

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

MARCH 21, 2016

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

BANK SNB RSSD# 398350

608 SOUTH MAIN STREET STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74074

Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City
1 Memorial Drive
Kansas City, Missouri 64198

NOTE:

This document is an evaluation of this institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operation of the institution. This evaluation is not, nor should it be construed as, an assessment of the financial condition of this institution. The rating assigned to this institution does not represent an analysis, conclusion or opinion of the federal financial supervisory agency concerning the safety and soundness of this financial institution.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Institu	Institution's Community Reinvestment Act Rating Table of Performance Test Ratings Summary of Major Factors Supporting Rating Description of Institution Scope of Examination Conclusions With Respect to Performance Tests	33 32 22 56 66
State (of Oklahoma Community Reinvestment Act Rating for Oklahoma Scope of Examination Description of Bank's Operations in Oklahoma Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in Oklahoma	12 12 13
Oklah	oma City, Oklahoma Metropolitan Statistical Area (Full Review) Description of Bank's Operations in the Oklahoma City Assessment Area Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in Oklahoma City Assessment Area	16 20
Tulsa,	Oklahoma Metropolitan Statistical Area (Limited Review) Description of Bank's Operations in the Tulsa Assessment Area Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in the Tulsa Assessment Area	29 29
Stillwa	ater, Oklahoma Assessment Area-Nonmetropolitan Statewide Area (Full Review) Description of Bank's Operations in the Stillwater Assessment Area Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in Stillwater Assessment Area	30 34
State o	of Texas Community Reinvestment Act Rating for Texas Scope of Examination Description of Bank's Operations in Texas Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in Texas	43 43 44 44
Dallas	Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in Dallas Assessment Area	47 51
Other '	Texas Metropolitan Statistical Areas (Limited Review) Description of Bank's Operations in the Other Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Areas	58

Statistical Area Assessment Areas	58
McMullen Assessment Area-Nonmetropolitan Statewide Texas (Limited Review) Description of Bank's Operations in the McMullen Assessment Area Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in the McMullen Assessment Area	59 59
State of Kansas Community Reinvestment Act Rating for Kansas Scope of Examination Description of Bank's Operations in Kansas Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in Kansas	60 60 61 61
Wichita, Kansas Metropolitan Statistical Area (Full Review) Description of Bank's Operations in the Wichita Assessment Area. Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in the Wichita Assessment Area.	63 67
Hutchinson Assessment Area Nonmetropolitan Statewide Kansas (Limited Review) Description of Bank's Operations in the Hutchinson Assessment Area Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in the Hutchinson Assessment Area	75 75
Appendices Community Reinvestment Act Appendix A: Scope of Examination Table	76 77 78 99 136

INSTITUTION'S COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT RATING: This institution is rated Satisfactory.

The following table indicates the performance level of Bank SNB (the bank) with respect to the lending, investment, and service tests.

PERFORMANCE LEVELS	PERFORMANCE TESTS								
PERFORMANCE LEVELS	Lending Test*	Investment Test	Service Test						
Outstanding									
High Satisfactory	X		Х						
Low Satisfactory		X							
Needs to Improve									
Substantial Noncompliance									

SUMMARY OF MAJOR FACTORS SUPPORTING RATING

Lending Test

- Lending levels reflected good responsiveness to assessment area (AA) credit needs.
- A high percentage of loans were originated within the bank's delineated AAs.
- The geographic distribution of HMDA loans reflected adequate penetration throughout its AAs, while the distribution of small business lending was considered good.
- The borrower distribution of loans to borrowers of different income levels reflected an adequate penetration, while the distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes was considered good.
- The bank made a relatively high level of community development loans.
- The bank made use of some innovative and/or flexible lending practices in servicing AA credit needs.

Investment Test

• The bank had an adequate level of qualified community development investments and grants and is rarely in a leadership position.

 In addition, the bank made occasional use of innovative and complex activities to support community development initiatives and exhibited good responsiveness to community needs.

Service Test

- The bank provided a relatively high level of community development services.
- Delivery systems were accessible to the bank's geographies and individuals of different income levels; and the bank's record of opening and closing branches has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems.
- Products, services, and business hours did not vary in a way that inconvenienced its AAs, particularly low- and moderate-income (LMI) geographies and individuals.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION

The bank is a wholly owned subsidiary of Southwest Bancorp, Inc., both located in Stillwater, Oklahoma. The bank was originally chartered in 1894 as Stillwater State Bank, changed its name to Stillwater National Bank in 1900, and became Bank SNB in November 2013. The bank had assets of \$2.3 billion as of December 31, 2015.

The bank offers a wide range of commercial and retail products through its multistate branch locations in Oklahoma (18), Texas (7), Kansas (4), and Colorado (4). In addition to traditional commercial and consumer checking and savings accounts, the bank has automated teller machines (ATMs) at many of its locations and provides its customers surcharge-free access to the Allpoint Network of 55,000 ATMs worldwide.

The bank's primary lending focus is commercial loans with an emphasis in the areas of commercial real estate, health care, and energy sectors. The bank also offers residential real estate loans; however, these loans comprise a much smaller portion of the bank's overall loan portfolio. Table 1 shows the breakdown of loans according to the December 31, 2015 consolidated Reports of Condition and Income (Call Report).

TABLE 1 BANK SNB LOAN PORTFOLIO AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2015										
Loan Type	Amount \$(000)	Percent of Total								
Commercial	1,571,236	88.3								
Residential Real Estate	161,960	9.1								
Other	22,387	1.3								
Consumer	21,428	1.2								
Agricultural	2,418	0.1								
Gross Loans	1,779,429	100.0								

Based on the bank's asset size, product offerings, and financial condition, it has the ability to meet the credit needs of its AAs. No financial or legal impediments exist that would negatively impact this ability. The bank received a Satisfactory rating at the previous Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) evaluation performed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency on January 6, 2014.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

The bank's CRA performance was reviewed using the lending, investment, and service tests as defined under the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council's (FFIEC's) Interagency CRA Procedures for Large Institutions. Loan products evaluated under the lending test included all small business loans reported on the 2013, 2014, and 2015 CRA Loan Application Registers (LARs); all home purchase, refinance, home improvement, and multifamily loans reported on the 2013, 2014, and 2015 HMDA LARs; and all qualified community development loans originated between January 6, 2014 and December 31, 2015. Similarly, the investment and service tests considered community development activities that included investments, donations, and services between January 6, 2014 and December 31, 2015, as well as an evaluation of the bank's retail delivery systems and product and service offerings.

For evaluative purposes, the bank's loan performance was compared to local demographic data using the U.S. Census 2006-2010 five-year estimate American Community Survey (2010 ACS) demographic data, 2014 Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) business data, and 2013 and 2014 aggregate HMDA and CRA data. 2015 aggregate data was not yet available at the time of this evaluation. While lending performance was also evaluated for the years 2015 and 2013, only lending tables presenting 2014 loan data will be included in the body of the performance evaluation. The bank's 2015 and 2013 lending data are available for review in Appendix D and Appendix E, respectively.

The geographic distribution analysis was based on the bank's penetration within different geographic income categories, particularly LMI geographies. Geographies were classified based on 2010 ACS data as well as the 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) designations. The borrower distribution analysis was based on the bank's lending to individuals of different income categories, particularly LMI, and to I businesses of different revenue sizes, particularly those with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. For the evaluation of borrower characteristics, borrower income levels were calculated using the 2013, 2014, and 2015 median family income (MFI) listings from the FFIEC.

Under the CRA, a financial institution's performance is evaluated within the context of each delineated AA and within each state in which it operates. Each state is assigned a separate rating, and an overall rating is assigned for the bank. The bank has delineated nine separate AAs, which are discussed separately throughout this performance evaluation and are outlined

in Appendix A. The evaluation included a full-scope review of the bank's larger AAs in each state, while the remaining AAs received limited-scope reviews.

Table 2 details the bank's presence in each AA by number of branches, the percentage of lending and deposits to the bank wide totals and also at the state level, and the bank's deposit market share as a percentage of all Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC)-insured institutions. Note that the Colorado AAs are not included in the table. The Colorado market will not be analyzed as part of this CRA performance evaluation as the bank acquired those locations on October 10, 2015. Overall, the state of Oklahoma accounted for 18 or 62.1 percent of total non-Colorado branches. As shown in the table below, 58.2 percent of all bank loans and 78.9 percent of all bank deposits reside in the state of Oklahoma. Moreover, the bank has a higher market share (2.7 percent) in Oklahoma, whereas the market shares in Texas and Kansas are 0.1 percent and 1.0 percent, respectively. As such, the bank's overall test ratings and overall composite rating are predominantly weighted on the bank's performance in Oklahoma. The bank's performance and subsequent ratings in Texas and Kansas received very little weight and did not significantly impact the overall Institution Rating. Based on these same factors, the Oklahoma City AA and Stillwater AA received the greatest consideration in determining the performance ratings for the state of Oklahoma.

As part of the CRA performance evaluation, any CRA complaints received by the bank are reviewed to determine any impact to the bank's CRA performance. The bank has received no complaints related to CRA since the last evaluation.

