## **PUBLIC DISCLOSURE**

December 10, 2012

## **COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT**

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Bank of Rantoul RSSD# 37949

201 East Champaign Avenue Rantoul, Illinois 61866

Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago

230 South LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60604-1413

NOTE:

This document is an evaluation of this bank's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operation of the bank. This evaluation is not, nor should it be construed as, an assessment of the financial condition of this bank. The rating assigned to this bank does not represent an analysis, conclusion or opinion of the federal financial supervisory agency concerning the safety and soundness of this financial bank.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION	2
SCOPE OF EXAMINATION	
DESCRIPTION OF BANK	3
DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S ASSESSMENT AREAS	4
CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	4
FAIR LENDING OR OTHER ILLEGAL CREDIT PRACTICES REVIEW	6
CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, IL MSA 16580 – FULL REVIEW	7
DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, IL MSA 168 CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, IL MSA 16580	
DANVILLE, IL MSA 19180 – LIMITED REVIEW	
DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN DANVILLE, IL MSA 19180	21
APPENDIX A – SCOPE OF EXAMINATION	
APPENDIX B – GLOSSARY	28

#### BANK OF RANTOUL'S CRA RATING

Bank of Rantoul is rated satisfactory.

Bank of Rantoul provides credit consistent with its asset size, location, and local economic conditions within its assessment area. The loan-to deposit ratio (LTD) is reasonable considering the characteristics of the bank, its local competitors, and the credit needs within the assessment area. A majority of the bank's loans are originated inside the assessment area. A geographic distribution analysis of the bank's loans indicates reasonable dispersion among the geographies of the assessment area. The distribution of borrowers reflects reasonable penetration among individuals of different income levels and businesses and farms of different sizes. There were no CRA-related complaints received by the bank or this Reserve Bank since the previous evaluation.

#### **SCOPE OF EXAMINATION**

The bank's CRA performance was evaluated in the context of information about the institution and its assessment area, such as asset size, financial condition, competition, and economic and demographic characteristics. Performance within the designated assessment area was evaluated using small bank, full-scope examination procedures based on the following performance criteria:

- Loan-to-Deposit Ratio A 16-quarter average loan-to-deposit ratio was calculated from September 30, 2008 through June 30, 2012, for the bank and compared to its national peer and a sample of local competitors.
- Lending in the Assessment Area The bank's 2010 and 2011 home mortgage loans (HMDA-reportable), along with small business and small farm loans originated between September 1, 2011 and August 31, 2012, were reviewed to determine the percentage of loans originated in the assessment area.
- Geographic Distribution of Lending in the Assessment Area The bank's 2010 and 2011
  HMDA-reportable loans, along with small business and small farm loans originated
  between September 1, 2011 and August 31, 2012, were analyzed to determine the extent to
  which the bank is making loans in geographies of different income levels, particularly those
  designated as low- and moderate-income.
- Lending to Borrowers of Different Income and to Businesses and Farms of Different Sizes The bank's 2010 and 2011 HMDA-reportable loans, small business and small farm loans originated between September 1, 2011 August 31, 2012, were reviewed to determine the distribution among borrowers of different income levels, particularly those considered low-or moderate-income (LMI), and to businesses and farms with different revenue sizes.

• *Response to Substantiated Complaints* – Neither Bank of Rantoul nor this Reserve Bank received any CRA-related complaints since the previous evaluation.

The evaluation includes a full review of the Champaign-Urbana, Illinois Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) #16580 assessment area and a limited review of the Danville, Illinois MSA #19180 assessment area.

#### **DESCRIPTION OF BANK**

Bank of Rantoul, with total assets of \$214 million as of September 30, 2012, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Bancorp Rantoul, Inc., a one-bank holding company located in Rantoul, Illinois. The bank operates a main office with a full-service ATM, one branch with a cash-only ATM in Rossville, Illinois, a limited service location with a full-service ATM, and a stand-alone cash-only ATM.

The bank offers a variety of deposit and credit products to meet the banking needs of consumers, businesses, and farming entities operating in its assessment areas. Deposit products include checking, savings, negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW), money market accounts, individual retirement accounts (IRAs), and certificates of deposit (CDs). Lending products include secured and unsecured consumer, residential purchase and refinance, commercial, and agricultural loans. The bank also offers 24-hour automated telephone banking and online services, enabling customer access to account balance information and inter-account transfer of funds.

Table 1								
	Composition of Loan Portfolio as of September 30, 2012							
	(000's)							
Category	Туре	\$	%					
Real Estate Secured	1-4 Family and Multi-Family Residential	12,727	13.2					
	Farmland	11,287	11.7					
	Non-farm, Non-residential	21,504	22.3					
	<b>Total Real Estate Secured</b>	45,518	47.2					
Agricultural	Agricultural	23,028	23.9					
Commercial	Commercial and Industrial	26,201	27.2					
Consumer	Loans to Individuals	1,605	1.7					
Other	Loans to State and Political Subdivisions	20	0.0					
	Total	96,372	100.0					
Note: Percentages may not ac	dd to 100.0 percent due to rounding.							

As the data in the preceding table indicates, commercial, industrial, and non-farm, non-residential loans comprise the largest portion (49.5 percent) of the bank's outstanding loans. Agricultural and farmland loans also comprise a significant portion (35.8 percent) of the bank's portfolio, and residential real estate loans make up a smaller portion (13.2 percent).

