Development
LTD -	Loan-to-Deposit Ratio
MSA -	Metropolitan Statistical Area

# **Rounding Convention**

Because the percentages presented in tables were rounded to the nearest tenth in most cases, some columns may not total exactly 100 percent.