			BY ASSE	JOINE ITT A	N-A	103 5 3
Assessment Area*	Number of		of Bank's by Dollar ¹		of Bank's by Dollar ²	Percent Market
	Branches	Bank	State	Bank	State	Share ²
Oklahoma	18	58.2	100.0	78.9	100.0	2.7
Oklahoma City AA	11	42.9	73.7	24.9	31.6	1.6
Stillwater AA	5	5.8	9.9	37.2	47.2	38.8
Tulsa AA	2	9.5	16.4	16.8	21.3	1.3
Texas	7	32.9	100.0	13.6	100.0	0.1
Dallas AA	3	21.2	64.5	3.5	25.9	0.0
San Antonio MSA AA	2	6.3	19.1	2.6	19.0	0.1
Austin MSA AA	1	5.4	16,4	1.4	10.5	0.1
McMullen (County) AA	1	0.0	0.0	6.1	44.6	100.0
Kansas	4	8.9	100.0	7.5	100.0	1.0
Wichita MSA AA	2	8.1	91.0	4.1	54.7	0.6
Hutchinson AA	2	0.8	9.0	3.4	45.3	5.3

Based on the bank's outstanding loan balances as of November 30, 2015

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS

Based on the June 30, 2015 FDIC Market Share Report

Colorado AAs not included due to a recent bank acquisition

The bank's overall rating and conclusions were based on its performance in each state and in each individual AA, with the greatest weight placed on the Oklahoma markets and on the bank's commercial lending performance. Within Oklahoma, the Oklahoma City AA and Stillwater AA were given the highest consideration. The performance evaluation will first discuss the bank's overall performance, then include a more in-depth analysis of the bank's record of lending, investment, and service activities in each state, followed by an analysis of specific AAs.

LENDING TEST

The bank's overall performance under the lending test is rated High Satisfactory. The bank's record of meeting AA credit needs through its lending activities was considered good, with a majority of loans made within its AAs. The geographic distribution of loans overall reflected a good penetration in most of the bank's AAs, while the distribution of loans based on the income level of borrower and the revenue size of businesses reflected an adequate distribution. Moreover, the bank originated a relatively high level of community development loans, which further boosted the bank's overall lending performance.

Overall lending test conclusions were derived from the bank's performance in the state of Oklahoma, and in particular the Oklahoma AA and Stillwater AA, which had the highest levels of activity and, therefore, carried the greatest weight.

Lending Activity

The bank's lending activities reflected good responsiveness to the credit needs of its AAs based on the mix and volume of all loan products. Table 3 summarizes the bank's lending activity. The bank made use of some innovative and flexible lending programs to serve the needs of LMI borrowers and geographies, including several affordable housing loan programs through outside investors. These partnerships with outside investors served to replace an inhouse affordable housing program the bank offered previously that did not generate any loans. The new programs are intended to provide a variety of affordable home loan opportunities for LMI individuals and families. In addition to the LMI loan offerings, the bank is a certified Small Business Administration (SBA) lender offering SBA 504 and 7(a) loan programs.

TABLE 3 SUMMARY OF LENDING ACTIVITY REVIEWED											
Loan Tuno	Janı	uary 1, 2013 through	h December 31.	2015							
Loan Type	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%							
Home Purchase	1,282	262,744	58,5 ^(a)	47.2 ^(a)							
Home Refinance	694	175,165	31.7 ^(a)	31.4 ^(a)							
Home Improvement	188	31,883	8.6 ^(a)	5.7 ^(a)							
Multifamily	26	87,436	1.2 ^(a)	15.7 ^(a)							
Total HMDA-Related	2,190	557,228	57.2 ^(b)	57.9 ^(b)							
Total Business Loans	1,639	404,489	42.8 ^(b)	42.1 ^(D)							
TOTAL ALL LOANS	3,829	961,717	100.0	100.0							

Assessment Area Concentration

As illustrated in Table 4, the bank originated a majority of its HMDA-related and small business loans by both dollar and number, in its delineated AAs. The bank's farm lending occurred mostly outside of its AAs; however, these loans are made only occasionally and are not included in the CRA analysis given their minimal volume of originations relative to the bank's other loan products.

For the remaining loan distribution tests discussed within this performance evaluation, only loans within the bank's AAs are considered.

LENDING	TABLE 4 LENDING INSIDE AND OUTSIDE THE BANK'S ASSESSMENT AREAS													
Bank Loans		Ins	side			Ou	tside							
Balik Loalis	#	#%	\$(000)	\$%	#	#%	\$(000)	\$%						
Home Improvement	165	87.8	29,742	93.3	23	12.2	2,141	6.7						
Home Purchase - Conventional	1,048	88.8	215,079	86.9	132	11.2	32,505	13.1						
Home Purchase - FHA	72	92.3	9,841	90.6	6	7.7	1,025	9.4						
Home Purchase - VA	23	95.8	4,179	97.3	1	4.2	115	2.7						
Multifamily Housing	21	80.8	59,916	68.5	5	19.2	27,520	31.5						
Refinancing	599	86.3	156,166	89.2	95	13.7	18,999	10.8						
Total HMDA Loans														
Total Business Loans	1,346	82.1	315,318	78.0	293	17.9	89,171	14.8 22.0						
TOTAL LOANS	3,274	85.5	790,241	82.2	555	14.5	171,476	17.8						

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The bank's level of HMDA and business lending reflected a good penetration in AA geographies of different income tracts, and adequate penetration to borrowers of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes. These conclusions were based on the bank's performance in the full-scope AAs, considering contextual information and comparisons to available demographic and aggregate lending data. The bank's performance by state and AA was weighted according to relative loan and deposit volumes, as well as materiality of HMDA and business lending. Detailed descriptions of the geographic distribution and borrower income distribution are provided within the analysis of each AA.

Community Development Lending

The bank's overall community development performance demonstrated a relatively high level of community development loan activity as well as good responsiveness to the community development needs of its AA communities.

The bank was particularly responsive with loans that revitalize/stabilize LMI geographies as well as affordable housing needs. The bank originated or renewed 13 loans totaling \$49.8

million for revitalization/stabilization and 10 loans of \$8.3 million to address affordable housing needs in its communities. A good mix of loans for economic development and community services was also included. Table 5 shows all community development loans since the prior examination.

TABLE 5 QUALIFIED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOANS BANK WIDE – ALL AAS								
Purpose	#	(\$000s)						
Affordable Housing	10	8,253						
Revitalization and Stabilization	13	49,831						
Community Services to LMI	3	8,437						
Economic Development	8	15,411						
Totals	34	81,932						

INVESTMENT TEST

The bank's level of qualified community development investments and donations is rated Low Satisfactory. Bank investments were made primarily in the state of Oklahoma, where the majority of the bank's branches and investment opportunities are located. The AAs in Texas and Kansas have more limited community development investment activities given the bank's smaller presence in both states.

Table 6 lists the breakdown of total bank investment and donation activities. The bank's 20 prior period and current qualified investments of approximately \$10.2 million compares similarly to the CRA-related investments of other large banks with assets between \$1 billion and \$3.2 billion and rated Low Satisfactory, especially within this region. Investments include traditional revenue bonds, mortgage-backed securities, and other equity investments. In addition to its qualified investments, the bank also made 41 qualified donations totaling \$53,000 throughout its AAs. The bank's combined investment and donations activity fell slightly by number from 73 to 61 since the prior examination, while the dollar value of those activities increased approximately 9.5 percent.

TABLE 6 QUALIFIED COMMUNITYDEVELOPMENT INVESTMENT, GRANTS, AND DONATIONS BANK WIDE – ALL ASSESSMENT AREAS

Community Development	Prior Period Investments ¹		Mark Street Street Street	urrent stments ²	Doi	nations	Total	
Purpose	#	\$(000s)	#	\$(000s)	#	\$(000s)	#	\$(000s)
Affordable Housing	7	5,928	6	1,000	1	3	14	6,931
Revitalization and Stabilization	0	0	2	500	0	0	2	500
Community Services	0	0	2	2,050	40	50	42	2,100
Economic Development	2	450	1	300	0	0	3	750
Neighborhood Stabilization Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	9	6,378	11	3,850	41	53	61	10,281

¹ Book value of investment

SERVICE TEST

The bank's performance under the service test is rated High Satisfactory. Table 7 illustrates the bank's retail performance and breakdown of community development services. Primary weight is placed on the bank's retail and community development services in the Oklahoma AAs, where the bank's level of retail services did not vary in a way that inconveniences its AAs and the bank provides a relatively high level of community development services. Much less activity is seen in this area among the Texas and Kansas AAs.

Branch locations and alternative delivery systems such as ATMs and drive-through facilities were accessible to the bank's various geographies and to individuals of different income levels in each AA. In addition to on-site ATMs at many branches, the bank offers over 50,000 surcharge-free ATMs through the Allpoint Network, many locations of which are near the bank's branches in all three states. Off-site delivery channels include online banking and mobile banking. The bank's record of opening and closing of branches has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems; the bank has opened 10 branches since the prior examination, four of which are in moderate-income areas. The bank has not closed any branches since the previous evaluation.