As of the June 30, 2012 FDIC Summary of Deposits Market Share Report, Bank of Rantoul held 3.3 percent of the deposits in Champaign, Ford, and Vermilion counties, ranking 6<sup>th</sup> of 44 institutions. The top three banks, by deposit market share, in the assessment areas are Busey Bank (31.3 percent); JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association (5.7 percent); and First Financial Bank, National Association (5.2 percent). Bank identified local competitors include: First Bank & Trust (Paris, IL); Busey Bank (Champaign, IL); MainSource Bank (Greensburg; IN); Gifford State Bank (Gifford, IL); and Fisher National Bank (Fisher, IL).

There are no known legal, financial or other factors impeding the bank's ability to help meet the credit needs in its communities.

The bank was rated satisfactory under the CRA at its previous evaluation conducted on December 1, 2008.

#### DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S ASSESSMENT AREAS

Bank of Rantoul's assessment areas are comprised of portions of two separate metropolitan statistical areas; specifically, ten census tracts in the Champaign-Urbana, Illinois MSA #16580, and three census tracts in the Danville, Illinois MSA #19180. Of the 13 census tracts within the bank's two assessment areas, two are moderate- and 11 are middle-income. There are no low- or upper-income census tracts in the assessment areas.

The bank's assessment areas remain unchanged since the previous evaluation. Detailed descriptions of each assessment area are presented in the individual sections.

#### CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE CRITERIA

#### Loan-to-Deposit (LTD) Ratio

The bank's average loan-to-deposit (LTD) ratio was evaluated relative to the bank's capacity to lend, its competitors, and its peer LTD ratios. Also considered were demographic factors, economic conditions, and lending opportunities present in the assessment area.

The following table summarizes the bank's loan-to-deposit ratio for the 16 quarters ending June 30, 2012. The bank's most recent LTD was 51.4 percent, with a 16-quarter average of 65.8 percent ending June 30, 2012. The table also compares the bank's data with similar data for other competitors in the assessment areas and the national peer group of financial institutions with similar asset sizes and branch networks. The LTD is reasonable, given the bank's size, financial condition, and assessment area credit needs. Although lower than its national peer group and competitors, the bank's average LTD indicates a willingness and ability to lend.

Table 2						
Comparative Loan-to-Deposit Ratios						
Institution	Loan-to-Deposit Ratio (%)					
Institution	16 – Quarter Average					
Bank of Rantoul; Rantoul, IL	65.8					
National Peer Group 4*	78.8					
Competitors						
First Bank & Trust, S.B.; Paris, IL	97.5					
Busey Bank; Champaign, IL	81.0					
MainSource Bank; Greensburg, IN	78.6					
Gifford State Bank; Gifford, IL	76.6					
Fisher National Bank; Fisher, IL	73.6					
*The bank's peer group, as defined in the Uniform Bank Performance R	eport, includes all insured commercial banks with assets between \$100 and					

#### **Assessment Area Concentration**

\$300 million in a metropolitan statistical area with three or more full service offices.

The following table summarizes the bank's lending inside and outside its combined assessment area by product. A majority of HMDA-reportable, small business, and small farm loans are originated in the combined assessment area. Of the 49 loans made during the evaluation period, 65.3 percent of loans by number and 50.3 percent by dollar were made in the assessment area, indicating the bank is serving surrounding communities.

Table 3									
Lending Inside and Outside the Assessment Area									
Loan Type		In	side			Ou	Outside		
	#	%	\$ (000s)	%	#	%	\$ (000s)	%	
Home Purchase Loans	13	86.7	438	67.7	2	13.3	209	32.3	
Refinanced Loans	13	56.5	1,319	46.5	10	43.5	1,520	53.5	
Home Improvement Loans	7	87.5	88	94.6	1	12.5	5	5.4	
Multi-Family Loans	1	100.0	127	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total HMDA-Reportable Loans	34	72.3	1,972	53.2	13	27.7	1,734	46.8	
Small Business Loans	11	78.6	429	69.7	3	21.4	186	30.3	
Small Farm Loans	4	28.6	330	29.8	10	71.4	777	70.2	
Total Small Business/Small Farm	15	53.6	758	44.1	13	46.4	963	55.9	
Total Loans	49	65.3	2,730	50.3	26	34.7	2,697	49.7	
Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 percentages	ent due to re	ounding.							

#### Geographic and Borrower Distribution

Overall, the geographic distribution of loans reflects reasonable penetration throughout the assessment area. The overall distribution of loans, based on borrower incomes and revenues, reflects a reasonable penetration among borrowers of different income levels and businesses and farms of different sizes. The bank's performance is discussed in detail under each assessment area discussion.

## **Response to Complaints**

Neither Bank of Rantoul nor this Reserve Bank received any CRA-related complaints since the previous evaluation.

## FAIR LENDING OR OTHER ILLEGAL CREDIT PRACTICES REVIEW

No evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs was identified.

## CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, ILLINOIS METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (MSA# 16580) – FULL REVIEW

#### **SCOPE OF EXAMINATION**

The scope of the examination is consistent with the overall scope described in the institution summary.

# DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, ILLINOIS MSA# 16850

Bank of Rantoul's assessment area in the Champaign-Urbana MSA is comprised of ten census tracts, of which two are moderate-income and eight are middle-income. Specifically, the assessment area consists of the northern portion of Champaign County containing census tracts 101.00, 102.01, 102.03, 103.00, 104.00, and 105.00; and the southern portion of Ford County containing census tracts 9617.00, 9618.00, 9619.00, and 9620.00.

The main office of the bank, with an attached ATM, is located in a moderate-income census tract, with the limited service branch and one ATM located in middle-income census tracts. The standalone ATM is situated in a moderate-income census tract. No branch offices were opened or closed since the previous CRA evaluation.