Business hours and services did not vary in a way that inconveniences its AAs, particularly to LMI geographies and individuals. Regular lobby hours generally range from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, with extended Saturday hours from 9:00 a.m. to noon at most locations.

Original market value of investment

	RI					DEVEL		NT SEF		S		
\$ 5 E E	Lo	cation	of Brane	ches by	Tract1	%)	. S. 133	Percer	nt of Po	pulatio	on¹ (%)	V6.12 T
Branch Accessibility	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total
	3.5	24.1	34.5	37.9	0.0	100.0	10.3	26.6	30.6	32.4	0.0	100.0
deres now to	Number of Branches (#)					Net Change in Branch Locations (#)						
Changes in Branch Location	100	tal ches	Openings s (#)		Closings (#)		Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total
Location	29)	10		0		0	+4	+3	+3	0	+10
Community Development Services		dable sing	Comm		Economic Development		Revitalization & Stabilization		Total CD Services		Total Organization	
	2	2	44		2	2	3		51		35	

Based on five-year 2010 ACS and 2014 FFIEC Census Tract Income Designations, (NOTE: Total percentages may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

FAIR LENDING OR OTHER ILLEGAL CREDIT PRACTICES REVIEW

No evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs was identified.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT RATING FOR OKLAHOMA:

The lending test is rated: The investment test is rated: The service test is rated: Satisfactory High Satisfactory Low Satisfactory High Satisfactory

Major factors supporting the rating include:

Lending Test

- The geographic distribution of HMDA loans reflected adequate penetration throughout the bank's AAs, while the distribution of small business loans was considered good.
- The distribution of loans reflected an adequate penetration among borrowers of different income sizes, while the distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes was considered good.
- The bank made a relatively high level of community development loans.

Investment Test

 The bank had an adequate level of qualified community development investments and grants that included occasional use of innovative and complex activities to support community development initiatives and exhibited good responsiveness to community needs.

Service Test

- The bank provided a relatively high level of community development services.
- Delivery systems were accessible to the bank's geographies and individuals of different income levels, and products, services, and business hours did not vary in a way that inconvenienced its AAs, particularly LMI geographies and individuals.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

The scope of the review for the state of Oklahoma was consistent with the overall scope for the entire institution, including evaluations under the lending, investment, and service tests. Based on the majority distribution of loans and deposits, branch locations, and market share, the Oklahoma City AA and Stillwater AA received full-scope reviews. Accordingly, the state of Oklahoma rating was based predominantly on the bank's performance within these AAs. A

limited review was conducted in the Tulsa AA to determine whether the bank's performance there was consistent with its overall performance in the state.

During the evaluation, previous interviews with community contacts from Oklahoma City and Stillwater were referenced to provide performance context for this CRA performance evaluation.

DESCRIPTION OF BANK'S OPERATIONS IN OKLAHOMA

The bank's branching network includes 18 locations in Oklahoma: 11 in the Oklahoma City AA, 5 in the Stillwater AA, and 2 in the Tulsa AA. According to the FDIC Market Share Report as of June 30, 2015, the bank had a deposit market share of 2.6 percent, ranking 8th of 97 FDIC-insured financial institutions with 616 offices throughout the bank's Oklahoma AAs. Loan products offered in the market mirror those discussed in the overall institution section of this report, with a primary emphasis on commercial lending.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN OKLAHOMA

LENDING TEST

The bank's performance with respect to the lending test in the state of Oklahoma is rated High Satisfactory. More weight is placed on the bank's commercial lending performance than on its HMDA residential real estate lending, as the bank is primarily a commercial lender. Overall lending levels reflected good responsiveness to AA credit needs. The geographic and borrower distribution of HMDA-related loans was considered adequate, while the geographic and borrower distribution of small business lending was considered good. In addition, the bank made a relatively high level of community development loans. A more in-depth discussion of performance can be found in the individual full-scope reviews of the Oklahoma City AA and the Stillwater AA.

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The overall geographic distribution of loans reflected good penetration throughout the bank's Oklahoma AAs, when considering the performance of the bank's small business lending.

In the full-scope review of the Oklahoma City AA, the bank's 2014 HMDA-related lending, both by number and dollar percentages, lagged the lending percentages of other HMDA lenders in LMI tracts and also trailed the number of owner-occupied units located in LMI tracts. Overall, the bank's level of HMDA lending was considered adequate as the bank's 2015 HMDA performance in LMI tracts improved, and compared favorably to aggregate lenders. The bank's level of small business lending was considered good, with the bank's loan percentages

outperforming aggregate lenders, and comparing very similarly to the percentage of businesses in LMI tracts.

In the full-scope review of the Stillwater, Oklahoma AA, the geographic distribution of the bank's 2014 HMDA-related and small business loans was considered good. While the bank did not originate any HMDA or small business loans in LMI census tracts, performance was consistent with aggregate lenders and AA demographics; as this AA only contains one low-income census tract.

In the limited-review of the Tulsa AA, the bank's lending performance among geographies of different income levels was below that of the two full-scope review AAs; however, this did not change the overall rating for the state of Oklahoma.

The overall borrower distribution analysis for the state of Oklahoma reflected a good lending distribution based on the bank's small business performance. The full-scope reviews in the Oklahoma City AA and Stillwater AA revealed an adequate level of HMDA-related lending performance to LMI borrowers, which was slightly below aggregate HMDA reporters and the percentage of LMI families in the AA. However, the distribution of small business loans to businesses of different income levels in the Oklahoma AA was considered good and Stillwater AA was considered excellent. In the Stillwater AA, the bank's small business lending to businesses with gross revenues of \$1MM or less significantly exceeded aggregate lenders by number and dollar volume of loans. In the limited-scope Tulsa AA, the borrower distribution of loans revealed consistent performance compared to the Oklahoma City AA and Stillwater AA.

Community Development Loans

The bank originated a relatively high level of community development loans in the state of Oklahoma, which makes up the bulk of these loans bank wide. Overall, the bank originated 20 loans for \$56.1 million in all of its AAs in Oklahoma.

The types of community development loans originated were not necessarily complex or innovative but were responsive to local area needs, most notably the revitalization/stabilization of LMI geographies. The conclusion reached under this criterion was based on qualified activities in all three of the AAs in Oklahoma.

INVESTMENT TEST

The investment test rating for Oklahoma is Low Satisfactory. CRA qualified investments and donations addressed community development needs throughout the Oklahoma AAs. Since the prior examination, the bank acquired six new qualified investments for \$2.8 million, with two for affordable housing initiatives, two for revitalization and stabilization efforts, one for community services, and one for economic development. The bank continues to hold seven prior-period investments totaling \$5.4 million. The total of 61 investments and donations for this examination period is down from 73 at the prior examination; however, the dollar total increased approximately 10.0 percent from \$9.3 million to \$10.3 million. The CRA qualified

investment and donation activities occurred across all AAs in the state of Oklahoma.

SERVICE TEST

The bank's performance under the service test is rated High Satisfactory for the state of Oklahoma. Branch locations and alternative delivery systems such as ATMs and drive-through banking were accessible to the bank's geographies and to individuals of different income levels in the bank's Oklahoma AAs. The bank's branches in Oklahoma are spread proportionally to the percentage population and the percentage of tracts in all AAs. The bank's record of opening and closing branches has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems in LMI areas or to LMI individuals. During the evaluation period, the bank opened seven new branches in the state of Oklahoma: four in moderate-income tracts, two in middle-income tracts, and one in an upper-income tract. The bank did not close any branches.

Business hours and services did not vary in a way that inconveniences its AAs, particularly to LMI geographies and individuals. Lobby hours and additional services did not vary by market. The bank provided a relatively high level of community development services throughout the Oklahoma AAs. The bank provided 42 qualified services to 29 organizations that provide assistance to LMI individuals and families or support small businesses and small farms. The level of services provided was higher in the Oklahoma City AA; however, the number provided in the Stillwater AA and Tulsa AA was also relatively high, based on the number of branches in each AA.

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA

(Full Review)

DESCRIPTION OF BANK'S OPERATIONS IN OKLAHOMA CITY ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates 11 full-service offices in the Oklahoma City Assessment Area. According to the FDIC Market Share Report as of June 30, 2015, the bank had 1.5 percent of the market share in this AA, ranking 16th of 67 other FDIC-insured institutions with 379 banking locations. The bank faces significant competition for banking services within the AA from large, regional, and local banks as well as credit unions and other financial institutions.

DESCRIPTION OF THE BANK'S OKLAHOMA CITY ASSESSMENT AREA1

The bank's Oklahoma City AA includes the entireties of Canadian, Cleveland, Grady, McClain, and Oklahoma Counties. These counties are five of the seven that comprise the Oklahoma City Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA).

Population Trends and Characteristics

The AA total population as of the 2010 Census was 1,176,866, an increase of 14.3 percent from the 2000 Census data figure of 1,029,417. The AA population is 93.9 percent of the total MSA population of 1,252,987. The AA population increase exceeded the statewide increase of 8.7 percent. Since the AA comprises 93.9 percent of the entire MSA, all age and family demographics of the AA will generally mirror total MSA figures. Compared to the entire state of Oklahoma, the AA has slightly less households that are families and a lower elderly population.

Economic and Employment Characteristics

As shown in Table 8, the unemployment rates for the state of Oklahoma and the Oklahoma

City MSA have been well below national figures for the last three years.

The MSA's leading industries are government (20.2 percent); education and health services (14.4 percent); professional and business services (12.8 percent); retail trade (10.6 percent); and leisure and hospitality (10.6 percent). The MSA's

TABLE 8 ANNUAL UNEMPLOYMENT RATES 2012 2013 2014 Area Oklahoma City MSA 4.5 4.7 4.0 State of Oklahoma 5.3 5.3 4.5 **United States** 8.1 7.4

largest employers include Tinker Air Force Base (26,000); University of Oklahoma (11,900);

Sources of economic and demographic data include the Commerce Department's Bureau of Economic Analysis, the U.S. Census Bureau, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Moody's Economy.com, and Greater Oklahoma City Chamber of Commerce.

the Federal Aviation Administration Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center (6,500); INTEGRIS Health (6,000); Hobby Lobby Stores Inc. (5,100); University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center (5,000); Mercy Hospital (4,300); OGE Energy Corporation (3,400); and Chesapeake Energy Corporation (3,200). Other major sources of income in the area include oil, agriculture, manufacturing, government, and horse breeding.

The Oklahoma City MSA economy is performing better than the national economy, even though the state has experienced an energy downturn recently. As of July 2015, the MSA is still increasing its nonfarm employment as the rest of the state has seen a decrease. The cost of living mirrors the national average for all MSAs and the housing market has been a strong contributor to the economy.

Income Characteristics

In 2010, the AA's MFI equaled \$58,870, above the total state figure of \$53,607. The AA's breakdown of low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income families mirrored the MSA and statewide totals.

Canadian, Cleveland, and McClain Counties, none of which have bank branches, are the most affluent of the five AA counties, with only 13.9 percent to 16.1 percent of area families in the low-income category, and 44.1 percent to 47.7 percent categorized as upper-income. Grady and Oklahoma Counties have 23.7 percent to 24.4 percent of area families classified as low-income and in Grady County; only 34.8 percent are classified as upper-income. As discussed, ten of the bank's banking facilities are located in Oklahoma County, throughout Oklahoma City and Edmond; and one full-service location is located in Grady County, in Chickasha.

Housing Characteristics

As of 2010 Census data, housing stock varied in the five area counties. Both Canadian and McClain Counties had extremely high owner-occupancy rates of 70.9 percent and 71.1 percent, respectively, compared to the overall AA rate of 58.5 percent. Conversely, these counties had a very low concentration of rental units, equaling 20.2 percent and 16.0 percent, respectively. The other affluent county, Cleveland, had a higher concentration of rental units, 28.8 percent, including a higher level of multifamily units. This is likely due to the large student population at the University of Oklahoma (OU). Grady County had the third highest owneroccupied housing rate, at 68.9 percent, and only 20.1 percent of housing stock in rentals. Both Grady and McClain Counties also had relatively high concentrations of mobile homes, equaling 14.5 percent and 17.8 percent, respectively, compared to the overall AA rate of 4.9 percent. Oklahoma County had the lowest owner-occupancy rate, equaling 54.2 percent. Conversely, Oklahoma County had the highest number of rentals, at 33.7 percent, including 18.4 percent in multifamily units. Cleveland and Canadian Counties had the youngest median housing age, both at about 26 years, compared to 29 years in McClain County, 33 years in Grady County, and 38 years in Oklahoma County. The older housing stock in Grady and Oklahoma Counties may indicate a need for more home improvement loans in those counties.

BANK SNB STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA CRA Public Evaluation March 21, 2016

The housing affordability ratio² for the MSA equaled 38.7 percent, while the AA ratio equaled 38.4 percent. McClain and Canadian Counties, the two with the highest owner-occupancy rates, had affordability ratios of 45.4 percent and 46.0 percent, respectively. The housing affordability ratio of Oklahoma and Cleveland Counties, the more populous counties, equaled 36.5 percent and 40.0 percent, respectively. The higher concentrations of rental units may be a function of less affordable housing available in these counties and the higher student population, with OU being located in the AA. Overall, the AA and MSA have more affordable housing compared to other metropolitan areas.

The housing affordability ratio is calculated by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. A lower ratio reflects less affordable housing.

Table 9 shows additional income, housing, and demographic data.

		A SUPPLEMENT NAMED	TA OMA CITY ESSMENT						
Income Categories		act bution	Familie Tract In		Level	< Poverty as % of by Tract	Families by Family Income		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	32	9.2	14,308	4.9	5,009	35.0	61,356	21.2	
Moderate	93	26.7	64,123	22.1	11,684	18.2	51,353	17.7	
Middle	131	37.6	124,223	42.9	10,826	8.7	59,429	20.5	
Upper	88	25.3	86,953	30.0	2,858	3.3	117,479	40.6	
Unknown	4	1.1	10	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	348	100.0	289,617	100.0	30,377	10.5	289,617	100.0	
	NUT TO S			Housi	ng Type by	Tract		Leury,	
	Housing	O	wner-occupie			ntal	Vac	ant	
	Units by Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit	
Low	29,238	10,004	3.4	34.2	13,671	46.8	5,563	19.0	
Moderate	133,720	55,313	18.9	41.4	57,974	43.4	20,433	15.3	
Middle	204,659	129,854	44.5	63.4	54,500	26.6	20,305	9.9	
Upper	131,321	96,712	33.1	73.6	25,722	19.6	8,887	6.8	
Unknown	267	10	0.0	0.0	182	68.2	75	28.1	
Total AA	499,205	291,893	100.0	58.5	152,049	30.5	55,263	11.1	
		2.02				ct & Revenu		ugilik si	
	Total Bu	ract	Less Th	an or = \$1	To I was a line	Over \$1 Million	Revenu		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	3,430	6.0	2,806	5.5	502	12.5	122	6.8	
Moderate	11,805	20.7	10,430	20.3	972	24.2	403	22.5	
Middle	22,362	39.1	20,225	39.4	1,439	35.8	698	38.9	
Upper	18,933	33.1	17,394	33.9	994	24.7	545	30.4	
Unknown	597	1.0	455	0.9	117	2.9	25	1.4	
Total AA	57,127	100.0	51,310	100.0	4,024	100.0	1,793	100.0	
Percentage of	of Total Busi	nesses:		89.8		7.0	2 - Ja - Ho	3.1	
The state of the				Farm	s by Tract 8	Revenue S	ize		
	Total F by Tr		Less That \$1 Milli		Over \$	I Million	Revenu Repor		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	19	1.4	15	11	4	20.0	0	0.0	
Moderate	145	10.6	142	10.5	3	15.0	0	0.0	
Middle	774	56.6	765	56.8	9	45.0	0	0.0	
Upper	430	31.4	426	31.6	4	20.0	0	0.0	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	1,368	100.0	1,348	100.0	20	100.0	0	0.0	
		arms:	.,	98.5		1.5		0.0	

A previous community contact made by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City in May 2015 was reviewed to gain a perspective of area economic conditions and possible credit needs. The contact, the controller of a local housing authority, shared perspective on local housing and economic conditions, as well as information about small business lending. According to the community contact, the economy in the Oklahoma City MSA was doing well despite a \$600 million state budget shortfall resulting primarily from a significant drop in oil prices. Housing in the MSA was highlighted as a significant need, although the contact believed banks had been responsive to loan requests. The contacted indicated that there was a need for more banking facilities, primarily for low-income individuals and families in the MSA. The contact stated that banks readily loaned money to qualified individuals, although in the individual's opinion, banks appeared to have more difficulty helping home loan applicants that did not meet traditional underwriting guidelines. The contact also mentioned that the housing authority had a homeless program geared toward military veterans, and indicated that banks, especially small banks, had responded well to the program. The contact further shared that banks in the MSA appear willing to help small businesses obtain loans for their operational and/or real estate needs.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN OKLAHOMA CITY METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREA

LENDING TEST

The bank's performance under the lending test in the Oklahoma City AA is High Satisfactory. The bank's distribution of loans to different geography levels and to borrowers of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes was considered good. The bank also had a high level of community development lending. Based on lending volume, more weight was assigned to the bank's commercial loans in determining the bank's lending performance in this AA.

The lending tables that follow reflect data for 2014, with narrative explaining comparisons to 2013 and 2015. The tables for 2015 and 2013 are available for review in Appendix D and Appendix E, respectively.

Geographic Distribution

The bank's overall geographic distribution of HMDA-related loans was considered adequate, while small business lending was considered good. Table 10 displays the bank's geographic HMDA-related lending performance, while Table 11 shows the bank's small business lending performance, both of which were compared to other lenders and demographic data.