As of the June 30, 2012 FDIC Summary of Deposits Market Share Report, Bank of Rantoul held 3.5 percent of the deposits in Champaign and Ford counties, ranking 6<sup>th</sup> out of 35 institutions. The top three banks, by deposit market share, are Busey Bank (39.0 percent); JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association (7.1 percent); and PNC Bank, National Association (5.5 percent).

Table 4									
	(	Assess Champai	sment A gn-Urba						
Income Categories	Dist	Tract ribution	Families by Tract Income		Level as % of	Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%		#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	1,886	20.1
Moderate-income	2	20.0		1,731	18.4	141	8.1	2,165	23.0
Middle-income	8	80.0		7,663	81.6	442	5.8	2,578	27.4
Upper-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	2,765	29.4
Unknown-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
<b>Total Assessment Area</b>	10	100.0		9,394	100.0	583	6.2	9,394	100.0
	Total I	Housing				Housing Types	by Tract		
	ι	Units by	0	wner-oo	cunied		Rental		Vacant
		Tract							
		#	#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income		0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate-income		3,276	1,212	13.2	37.0	1,535	46.9	529	16.1
Middle-income		11,912	7,971	86.8	66.9	3,005	25.2	936	7.9
Upper-income		0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown-income		0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Assessment Area		15,188	9,183	100.0	60.5	4,540	29.9	1,465	9.6
	Total Busin	esses by				esses by Tract & Revenue Size			
		Tract	Le	Less Than or = \$1		Over \$1	Over \$1 Million		nue Not
	"	0/			Million			Reporte	
т •	#	%		#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate-income	278	19.6		244	19.2	15	22.7	19	23.8
Middle-income	1,142	80.4		1,030	80.8	51	77.3	61	76.3
Upper-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown-income Total Assessment Area	1 420	0.0 <b>100.0</b>		0	0.0 <b>100.0</b>	0 <b>66</b>	0.0 <b>100.0</b>	0 <b>80</b>	0.0 <b>100.0</b>
Total Assessment Area	1,420	age of Tot		1,274	89.7	00	4.6	80	5.6
	1 ercenta	ige of Total	ai Dusiiie	.5565.		l ms by Tract & F		7.0	5.0
	Total F	arms by	Io	ss Than			Million		nue Not
	Total I	Tract	Le		Million	Over \$1	1411111011		nue Noi Leported
	#	%		#	%	#	%	#	«eporteu
Low-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate-income	3	0.8		2	0.5	1	33.3	0	0.0
Middle-income	373	99.2		371	99.5	2	66.7	0	0.0
Upper-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown-income	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0		
	-							0	0.0
Total Assessment Area	376 Percentage of	100.0		373	100.0 99.2	3	100.0	0	0.0
		LOGAL FAT	1016		997		U.S		0.0

#### **Population Characteristics**

Champaign County, with a population of 201,081, increased in population by 11.9 percent since the 2000 U.S. Census. The increase in population may be attributed to the availability of employment in Champaign County, specifically for the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. By contrast, Ford County's population slightly decreased during the same time period, and Illinois' population increased only 3.3 percent.

Table 5						
	Population Change					
	2000 and 2010					
	2000 Population	2010 Population	Percentage			
Area			Change			
Assessment Area	49,954	N/A	N/A			
Champaign County, IL	179,669	201,081	11.9			
Ford County, IL	14,241	14,081	-1.1			
Champaign-Urbana, IL MSA	210,275	231,891	10.3			
State of Illinois	12,419,293	12,830,632	3.3			
Source: 2000—U.S. Census Bureau: Decennial Census 2010—U.S. Census Bureau: Decennial Census						

#### **Income Characteristics**

Based on 2000 U.S. Census Bureau figures the median family income (MFI) in the bank's assessment area was \$44,849. The Census Bureau estimates show the MFI for Champaign and Ford counties increased notably since 2000. The increases are also above increases for the state. Although the 2006-2010 median family income estimate was not available for the assessment area, increases within all of the areas suggest the assessment area would have also increased proportionately. The data in the following table is a comparison of median family incomes for the assessment area, counties, MSA, and the state.

Table 6			
	<b>Median Family Income</b>	Change	
	2000 and 2010		
	2000 Median Family	2006-2010 Median	Percentage
Area	Income	Family Income	Change
Assessment Area	44,849	N/A	N/A
Champaign County, IL	52,591	65,785	25.1
Ford County, IL	44,947	62,819	39.8
Champaign-Urbana, IL MSA	N/A	65,521	N/A
State of Illinois	55,545	68,236	22.8
Source: 2000 – U.S. Census Bureau: Decenn	ial Census		
2000-2010 — U.S. Census Bureau: Ar	merican Community Survey		

#### **Housing Characteristics**

According to 2000 U.S. Census Bureau information there are a total of 15,188 housing units in the Champaign-Urbana MSA, of which a majority are owner-occupied. More specifically, 60.5 percent of the housing units are owner-occupied, 29.9 percent are rental units, and 9.6 percent are vacant units.

As of 2010, Champaign County had the highest median housing value and median gross rent within the assessment area at \$145,200 and \$730, respectively. As of 2010, the state of Illinois maintains the highest median housing value and median gross rent at \$202,500 and \$834, respectively.

Table 7								
Trends in Housing Costs								
	2000	and 2010						
	2000 Median	2006-2010	2000 Median	2006-2010 Median				
Location	Housing Value	Median	Gross Rent	Gross Rent				
		Housing Value						
Assessment Area	68,643	N/A	461	N/A				
Champaign County, IL	91,200	145,200	540	730				
Ford County, IL	71,200	90,700	412	573				
Champaign-Urbana, IL MSA	N/A	138,400	N/A	723				
State of Illinois	127,800	202,500	605	834				
Source: 2000 – U.S. Census Bureau: Decennial Census 2000-2010 – U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey								

#### **Employment Conditions**

Unemployment statistics, as presented in the table below, for the counties comprising the bank's assessment area, state of Illinois, and nation indicate a weak job market, as annual unemployment rates remained elevated since 2009. Of the two counties in the assessment area, Champaign County's annual unemployment rate was the lowest during the evaluation period, yet it remains higher than historical levels.