DISTRIBU		2014 HMD		BY INCOM		OF GEOG	BRAPHY	
Census Tract	STAR IN	Bank	Loans		Aggrega Da	te HMDA ta ¹	% of Owner Occupied	
income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Units ²	
	PERSON NY	8 8/4 PL 3/2 1	Home Purch	ase Loans				
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.7	3.4	
Moderate	10	1,309	8.8	5.4	15.6	9.2	18.9	
Middle	30	5,524	26.5	22.7	38.9	32.7	44.5	
Upper	73	17,456	64.6	71.9	44.3	57.5	33.1	
Unknown ³	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	by Sections	HOLD F	lome Refina	nce Loans	JUNE BASE	ANGUI EV.	Ange Verye	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.8	3.4	
Moderate	4	533	13.8	9.5	16.1	9.8	18.9	
Middle	9	1,368	31.0	24.5	40.7	33.9	44.5	
Upper	16	3,688	55.2	66.0	41.3	55.5	33.1	
Unknown³	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		Но	me Improve	ment Loans		C SU LONIO	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.3	1.8	3.4	
Moderate	4	157	22.2	8.6	18.5	12.3	18.9	
Middle	6	490	33.3	26.8	46.0	37.2	44.5	
Upper	8	1,178	44.4	64.5	32.1	48.7	33.1	
Unknown ³	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	A 3 1	M	ultifamily L	oans			% of Multifamily Units ²	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.5	5.8	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	50.4	31.9	41.5	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	30.1	34.3	33.4	
Upper	3	5,823	100.0	100.0	16.3	30.4	19.1	
Unknown ³	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	
		Tota		tgage Loans				
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.9	3.4	
Moderate	18	1,999	11.0	5.3	16.2	10.9	18.9	
Middle	45	7,382	27.6	19.7	- 40.2	33.2	44.5	
Upper	100	28,145	61.3	75.0	41.9	55.0	33.1	
7					7.			

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all HMDA filers.

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

Total Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Loans

Unknown³

The distribution of total HMDA loans among geographies of different income levels was considered adequate. The bank had no HMDA loans in low-income geographies; however, the percentage of owner-occupied units in low-income tracts is limited at 3.4 percent. The

The percentage of owner-occupied housing and multifamily units by tract are based on 2014 Census tract boundaries and 2010 ACS data.

Does not include tracts where the income level is unknown. Only includes tract locations not known. (NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

bank originated 18 loans, or 11.0 percent by number and 5.3 percent by dollar amount, in moderate-income geographies. The bank trailed aggregate lender performance as well as the percentage of owner-occupied units in LMI tracts. The majority of the bank's HMDA loans, 61.3 percent by number, were originated in upper-income tracts, followed by middle-income tracts at 27.6 percent by number. The bank's 2013 activity was slightly below 2014 performance; however, in 2015, the bank outperformed aggregate lenders in total loans in both LMI census tracts. The trend from 2013 through 2015 was positive.

Home Purchase Loans

The distribution of home purchase loans among geographies of different income levels was considered adequate, similar to the performance for total HMDA loans. The bank had no home purchase loans in low-income geographies; however, ten loans were originated in moderate-income geographies. The bank trailed aggregate lender performance and the percentage of owner-occupied units in LMI tracts. The bank's 2013 activity was similar to 2014; however, in 2015, the bank originated substantially more home purchase loans: 7 in low-and 53 in moderate-income tracts. The percent of 2015 HMDA loans by number in both LMI tracts mirrored the percent of owner-occupied units. The trend from 2013 through 2015 was positive.

Refinance Loans

The distribution of home refinance loans among geographies of different income levels was considered adequate. The bank did not originate any loans in low-income geographies; however, four loans were originated in moderate-income geographies, which only slightly trailed the percentage of owner-occupied units and aggregate lending performance. The bank originated more refinance loans in 2013, with relatively similar results to 2014. In 2015, loans in low-income geographies compared favorably to the percentage of owner-occupied units, while moderate-income geography loans slightly trailed in comparison.

Home Improvement Loans

The distribution of home improvement loans among geographies of different income levels was considered adequate. Although none were originated in low-income tracts, 22.2 percent of the bank's home improvement loans were originated in moderate-income tracts, slightly higher than aggregate lenders and the percentage of owner-occupied units in those geographies. Distribution was slightly less favorable in LMI tracts in 2013 but slightly better in 2015.

TABLE 11 DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY OKLAHOMA CITY ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract	A STATE OF	Busines	s Loans¹	Aggregate	% of		
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses ³
Low	30	8,377	13.6	16.4	7.1	11.6	6.0
Moderate	44	10,808	20.0	21.2	19.4	19.6	20.7
Middle	59	13,529	26.8	26.6	33.6	32.1	39.1
Upper	83	16,123	37.7	31.7	36.4	34.4	33.1
Unknown⁴	4	2,100	1.8	4.1	1.1	1.7	1.0

CRA data reports only business loans in the amount of \$1 million or less.

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all CRA filers.

The percentage of businesses in the AA is based on 2014 Census tract boundaries and 2014 D&B data.

Does not include tracts where the income level is unknown, which is 2,4 percent by number and 0,6 percent by dollar for aggregate lenders. Only includes tract locations not reported.

(NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

Business Loans

The bank's distribution of business loans by income level of geographies was considered good. In low-income geographies, the bank outperformed aggregate lenders by number and dollar volume of loans as well as the percentage of businesses in those tracts. The bank's lending mirrored aggregate lenders and the percentage of businesses in moderate-income tracts. Aggregate lenders originated a larger percentage of loans to middle- and upper-income tracts. The bank's performance in 2013 and 2015 was consistent with 2014 results.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business:

The bank's borrower distribution of HMDA-related loans was considered adequate, while small business lending was considered good. Table 12 displays the bank's HMDA-related lending performance, while Table 13 shows the bank's small business lending performance, both of which were compared to other lenders and demographic data.

TABLE 12 DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HMDA LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS OKLAHOMA CITY ASSESSMENT AREA

Borrower Income Level		Bank	Loans	Aggrega Da	ite HMDA ata ¹	% of Families ²	
income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Families
			Home Purc	hase Loans	n ved jedin		
Low	1	125	0.9	0.5	5.2	2.6	21.2
Moderate	7	812	6.2	3.3	17.2	11.7	17.7
Middle	14	2,268	12.4	9.3	19.1	16.8	20.5
Upper	85	19,493	75.2	80.3	38.2	51.2	40.6
Unknown	6	1,591	5.3	6.6	20.3	17.8	0.0
NAME OF BRIDE			Refinanc	ed Loans		intersection A	
Low	2	129	6.9	2.3	5.9	2.7	21.2
Moderate	2	257	6.9	4.6	13.8	8.4	17.7
Middle	2	332	6.9	5.9	16.9	12.8	20.5
Upper	22	4,746	75.9	84.9	39.5	50.8	40.6
Unknown	1	125	3.4	2.2	23.9	25.2	0.0
			lome Improv	vement Loan	S		
Low	2	109	11.1	6.0	9.2	4.0	21.2
Moderate	4	149	22.2	8.2	18.7	11.5	17.7
Middle	4	128	22.2	7.0	24.9	15.5	20.5
Upper	8	1,439	44.4	78.8	41.3	53.3	40.6
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.9	15.6	0.0
	e via pulla	To	otal Home M	ortgage Loar	าร³		
Low	5	363	3.1	1.0	5.8	2.5	21.2
Moderate	13	1,218	8.0	3.2	16.4	10.1	17.7
Middle	20	2,728	12.3	7.3	19.1	14.7	20.5
Upper	115	25,678	70.6	68.4	38.8	47.8	40.6
Unknown	10	7,539	6.1	20.1	19.8	25.0	0.0

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all HMDA filers.

The percentage of families and households are based on 2010 ACS data.

(NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0,1 percent due to automated rounding differences,)

Total Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Loans

The bank's distribution of all HMDA loans by borrower income level was considered adequate. While the bank trailed aggregate lenders by both number and dollar amount of loans to LMI borrowers and also lagged the percentage of LMI families in 2014, there was slight improvement in the bank's share of LMI loans in 2015; including 17.6 percent of bank loans to moderate-income borrowers compared to 17.7 percent of moderate-income families in the AA. Approximately 70.6 percent of HMDA loans were made to upper-income borrowers in 2014, but a much smaller percentage, 49.6 percent, were made to this category of borrowers in 2015. Total HMDA loan distribution has improved between 2013 and 2015.

Multifamily loans are not considered in the Borrower Analysis.

Home Purchase Loans

The bank's distribution of home purchase loans by income level of borrower was considered adequate. Similar to the assessment of total HMDA lending, the bank demonstrated an improving trend from 2013 to 2015. In 2015, the bank originated 19 loans, or 7.2 percent, to low- and 55 loans, or 20.8 percent, to moderate-income borrowers in 2015. The bank's performance lagged the percentage of low-income families of 21.2 percent, but slightly exceeded the 17.7 percent of moderate-income families in the AA.

Refinance Loans

The bank's distribution of home refinance loans by income level of borrower was adequate. The bank and aggregate lenders underperformed compared to the percentage of LMI families; however, the bank compared favorably to aggregate lenders in its refinance loans to low-income borrowers. Similar to the other loan categories, the bank originated substantially more loans in 2015 compared to 2014 and 2013, and improved distribution to LMI borrowers.

Home Improvement Loans

The bank's distribution of home improvement loans by income level of borrower was considered good. The bank exceeded aggregate lending performance to low-income borrowers by both number and dollar volume of loans and to moderate-income borrowers by number of loans. The bank's performance in this loan category was less favorable in years 2013 and 2015.

TABLE 13 DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 BUSINESS LOANS BY REVENUE SIZE OKLAHOMA CITY ASSESSMENT AREA												
Business	18	Business	Loans ¹	Aggregate	% of							
Revenue By Size	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses ³					
\$1MM or less	97	16,032	44.1	31.5	42.2 38.9		89.8					
Over \$1MM	113	33,735	51.4	66.2	Not Reported		7.0					
Not Known	10	1,170	4.5	2.3			3.1					

- CRA data reports only business loans in the amount of \$1 million or less.
- Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all CRA filers.

The percentage of businesses in the AA is based on 2014 D&B data.

(NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences,)

Business Loans

The bank's distribution of business loans by revenue size was considered good. The number and dollar amount of business loans was less than the percentage of businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less; however, the bank's performance mirrored that of the aggregate lenders in the AA. The bank's 2013 and 2015 results were similar to 2014.

Community Development Lending

The bank made a relatively high level of community development loans in serving the credit needs of the Oklahoma City AA. Table 14 shows the bank originated or renewed 11 community development loans totaling \$47.1 million. Seven of the loans were for the revitalization/stabilization of LMI geographies, three for affordable housing initiatives, and one for economic development. Specific examples of community development lending during the review period include the following:

- Two loans totaling \$5.6 million were originated to the same borrower for the purchase and renovation of two multifamily complexes, with the majority of the units priced as affordable housing.
- A \$13.0 million dollar line of credit was extended to finance major renovations of a strip mall located in a low-income tract also designated as an enterprise zone.
- A loan of approximately \$5.9 million was originated for the purchase of land and construction of a convenience store/gas station in a moderate-income tract also designated as an enterprise zone.

TABLE 14 QUALIFIED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOANS OKLAHOMA CITY ASSESSMENT AREA										
Purpose # (\$000s)										
Affordable Housing	3	5,805								
Revitalization and Stabilization	7	40,950								
Community Services to LMI	0	0								
Economic Development	1	340								
Totals 11 47,095										

INVESTMENT TEST

The bank's performance under the investment test in the Oklahoma City AA is Low Satisfactory. The bank has an adequate level of qualified community development investments and donations in the Oklahoma City AA. Table 15 shows the volume of prior- and current-period investments and donation activities. Specific examples of community development investments include:

 An affordable housing investment of approximately \$4.7 million in mortgage-backed securities for an apartment complex in a moderate-income census tract. The complex has 204 units, 134 of which are available only to individuals or families earning 60 percent or less of the area median income.

- Two investments totaling \$334,000 to a 501(c) (3) nonprofit to provide capital for investing in affordable housing through the federal low-income housing tax credit program.
- A \$250,000 investment to a community development financial institution to support underserved communities with a focus on private equity, tax credits, credit enhancement, and habitat financing.

QUALIFIED COMMU				ESSMENT			JUNAI	IONS
Purpose	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS AND AD	Period tments ¹		rrent tments ²	Don	ations	Total	
	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)
Affordable Housing	3	5,014	2	334	0	0	5	5,348
Revitalization and Stabilization	0	0	1	250	0	0	1	250
Community Services	0	0	0	0	5	10	5	10
Economic Development	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	3	5,014	3	584	5	10	11	5,608

SERVICE TEST

The bank's performance under the service test in the Oklahoma City AA is rated High Satisfactory based on its retail services and level of providing community development services. Table 16 illustrates the bank's activities in the AA.

Retail Services

The bank's delivery systems were accessible to the bank's geographies and individuals of different income levels in the Oklahoma City AA. The bank operates 11 locations, and although not all have ATMs, the bank provides surcharge-free access to the 55,000 ATMs in the Allpoint Network. Six of the bank's branch locations offer extended hours on the weekend, and six have drive-through facilities. The distribution of the bank's branch network does not include any low-income tracts, but over half are located in moderate-income tracts.

	R				IUNIT			ENT SE T ARE		ES			
Branch	Location of Branches (%) Percent of Population (*)								on (%)				
Accessibility ¹	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Ùnk	Total	
Accessibility	0.0	54.6	18.2	27.3	0.0	100.0	5.3	25.5	41.5	27.6	0.0	100.0	
Changes in		Number of Branches					Net Change in Branch Locations #						
Branch Locations	Total # of Branches Openings			# of Closings		Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total		
Locations	11	1	6			0	0	+4	+1	+1	0	+6	
Community Development		dable Community Services		Economic Development		Revitalization /Stabilization		Total CD Services		Total Organizations			
Services	2		16	3		0		2		20		13	

Community Development Services

In the Oklahoma MSA AA, the bank provides a relatively high level of community development services. Altogether, bank employees were engaged in 20 services that assisted 13 different organizations. The majority of activities involved community services that included serving on the board or a committee providing technical assistance on financial matters such as budgeting, loan review, and financial planning. The following is a sample of activities that were considered responsive to area credit needs:

- An executive officer served on the Loan Committee of a housing group that promotes the development and sustainability of quality, affordable housing.
- A commercial banker served on the board of directors (board), and provided financial analysis for a national court-appointed special advocacy group to aid abused and neglected children, most of which are LMI individuals.
- Another senior commercial banker served on the board of an organization that provides mental health and addiction programs that improve quality of life for families and adults in the LMI community.

TULSA, OKLAHOMA METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA

(Limited Reviews)

DESCRIPTION OF BANK'S OPERATIONS IN LIMITED REVIEW TULSA ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank's AA in Tulsa includes the entirety of Tulsa County, one of the seven counties that comprise the Tulsa MSA. The AA has two branch locations, both located in upper-income tracts but near three low- and six moderate-income tracts. Both branches offer on-site ATMs, drive-through access, and extended weekend hours. The bank's branches in the Tulsa AA hold 16.8 percent of total bank deposits. According to the FDIC Market Share Report as of June 30, 2015, these deposits account for a 1.3 percent market share, ranking 16th in the area among 45 FDIC-insured institutions with 206 offices in the AA.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN LIMITED REVIEW TULSA ASSESSMENT AREA

The Tulsa AA was reviewed using limited-scope examination procedures. Through these procedures, conclusions regarding the institution's CRA performance are drawn from the review of available facts and data, including performance figures, aggregate comparisons, and demographic information. The conclusions regarding the bank's performance, which did not alter the overall state rating, are as follows:

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
Tulsa	Consistent	Consistent	Consistent

STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA ASSESSMENT AREA NONMETROPOLITAN STATEWIDE AREA

(Full Review)

DESCRIPTION OF BANK'S OPERATIONS IN STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates five full-service offices, including the bank's headquarters, in the Stillwater Assessment Area. Of the bank's five offices, one is located in a low-, three are in middle-income tracts, and one is in an upper-income tract. All except the main branch offer on-site ATMs, and all but the Oklahoma State University (OSU) Student Union branch have drive-through access and extended weekend hours. The bank's branches in the Stillwater AA hold 37.2 percent of total bank deposits. According to the FDIC Market Share Report as of June 30, 2015, the bank had 38.8 percent of the market share in this AA, ranking 1st of 17 other FDIC-insured institutions with 31 banking locations. The bank's competition includes regional and local banks as well as credit unions and other financial institutions.

DESCRIPTION OF THE BANK'S STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA1

The bank's Stillwater AA includes the entirety of Payne County. This county consists of 1 low-, no moderate, 13 middle-, and 3 upper-income census tracts. The middle-income tracts are all designated as distressed.

Population Trends and Characteristics

In 2010, the population of the AA was 77,350 representing 5.8 percent of the nonMSA population of Oklahoma. The largest city in the county is Stillwater, a Metropolitan Statistical Area, which accounts for 59.1 percent of the AA population. The AA population has grown by 13.4 percent over the previous decennial census and in 2014, is the seventh fastest growing county in the state. The area is extremely young with a median age of 27.3 years in Payne County and 23.7 years in Stillwater, which is attributed to the location of OSU and its population of 25,962 students. As of 2010, over 10.3 percent of the student population resided in college dormitories. The age of working adults from 18 years old to 65 years old was high at about 70.7 percent of the population, compared to 60.1 percent for the state of Oklahoma overall. Given the high student population and young age of county residents, the demand for automobile and other consumer loans may be strong, but the demand for residential mortgages may be diminished.

Economic and Employment Characteristics

The local economy in Stillwater and Payne County had been strong over the last few years

Sources of economic and demographic data include the Commerce Department's Bureau of Economic Analysis, the U.S. Census Bureau, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Moody's Economy.com, and Stillwater Chamber of Commerce.

before stalling sharply in the fourth quarter of 2014 due to falling oil and energy prices. As a result, employment and wage growth have slowed, with the goods-producing sectors representing the weakest sectors. The county posted a robust 3.9 percent job gain for 2014, and most of the local hiring weakness was confined to the private sector while government hiring remained steady and is expected to grow in 2016. Given its proximity to OSU, the AA possesses a highly educated and skilled workforce.