Table 8							
Unemployment Rates							
Region	2008	2009	2010	2011			
Champaign County, IL	5.7	8.2	9.0	8.4			
Ford County, IL	6.3	9.9	10.1	9.4			
Champaign-Urbana, IL MSA	5.7	8.3	9.0	8.4			
State of Illinois	6.4	10.0	10.3	9.8			
United States	5.8	9.3	9.6	8.9			
Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics: Local Area Uni	employment Statistics						

According to the America's Labor Market Information System, the primary employment sector in the assessment area is education. More specifically, the University of Illinois at Urbana-

Champaign is the largest employer with 11,056 employees. The second largest employment sector in the assessment area is healthcare.

Table 9								
Largest Employers in the Assessment Area								
Company	Number of Employees	Industry						
University of IL-Urbana-Champaign	11,056	Colleges, Universities & Professional Schools						
Carle Foundation Hospital	2,000	General Medical & Surgical Hospitals						
Carle Physicians Group	1,500	Freestanding Ambulatory Surgical &						
		Emergency Centers						
Kraft Foods	1,300	Packaged Frozen Food Merchant Wholesalers						
Provena	850	General Medical & Surgical Hospitals						
Provena United Samaritan Medical Center	850	Offices of Physicians (Exchange Mental Health						
(PUSMC)		Specialists)						
Walgreens Accounting Center	850	Other Accounting Services						
Source: America's Labor Market Information System								

#### **Bankruptcy Rates**

According to the FDIC, the 2011 bankruptcy rate in Ford County was 26<sup>th</sup> out of 102 counties in Illinois; Champaign County was 86<sup>th</sup> out of 102 counties. Ford County's personal bankruptcy rate per 1,000 individuals was 4.5 percent in 2011, compared to 4.2 percent in 2010. Champaign County showed a decrease in bankruptcy rates from 2010 through 2011. Champaign County's personal bankruptcy rate per 1,000 individuals was 2.6 percent in 2011, compared to 3.1 percent in 2010. Overall, the bankruptcy rates in Ford County and Champaign County were lower than the bankruptcy rate for the state of Illinois, which was 5.5 percent in 2011.

#### **Community Representatives**

Three community representatives were contacted during the examination to increase understanding of credit needs of the assessment area. Contacts mentioned declining home values and the need for farm capital are concerns in the area.

## CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, ILLINOIS METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (MSA# 16580)

#### **LENDING TEST**

Bank of Rantoul is meeting the credit needs of the assessment area, based on an analysis of the bank's lending activities. The geographic distribution of loans reflects reasonable dispersion throughout the assessment area. The bank's lending activity exhibits a reasonable distribution of loans across borrowers of different income levels and across businesses and farms of different sizes.

## **Geographic Distribution of Loans**

The geographic distribution of loans reflects reasonable dispersion throughout the assessment area. No unexplained or conspicuous gaps in lending were identified.

## **HMDA-Reportable Lending**

Total home mortgage loans declined in 2011 by 47.6 percent from 2010, due to reduced demand for residential real estate loans. In 2011, the bank originated 54.5 percent, by number, of HMDA-reportable loans in moderate-income census tracts and 45.5 percent of HMDA-reportable loans in middle-income census tracts. Performance exceeded the aggregate lending totals, 8.5 percent and 91.5 percent, respectively. Performance was consistent with 2010 lending.

Table 10				_					
Home Mortgage Lending, 2011 Geographic Distribution (000s)									
Census Tract Income		Bank 1		Aggregate of All Lenders		Owner- Occupied Housing			
Level	#	%	\$	%	# %	\$ %	%		
Home Purcha	ise Loans								
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	4	100.0	131	100.0	13.2	7.6	13.2		
Middle	0	0.0	0	0.0	86.8	92.4	86.8		
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total	4	100.0	131	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Refinanced L	oans								
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	2	50.0	109	43.4	6.2	3.6			
Middle	2	50.0	142	56.6	93.8	96.4			
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total	4	100.0	251	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Home Improv	vement Loans								
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	5.4	4.8			
Middle	2	100.0	7	100.0	94.6	95.2			
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total	2	100.0	7	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Multifamily 1	Loans								
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Middle	1	100.0	127	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total	1	100.0	127	100.0	100.0	100.0			
<b>Total Home N</b>	Mortgage Loans								
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	6	54.5	240	46.5	8.5	4.9			
Middle	5	45.5	276	53.5	91.5	95.1			
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total	11	100.0	516	100.0	100.0	100.0			
	es may not add to 10		nt due to rounding.						

#### **Small Business Lending**

The bank originated 11.1 percent of its loans by number and 0.7 percent by dollar volume to businesses located in moderate-income census tracts. While the figures are below the percentage of businesses located in the moderate-income census tracts, they indicate the bank is lending to businesses in areas of need. Furthermore, the bank's volume of lending in the evaluation time period is relatively low. The following table summarizes the bank's small business lending by income level designation of the geography.

Table 11 Small Business Lending September 1, 2011 – August 31, 2012 Geographic Distribution (000s)								
Census Tract	Businesses by Census Tract Bank Loans Income Level							
Income Level	#	%	\$	%	%			
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	1	11.1	3	0.7	19.6			
Middle	8	88.9	384	99.3	80.4			
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Total	9	100.0	387	100.0	100.0			
Note: Percent	ages may not add t	o 100.0 p	ercent due to rou	ınding.				