As Table 17 shows, unemployment in the area is low and annual trends reveal a rate well below the state and national levels. The area offers a diverse mix of industry, including aerospace and defense, energy, agriculture and biosciences, information and financial services, transportation and distribution. The largest business sector is services and retail trade. The AA's largest employers include OSU, Stillwater Medical Center, Stillwater Public Schools, city of Stillwater,

TABLE 17 ANNUAL UNEMPLOYMENT RATES											
Area	2012	2013	2014								
Stillwater	4.2	4.1	3.4								
Payne County	4.7	4.5	3.7								
State of Oklahoma	5.3	5.3	4.5								
United States	8.1	7.4	6.2								

Walmart, Bank SNB, Oklahoma Career Technology, National Standard, and ASCO Aerospace USA, LLC.

Income Characteristics

The Stillwater AA is more affluent than other Oklahoma nonmetropolitan areas, with a higher MFI in 2010 of \$53,649, compared to \$47,891 for other nonmetropolitan areas. The area also has a lower percentage of low-, moderate-, and middle-income families compared to the rest of the state. On the other hand, income by household is much lower than the state by a difference of \$8,290 and is reflective of a large student population. Household income levels may be driven by higher household poverty rates of 24.0 percent in the AA, compared to 18.0 percent in other nonmetropolitan areas. Absent special programs, credit availability may be difficult to obtain for local area students, but may be much better for families. In 2014, Payne County had a per capita personal income (PCPI) of \$38,028. This PCPI ranked 36th in the state and was 87 percent of the \$43,637 state average and 83 percent of the \$46,049 national average. The area also has a very low cost of living with a score of 87.7 based on an index of 100 for the United States.

Housing Characteristics

The median housing value as of 2010 was \$116,028, increasing by 58.6 percent since 2000, and is well above the state and rural housing values of \$104,300 and \$81,758, respectively. The area's population growth has sustained the housing market with brisk growth of single-family residential permits in 2013 and 2014. The AA has a low number of owner-occupied units and a significant number of rental units, including a higher number of apartment buildings than in other nonmetropolitan areas in the state. The median age of the housing stock is 35 years and slightly higher than the state overall and other nonmetropolitan areas. Considering the high cost of housing, the area's affordability ratio is 29.9 percent, much lower than the rest of the state, with a ratio of 41.2 percent and other rural areas at 46.0 percent. In 2010, the

median gross rent was \$598, only slightly higher than other nonmetropolitan areas. The area had a high percentage (54.1 percent) of renters with rent payments exceeding 30.0 percent of their income. According to Realtor.com, the average rent payment in 2014 increased to \$1,168. Lower affordability ratios and higher rents in relation to income may hinder the ability of LMI individuals and families to afford home ownership and meet down payment requirements absent special loan programs.

Census Tract Income Levels/Characteristics

As shown in Table 18, the Stillwater AA includes 1 low-income tract, no moderate-, 13 middle-income tracts, and 3 upper-income tracts. The low-income tract contains the campus of OSU, and the majority of the population consists of college students. This tract only has a fraction of the area's owner-occupied units at 4.0 percent, with a high number of rentals at 67.9 percent, and a vacancy rate of 28.1 percent (vacant units also include secondary homes). Furthermore, the number of private businesses in the area is also limited, with only 1.9 percent of total businesses located in the low-income tract. As a result, credit opportunities in the low-income tract may be minimal. The middle-income tracts include the city of Stillwater and six rural tracts extending eastward. All middle-income tracts are considered distressed. The upper-income tracts are to the west of the city and are also highly rural.

Table 18 demonstrates additional income, housing, and census tract characteristics for the Stillwater AA.

			T LWATER SESSMEN								
Income Categories	Tra Distrib	Application of the Control of the Co	Famili Tract li		Level	s < Poverty as % of s by Tract	Families by Family Income				
THE TELL THE	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	1	5.9	87	0.5	26	29.9	2,921	18.2			
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,675	16.7			
Middle	13	76.5	12,059	75.1	1,465	12.1	2,967	18.5			
Upper	3	17.6	3,909	24.3	245	6.3	7,492	46.7			
Total AA	17	100.0	16,055	100.0	1,736	10.8	16,055	100.0			
				Hous	ing Type b	v Tract					
	Housing Units by	Ow	/ner-occupi	17417		ental	Va	cant			
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by uni			
Low	524	21	0.1	4.0	356	67.9	147	28.1			
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Middle	26,190	11,532	74.5	44.0	10,942	41.8	3,716	14.2			
Upper	6,587	3,936	25.4	59.8	1,948	29.6	703	10.7			
Total AA	33,301	15,489	100.0	46.5	13,246	39.8	4,566	13.7			
		THE PROPERTY.	1000	act & Revenu							
	Total Bus by T		Less Than or = \$1 Million		With Autoritian	1 Million	Revenue Not Reported				
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	56	1.9	39	1.4	12	6.1	5	6.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Middle	2,305	77.1	2,077	76.7	158	80.2	70	83.3			
Upper	627	21.0	591	21.8	27	13.7	9	10.7			
Total AA	2,988	100.0	2,707	100.0	197	100.0	84	100.0			
Percentage	of Total Bu	sinesses:		90.6		6.6	Walls I	2.8			
	TALLE			Farn	ns by Tract	& Revenue S	ize				
	Total Fa		Less Tha \$1 Mil		Over \$	1 Million	Revenue Not Reported				
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	1	0.7	1	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Middle	104	68.0	104	68.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Upper	48	31.4	48	31.4	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Total AA	153	100.0	153	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
	ntage of To			100.0		0.0		0.0			

A previous community contact from a local chamber of commerce stated that one of the major needs in the Stillwater area was additional housing, both affordable housing as well as higherend housing. According to the contact, student-housing needs were being partially addressed with new high-rise buildings on the OSU campus. However, new employers in the area were hiring, creating an even larger housing shortage. The contact stated that housing prices and demand continues to increase, and indicated that the large number of banks in the Stillwater area must compete aggressively for a limited number of loans in the area, yet all have worked to support the credit needs of the community.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA

LENDING TEST

The bank's performance under the lending test in the Stillwater AA is considered High Satisfactory. The overall geographic distribution of lending was considered good based on AA demographics for both HMDA-related and small business lending. While the bank did not originate any loans in LMI tracts, the bank's AA includes only one low-income tract and no moderate-income tracts. The low-income tract contains the OSU campus, with very limited opportunities for HMDA or business loans.

The borrower distribution analysis for the Stillwater AA also reflected a good distribution overall, when considering the bank's small business performance. The bank's HMDA-related lending performance was considered adequate. It was significantly lower than the percentage of families in the AA, but only slightly lagged the number and dollar percentage of loans to LMI borrowers by aggregate HMDA reporters. However, the bank's distribution of small business loans to businesses of different income levels was considered excellent as the bank significantly outperformed other aggregate CRA reporters.

For both the geographic and borrower distribution of loans, more weight was assigned to the bank's commercial loans in determining the bank's overall lending performance. The bank also had an adequate level of community development lending.

The lending tables that follow reflect data for 2014, with narrative explaining comparisons to 2013 and 2015. The tables for 2015 and 2013 are available for review in Appendix D and Appendix E, respectively.

Geographic Distribution

The bank's overall geographic distribution of HMDA related and small business loans reflected good penetration among the middle- and upper-income geographies that together contain 99.9 percent of owner-occupied housing units and 98.1 percent of businesses in the AA. Table 19 displays the bank's geographic HMDA-related lending performance while Table 20 shows the bank's small business lending performance, both of which were compared to other lenders and demographic data.

TABLE 19 DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank	Loans		Aggrega Da	ite HMDA ita ¹	% of Owner-
ilicollie Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units ²
			Home Pui	rchase Loan	S		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	62	8,385	50.4	39.7	68.7	57.8	74.5
Upper	61	12,734	49.6	60.3	31.2	42.1	25.4
Unknown ³	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			Home Ref	inance Loar	is		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	22	2,372	47.8	28.7	67.9	54.4	74.5
Upper	24	5,891	52.2	71.3	31.9	45.5	25.4
Unknown ³	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		···	Home Impro	vement Lo	ans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	8	305	33.3	18.2	61.7	50.8	74.5
Upper	16	1,373	66.7	81.8	38.3	49.2	25.4
Unknown ³	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			Multifamily	Loans			% of Multifamily Units ²
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	82.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8
Unknown ³	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
			Total Home I	Mortgage Lo	ans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	92	11,062	47.7	35.6	68.0	57.1	74.5
Upper	101	19,998	52.3	64.4	31.8	42.8	25.4
Unknown ³	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all HMDA filers.

Total Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Loans

The geographic distribution of total HMDA loans was considered good based on AA demographics. The bank originated 193 HMDA loans in 2014, 47.7 percent in middle- and 52.3 percent in upper-income tracts. In contrast, the majority of loans by aggregate lenders

The percentage of owner-occupied housing and multifamily units by tract are based on 2014 Census tract boundaries and 2010 ACS data.