## **Small Farm Lending**

The bank originated 50.0 percent of its loans by number and 79.4 percent by dollar volume to farms located in moderate-income census tracts; however, only two small farm loans were originated during the review period. The following table summarizes the bank's agricultural lending by income level designation of the geography.

Table 12							
Small Farm Lending							
	Septem	ber 1, 20	011 – August	31, 2012	2		
	Geographic Distribution						
(000s)							
					Farms by		
Census	Census						
Tract		Bank	Loans		Income Level		
Income	#	%	\$	%	%		
Level	π	/0	Ψ	/0	/0		
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	1	50.0	250	79.4	0.8		
Middle	1	50.0	65	20.6	99.2		
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	2	100.0	315	100.0	100.0		
Note: Percent	tages may not	add to 10	0.0 percent due	to round	ing.		

#### Lending to Borrowers of Different Income Levels and to Businesses of Farms of Different Sizes

The bank's record of lending demonstrates reasonable distribution of loans among individuals of different income levels, particularly individuals with low- and moderate-incomes, and businesses and farms of different sizes, given the product lines offered by the bank.

#### **HMDA-Reportable Lending**

The bank made a majority of loans to upper- and middle-income borrowers; however, penetration was reasonable to low- and moderate-income borrowers. In 2011, the bank originated 9.1 percent of loans by volume and 0.6 percent by dollar amount to low-income individuals, generally consistent with aggregate lenders. However, moderate-income lending was below aggregate in both number and dollar. For 2010, the bank's lending to low- and moderate-income borrowers was greater in both number (47.6 percent) and dollar (22.9 percent). These figures were also comparable to aggregate. The following table represents the bank's distribution of home mortgage loans.

Table 13								
	Home Mortgage Lending, 2011							
	Borrower Distribution							
			(000s					
Income Level	Bank Loans		Bank Loans	,	Aggregate	of A11	Families by	
of the	Durin Zouris		Dunia Lourio		Lenders	01111	Family Income	
Borrower							Level	
	#	%	\$	%	# %	\$ %	%	
Home Purchase	e Loans							
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	18.5	13.4	20.1	
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	28.8	25.1	23.0	
Middle	0	0.0	0	0.0	25.1	28.3	27.4	
Upper	1	25.0	25	19.1	17.6	24.5	29.4	
Unknown	3	75.0	106	80.9	10.0	8.6	0.0	
Total	4	100.0	131	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Refinanced Loa	ans							
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	8.4	4.8		
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	23.6	15.8		
Middle	1	25.0	106	42.2	24.9	23.2		
Upper	2	50.0	60	23.9	31.8	40.4		
Unknown	1	25.0	85	33.9	11.4	15.7		
Total	4	100.0	251	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Home Improve	ement Loans							
Low	1	50.0	3	42.9	16.1	11.9		
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	23.2	16.7		
Middle	1	50.0	4	57.1	30.4	42.1		
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	26.8	28.9		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	3.6	0.4		
Total	2	100.0	7	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Multifamily Lo	oans							
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Middle	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Unknown	1	100.0	127	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total	1	100.0	127	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total Home M	ortgage Loans							
Low	1	9.1	3	0.6	12.4	7.8		
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	25.3	18.9		
Middle	2	18.2	110	21.3	25.2	25.0		
Upper	3	27.3	85	16.5	26.4	34.4		
Unknown	5	45.5	318	61.6	10.7	13.9		
Total	11	100.0	516	100.0	100.0	100.0		

## **Small Business Lending**

The bank originated 66.7 percent of loans by number and 72.0 percent by dollar volume to businesses with revenues equal to or less than \$1 million. Additionally, 88.9 percent of all small

business originations were made in amounts equal to \$100,000 or less. Loan size is a reasonable indicator of the size of the business borrower, and small dollar loans are typically originated to smaller businesses. Emphasis is placed on loans in amounts of \$100,000 or less, because the category of lending is considered most likely to benefit small businesses. Of the bank's loans made to businesses with annual revenues of \$1 million or less, 83.3 percent were made in amounts equal to or less than 100,000, indicating the bank is serving business community needs.

Table 14							
Small Business Loan Distribution September 1, 2011 – August 31, 2012 By Revenue and Loan Size (000s)							
Category Bank Loans					Ann	Businesses By Annual Revenues	
	#	%	\$	%	#	%	
By Revenue							
\$1 Million or Less	6	66.7	279	72.0	1,274	89.7	
Over \$1 Million	3	33.3	108	28.0	66	4.6	
Not Known	0	0.0	0	0.0	80	5.6	
Total	9	100.0	387	100.0	1,420	100.0	
By Loan Size							
\$100,000 or less	8	88.9	212	54.8			
\$100,000 - \$250,000	1	11.1	175	45.2			
\$250,000 - \$1 Million	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Total	9	100.0	387	100.0			
By Loan Size and Revenue \$1 Mil	lion or Less						
\$100,000 or less	5	83.3	104	37.2			
\$100,000 - \$250,000	1	16.7	175	62.8			
\$250,000 - \$1 Million	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Total	6	100.0	279	100.0			
Note: Percentages may not add to 100	).0 percent due t	o rounding.					

#### Small Farm Lending

The bank originated 100.0 percent of farm loans by number and 100.0 percent by dollar volume to farms with revenues equal to or less than \$1 million; however, only a total of two loans were originated within the timeframe. In addition, 50.0 percent of all farm loans were made for an amount equal to \$100,000 or less. Loan size is a reasonable indicator of the size of the farms, and small dollar loans are typically originated to smaller farms. Emphasis is placed on loans in amounts of \$100,000 or less, because the category of lending is considered most likely to benefit small farms. Of the bank's loans made to farms with annual revenues of \$1 million or less, 50.0 percent were made in amounts equal to or less than \$100,000, indicating the bank is serving farm community needs.

Table 15									
Small Farm Loan Distribution									
September 1, 2011 – August 31, 2012									
By Revenue and Loan Size									
	(000s)								
					Farms By	Annual			
Category		Bank	Loans		Reve	nues			
	#	%	\$	%	#	%			
	]	By Revenu	ie						
\$1 Million or Less	2	100.0	315	100.0	373	99.2			
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	0.8			
Not Known	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Total	2	100.0	315	100.0	376	100.0			
	E	By Loan Si	ze						
\$100,000 or less	1	50.0	65	20.6					
\$100,000 - \$250,000	1	50.0	250	79.4					
\$250,000 - \$500,000	0	0.0	0	0.0					
Total	2	100.0	315	100.0					
By I	oan Size and	Revenue	\$1 Million or	Less					
\$100,000 or less	1	50.0	65	20.6					
\$100,000 - \$250,000	1	50.0	250	79.4					
\$250,000 - \$500,000	0	0.0	0	0.0					
Total	2	100.0	315	100.0					
Note: Percentages may not add t	o 100.0 percen	t due to rou	nding.						

# DANVILLE, ILLINOIS METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (MSA#19180) – LIMITED REVIEW

#### **SCOPE OF EXAMINATION**

The scope of the examination is consistent with the overall scope described in the institution summary.

## DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN DANVILLE, IL MSA 19180

The Danville MSA is comprised of three middle-income census tracts. The bank operates one branch and one ATM located in Rossville, Illinois.

As of the June 30, 2012 FDIC Summary of Deposits Market Share Report, Bank of Rantoul held 2.9 percent of the deposits in the Danville MSA, ranking 11<sup>th</sup> out of 16 institutions.

Demographic information for the assessment area is presented in the following table.

Dist. #	Tract ribution							
				ilies by Income	Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
0	%		#	<b>%</b>	#	%	#	%
Ŭ	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	647	16.6
0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	686	17.5
3	100.0		3,909	100.0	324	8.3	1,042	26.7
0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	1,534	39.2
0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
			3,909				3,909	100.0
	_				Housing Types	by Tract		
Ì	-	O	wner-o	cupied		Rental		Vacant
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
								0.0
	0	0			0		0	0.0
								8.6
				+	0			0.0
· ·		0			0			0.0
6,006				+			517	8.6
				Busin			Size	
Total Busin	esses by Tract	Le	Less Than or = \$1  Million  Over \$1 Million			Reve	nue Not Reported	
#	%		1		#	%		%
							+	0.0
0			0	0.0	0		0	0.0
599			549	100.0	20		30	100.0
0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
599	100.0		549	100.0	20	100.0	30	100.0
Percenta	age of Tota	al Busine	esses:	91.7		3.3		5.0
			<u> </u>	Far	ms by Tract & F	Revenue Siz	e	
Total F	arms by	Le	ss Than	or = \$1	Over \$1	Million	Reve	nue Not
	Tract			Million			R	Reported
#	%		#	%	#	%	#	%
0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
183	100.0		183	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
183	100.0		183	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Percentage of Total Farms: 100.0 0.0 0.0								
į	# 0 0 599 Percent.  Total F  # 0 0 0 183 0 0 183 Percentage of y tract and revenue.	Total Housing Units by Tract  #  0  6,006  0  6,006  Total Businesses by Tract  # %  0 0.0  0 0.0  0 0.0  599 100.0  599 100.0  Percentage of Total  Total Farms by Tract  # %  0 0.0  Percentage of Total  # %  0 0.0  Percentage of Total  # %  0 0.0  Total Farms by Tract  # %  0 0.0  Percentage of Total  # %  0 0.0  Total Farms by Tract  # %  0 0.0  Percentage of Total  # %  0 0.0  183 100.0  Percentage of Total Farms  # y tract and revenue size is bass	Total Housing Units by Tract	Total Housing Units by Tract	Total Housing Units by Tract	Total Housing Units by Tract	Total Housing Units by Tract	Total Housing Units by Tract

## CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN DANVILLE, IL MSA #19180

## **LENDING TEST**

## **Geographic Distribution of Loans**

The bank's performance is consistent with its overall performance.

## **HMDA-Reportable Lending**

The bank originated no HMDA-reportable loans in 2011, consistent with the bank's limited presence in this market. The bank made two loans in 2010, however, as indicated in the table below.

Table 17	Table 17  Home Mortgage Lending, 2010  Geographic Distribution  (000s)							
Census Tract Income		Bank 1	Loans			of All Lenders 'eer)	Owner- Occupied Housing	
Level	#	%	\$	%	# %	\$ %	%	
Home Purch	ase Loans							
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Middle	1	100.0	37	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total	1	100.0	37	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Refinanced l	Loans							
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Middle	1	100.0	128	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total	1	100.0	128	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Home Impro	ovement Loans							
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Middle	0	0.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0		
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0		
Multifamily	Loans							
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Middle	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
<b>Total Home</b>	Mortgage Loans							
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Middle	2	100.0	165	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total	2	100.0	165	100.0	100.0	100.0		
	Z ges may not add to 10			100.0	100.0	100.0		

## Small Business and Small Farm Lending

Table 18	Table 18						
Small Business Lending							
	Septembe	er 1, 201	11 – August 31	, 2012			
	Geo	graphi	c Distribution	L			
		((	)00s)				
					Businesses by		
Census					Census Tract		
Tract		Bank 1	Loans		Income Level		
Income	#	%	\$	%	%		
Level		, ,	*	, ,	, •		
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Middle	2	100.0	42	100.0	100.0		
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	2	100.0	42	100.0	100.0		
Note: Percent	ages may not add t	to 100.0 j	percent due to rou	ınding.			

Table 19								
	Small Farm Lending							
	Septem	ber 1, 20	)11 – Augus	t 31, 2012	2			
	G	eograph	ic Distribut	ion				
		(	(000s)					
					Farms by			
Census					Census Tract			
Tract		Bank	Loans		Income Level			
Income	#	%	\$	%	%			
Level	,,	, 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,,,	,,,			
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Middle	2	100.0	15	100.0	100.0			
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Total	2	100.0	15	100.0	100.0			
Note: Percen	tages may not	add to 10	0.0 percent due	e to roundi	ing.			

## Lending to Borrowers of Different Income Levels and to Businesses of Farms of Different Sizes

The bank's performance is consistent with its overall performance.

## **HMDA-Reportable Lending**

The bank originated no HMDA-reportable loans in 2011. The table, below, shows the bank's lending in the assessment area in 2010.

Table 20									
		Hor	ne Mortgage I	Lending, 2	2010				
	Borrower Distribution								
			(000s	)					
Income Level	Bank Loans		Bank Loans		Aggregate	of All	Families by		
of the					Lenders		Family Income		
Borrower							Level		
	#	%	\$	%	# %	\$ %	%		
Home Purchase	e Loans								
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	11.0	6.6	16.6		
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	23.7	18.0	17.5		
Middle	0	0.0	0	0.0	29.7	26.8	26.7		
Upper	1	100.0	37	100.0	28.0	40.9	39.2		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	7.6	7.7	0.0		
Total	1	100.0	37	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Refinanced Loans									
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	5.8	3.9			
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	18.8	12.9			
Middle	0	0.0	0	0.0	21.7	22.6			
Upper	1	100.0	128	100.0	40.6	45.4			
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	13.0	15.1			
Total	1	100.0	128	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Home Improve	ement Loans								
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	12.7	7.2			
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	20.0	15.0			
Middle	0	0.0	0	0.0	32.7	36.8			
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	34.5	41.0			
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0			
Total Home M	ortgage Loans								
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	9.0	5.2			
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	20.9	15.1			
Middle	0	0.0	0	0.0	26.7	25.2			
Upper	2	100.0	165	100.0	34.7	43.3			
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	8.7	11.2			
Total	2	100.0	165	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Note: Percentages	may not add to 100	.0 percent du	e to rounding.						

## Small Business and Small Farm Lending

Table 21	Table 21							
Small Business Loan Distribution								
September 1, 2011 – August 31, 2012								
	By Revenu	e and Loar	n Size					
	(	(000s)						
					Busines	sses By		
Category		Bank	Loans		Annual			
		Revenues						
	#	%	\$	%	#	%		
By Revenue	T							
\$1 Million or Less	2	100.0	42	100.0	549	91.7		
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	3.3		
Not Known	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	5.0		
Total	2	100.0	42	100.0	599	100.0		
By Loan Size								
\$100,000 or less	2	100.0	42	100.0				
\$100,000 - \$250,000	0	0.0	0	0.0				
\$250,000 - \$1 million	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Total	2	100.0	42	100.0				
By Loan Size an	d Revenue \$1	Million or I	Less					
\$100,000 or less	2	100.0	42	100.0				
\$100,000 - \$250,000	0	0.0	0	0.0				
\$250,000 - \$1 million	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Total	2	100.0	42	100.0				
Note: Percentages may not add to 10	0.0 percent due t	o rounding.						

Table 22								
	Small Farm Loan Distribution							
<b>September 1, 2011 – August 31, 2012</b>								
By Revenue and Loan Size								
(000s)								
					Farms By	Annual		
Category		Bank	Loans		Reve	nues		
	#	%	\$	<b>%</b>	#	%		
By 1	Revenue							
\$1 Million or Less	2	100.0	15	100.0	183	100.0		
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Not Known	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total	2	100.0	15	100.0	183	100.0		
By 1	Loan Size							
\$100,000 or less	2	100.0	15	100.0				
\$100,000 - \$250,000	0	0.0	0	0.0				
\$250,000 - \$500,000	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Total	2	100.0	15	100.0				
By 1	Loan Size and Re	venue \$1 I	Million or Le	SS				
\$100,000 or less	2	100.0	15	100.0				
\$100,000 - \$250,000	0	0.0	0	0.0				
\$250,000 - \$500,000	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Total	Total 2 100.0 15 100.0							
Note: Percentages may not a	edd to 100.0 percen	t due to rou	nding.					

## APPENDIX A – Scope of Examination

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION							
TIME PERIOD REVIEWED							
FINANCIAL INSTITUTION Bank of Rantoul			PRODUCTS REVIEWED  Home mortgage (HMDA-reportable) and small business/small farm loans.				
AFFILIATE(S)	AFFILIATE RELATIONSHIP		PRODUCTS REVIEWED				
None	None		None				
	LIST OF ASSESSMEN	NT AREAS AND TYPE OF EX.	AMINATION				
ASSESSMENT AREA	TYPE OF EXAMINATION	BRANCHES VISITED	OTHER INFORMATION				
Champaign-Urbana, IL MSA #16580	Full Review	None	Not Applicable				
Danville, IL MSA #19180	Limited Review	111 North Chicago St., Rossville, IL 60963	Not Applicable				

#### **APPENDIX B – Glossary**

**Affiliate:** Any company that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with another company. A company is under common control with another company if the same company directly or indirectly controls both companies. A bank subsidiary is controlled by the bank and is, therefore, an affiliate.

**Affordability ratio:** To determine housing affordability, the affordability ratio is calculated by dividing median household income by median housing value. This ratio allows the comparison of housing affordability across assessment areas and/or communities. An area with a high ratio generally has more affordable housing than an area with a low ratio.

**Aggregate lending:** The number of loans originated and purchased by all lenders subject to reporting requirements as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all lenders in the MSA/assessment area.

**Census tract:** Small subdivisions of metropolitan and other densely populated counties. Census tract boundaries do not cross county lines; however, they may cross the boundaries of metropolitan statistical areas. They usually have between 2,500 and 8,000 persons, and their physical size varies widely depending upon population density. Census tracts are designed to be homogeneous with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions to allow for statistical comparisons.

**Consumer loan**: A loan to one or more individuals for household, family, or other personal expenditures. A consumer loan does not include a home mortgage, small business, or small farm loan. This definition includes the following categories of loans: motor vehicle, credit card, home equity, other secured loan, and other unsecured loan.

**Family**: Includes a householder and one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The number of family households always equals the number of families; however, a family household may also include non-relatives living with the family. Families are classified by type as either a married couple family or other family, which is further classified into "male householder" (a family with a male household and no wife present) or "female householder" (a family with a female householder and no husband present).

**Family Income:** Includes the income of all members of a family that are age 15 and older.

**Fair market rent**: Fair market rents (FMRs) are gross rent estimates. They include the shelter rent plus the cost of all tenant-paid utilities, except telephones, cable or satellite television service, and internet service. HUD sets FMRs to assure that a sufficient supply of rental housing is available to their program participants. To accomplish this objective, FMRs must be both high enough to

permit a selection of units and neighborhoods and low enough to serve as many low-income families as possible. The level at which FMRs are set is expressed as a percentile point within the rent distribution of standard-quality rental housing units. The current definition used is the 40th percentile rent, the dollar amount below which 40 percent of the standard-quality rental housing units are rented. The 40th percentile rent is drawn from the distribution of rents of all units occupied by recent movers (renter households who moved to their present residence within the past 15 months). HUD is required to ensure that FMRs exclude non-market rental housing in their computation. Therefore, HUD excludes all units falling below a specified rent level determined from public housing rents in HUD's program databases as likely to be either assisted housing or otherwise at a below-market rent, and units less than two years old.

**Census tract**: A census tract or a block numbering area delineated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in the most recent decennial census.

**Home mortgage loans**: Include home purchase and home improvement loans as defined in the HMDA regulation. This definition also includes multifamily (five or more families) dwelling loans, loans for the purchase of manufactured homes and refinancing of home improvement and home purchase loans.

**Household**: Includes all persons occupying a housing unit. Persons not living in households are classified as living in group quarters. In 100 percent tabulations, the count of households always equals the count of occupied housing units.

**Household Income:** Includes the income of the householder and all other persons that are age 15 and older in the household, whether related to the householder or not. Because many households consist of only one person, median household income is usually less than median family income.

**HUD Metro Fair Market Rent Area (HFMRA):** Is a geographic area developed by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) that often is comparable to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) metropolitan areas. HFMRA is the level of census tract that is used for all HUD Fair Market Rent (FMR) and Median Family Income (MFI) Data. A HFMRA represents the area in which rental housing is in direct competition and may not always correspond to metropolitan divisions. Non-metropolitan area data is released for individual counties.

**Low-income**: Individual income that is less than 50 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is less than 50 percent in the case of a census tract.

**Market share:** The number of loans originated and purchased by the institution as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all lenders in the MSA/assessment area.

**Median Income:** The median income determined by the U.S. Census Bureau every ten years and

used to determine the income level category of census tracts. Also, the median income determined by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) annually that is used to determine the income level category of individuals. For any given area, the median is the point at which half of the families have income above it and half below it.

**Metropolitan Area:** A metropolitan statistical area (**MSA**) or a metropolitan division (**MD**) as defined by the Office of Management and Budget. A MSA is a core area containing at least one urbanized area of 50,000 or more inhabitants, together with adjacent communities having a high degree of economic and social integration with that core. A MD is a division of a MSA based on specific criteria including commuting patterns. Only a MSA that has a population of at least 2.5 million may be divided into MDs. A metropolitan statistical area that crosses into two or more bordering states is called a multistate metropolitan statistical area (**MMSA**). Performance within each MMSA is analyzed separately as a full-scope review and receives its own ratings under the Lending, Investment and Service Tests provided the financial institution has its main office, branch, or deposit-taking ATM located in each applicable state making up the MMSA.

**Middle-income:** Individual income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent in the case of a census tract.

**Moderate-income:** Individual income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent in the case of a census tract.

**Multifamily:** Refers to a residential structure that contains five or more units.

**Owner-occupied units:** Includes units occupied by the owner or co-owner, even if the unit has not been fully paid for or is mortgaged.

**Small loans to business:** A loan included in "loans to small businesses" as defined in the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report). These loans have original amounts of \$1 million or less and are either secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties or are classified as commercial and industrial loans.

**Small loans to farms:** A loan included in "loans to small farms" as defined in the instructions for preparation of the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report). These loans have original amounts of \$500 thousand or less and are either secured by farmland, including farm residential and other improvements, or are classified as loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.

**Upper-income**: Individual income that is more than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is more than 120 percent in the case of a census tract.