Does not include tracts where the income level is unknown. Only includes tract locations not known. (NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

were originated in middle-income tracts. The loan distribution in 2013 and 2015 was very similar to 2014 results. Because the trends are similar across all loan categories, detailed information for each category was not been developed.

TABLE 20 DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract Income Level		Busines	s Loans ¹	Aggreg Da	ate CRA	% of	
	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses ³
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.2	1.9
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	54	9,709	72.0	73.8	67.3	77.3	77.1
Upper	21	3,444	28.0	26.2	27.6	21.9	21.0
Unknown⁴	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

CRA data reports only business loans in the amount of \$1 million or less.

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all CRA filers.

The percentage of businesses in the AA is based on 2014 Census tract boundaries and 2014 D&B data.

Does not include tracts where the income level is unknown, which is 4.3 percent by number and 0.6 percent by dollar for aggregate lenders. Only includes tract locations not reported.

(NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

Business Loans

The bank's geographic distribution of small business loans was considered good based on AA demographics. The bank originated 75 business loans in 2014, 72.0 percent in middle- and 28.0 percent in upper-income tracts, which compared similarly to aggregate lenders and the percent of businesses in each tract. The loan distribution of business loans, as with HMDA loans, was consistent from 2013 through 2015.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The bank's borrower distribution of HMDA-related loans demonstrated adequate penetration among borrowers of different income levels, while the distribution of small business loans reflected excellent penetration among businesses of different revenue sizes. Table 21 displays the bank's HMDA-related lending performance, while Table 22 shows the bank's small business lending performance, both of which were compared to other lenders and demographic data.

TABLE 21 DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA

Borrower		Bank I	Loans		Aggrega Da	te HMDA ta ¹	% of Families ²	
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%		
			Home Purc	hase Loans				
Low	1	118	0.8	0.6	2.4	1.0	18.2	
Moderate	10	1,032	8.1	4.9	11.0	7.3	16.7	
Middle	18	2,343	14.6	11.1	15.1	13.2	18.5	
Upper	92	17,227	74.8	81.6	48.7	58.4	46.7	
Unknown	2	399	1.6	1.9	22.8	20.2	0.0	
	**	WE SWEET	Refinanc	ed Loans	ALL VICTOR			
Low	3	281	6.5	3.4	4.1	1.8	18.2	
Moderate	1	71	2.2	0.9	6.4	3.8	16.7	
Middle	4	467	8.7	5.7	15.6	10.6	18.5	
Upper	32	5,575	69.6	67.5	49.7	57.5	46.7	
Unknown	6	1,869	13.0	22.6	24.2	26.3	0.0	
ALL PARTY OF THE P		Н	lome Improv	vement Loai	าร			
Low	1	4	4.2	0.2	1.7	0.3	18.2	
Moderate	1	4	4.2	0.2	8.7	4.6	16.7	
Middle	4	147	16.7	8.8	23.5	11.9	18.5	
Upper	18	1,523	75.0	90.8	63.5	76.6	46.7	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.6	6.6	0.0	
	A TANK	То	tal Home M	ortgage Loa	ns³			
Low	5	403	2.6	1.3	2.8	1.2	18.2	
Moderate	12	1,107	6.2	3.6	9.5	6.1	16.7	
Middle	26	2,957	13.5	9.5	15.9	12.3	18.5	
Upper	142	24,325	73.6	78.3	50.0	58.1	46.7	
Unknown	8	2,268	4.1	7.3	21.9	22.3	0.0	

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all HMDA filers.

Multifamily loans are not considered in the Borrower Analysis.

(NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

Total Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Loans

The bank's distribution for total HMDA loans by income level of borrower in the Stillwater AA is considered adequate, given the large student population. Both the bank and aggregate lenders had difficulty reaching LMI families residing in the AA based on demographic figures. The bank's performance was comparable to aggregate lenders by number and dollar volume to low-income borrowers. The vast majority of HMDA lending was made to upper-income borrowers, followed by middle-income borrowers, both of which have greater means for home loans in an area with a very low affordability ratio. Similar results were seen in the bank's 2013 and 2015 data.

The percentage of families and households are based on 2010 ACS data.

Home Purchase Loans

The bank's distribution of home purchase loans by borrower income level was adequate. While the bank trailed aggregate lenders by both number and dollar amount of loans to LMI borrowers, the differences lacked significance. The bank's lending in 2013 and 2015 did not widely vary from 2014 data.

Refinance Loans

The bank's distribution of home refinance loans by borrower income level was adequate. The bank exceeded aggregate lending to low-income borrowers by number and dollar amount of loans. In contrast, the bank trailed both comparators in its lending to borrowers of moderate income. In 2013, the bank originated no loans to low-income borrowers and trailed aggregate lenders and the percentage of moderate-income families. In 2015, using 2014 aggregate data as a proxy, the bank compared similarly to aggregate lenders.

Home Improvement Loans

The bank's distribution of home improve loans by borrower income level was adequate, despite mixed results. By number of loans to low-income borrowers, the bank outperformed aggregate lenders, while aligning closely with aggregate lenders in its lending to low-income borrowers by dollar; however, these results are based on one loan origination. In contrast, the bank's one loan origination to a moderate-income borrower trailed aggregate lenders and the percentage of moderate-income families by both number and dollar volume of loans. The bank had slightly better performance in both 2013 and 2015.

TABLE 22 **DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 BUSINESS LOANS BY REVENUE SIZE** STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA **Business** Business Loans¹ Aggregate CRA Data² % of Revenue By Businesses³ #% \$(000) #% \$% \$% Size # \$1MM or less 6.993 41.9 90.6 55 73.3 53.2 47.8 Over \$1MM 19 6,150 25.3 46.8 6.6 Not Reported **Not Known** 10 1.3 0.1 2.8

Business Loans

The bank's distribution of business loans by revenue size was considered excellent. Although trailing the percentage of businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less in the AA, the bank markedly outperformed aggregate lenders by number of loans and was comparable by dollar volume. The percentages for 2013 and 2015 were also much better than aggregate lenders but to a slightly lesser degree than in 2014.

Community Development Lending

The bank made an adequate level of community development loans in serving the credit needs of the Stillwater AA. Table 23 shows the bank originated or renewed three community development loans totaling approximately \$5.1 million. One of the loans was made to revitalize/stabilize a distressed middle-income tract, one was made for economic development purposes, and one was made to support affordable housing. Below is an example of community development lending during the review period:

 A \$2.0 million renewal of an operating line of credit was made to a local company with a presence in Stillwater since 1898. The operating line helped to retain this long-standing business, which employs 75 people in the Stillwater area.

¹ CRA data reports only business loans in the amount of \$1 million or less.

² Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all CRA filers.

The percentage of businesses in the AA is based on 2014 D&B data.

⁽NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

TABLE 23 QUALIFIED COMMUNITY DEVELO STILLWATER ASSESSMEN		
Community Development Purpose	#	\$(000's)
Affordable Housing	1	146
Revitalization and Stabilization	0	0
Community Services to Low-to-Moderate Income	0	0
Economic Development	2	4,943
TOTAL LOANS	3	5,089

INVESTMENT TEST

The bank's performance under the investment test in the Stillwater AA is considered Low Satisfactory. The bank has an adequate level of qualified community development investments and donations in the Stillwater AA. Table 24 shows the volume of prior- and current-period investments and donation activities. The two investments in the Stillwater AA are:

- A \$1.6 million community service bond for the construction of a new middle school for grades fifth through eighth, in a district where 61 percent of students are eligible for free or reduced lunches; and,
- A prior-period investment in a pool of two mortgage-backed securities for LMI borrowers in Sedgwick County.

QUALIFIED COMMUNI		VELOPME				RANTS, D	ONAT	IONS
Community Development		r Period stments ¹		urrent stments ²	Donations		Total	
Purpose	#	\$(000s)	#	\$(000s)	#	\$(000s)	#	\$(000s)
Affordable Housing	1	104	0	0	0	0	1	104
Revitalization and Stabilization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community Services	0	0	1	1,650	8	11	9	1,661
Economic Development	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Neighborhood Stabilization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	104	1	1,650	8	11	10	1,765

SERVICE TEST

The bank's performance under the service test in the Stillwater AA is rated High Satisfactory based on its retail services and level of providing community development services. Table 25 illustrates the bank's activities in the AA.

Retail Services

The bank's delivery systems were accessible to the bank's geographies and individuals of different income levels in the Stillwater AA. The bank operates five locations, four of which have ATMs; however, the bank also provides surcharge-free access in the Allpoint ATM Network. Three of the five branch locations offer extended hours on the weekend and four offer drive-through facilities. The distribution of the bank's branch network closely resembles the percentage of population in each tract category. While 20.0 percent of the bank's branches are in the single low-income tract, that represents just one of the five branches in the Stillwater AA.

	R	ETAIL			UNITY	LE 25 DEVEL SESSN		NT SE	RVICE	ES .		
	Lo	cation	of Bran	ches by	/ Tract ¹	(%)		Per	cent of	Tracts	1 (%)	
Branch	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total
Accessibility	20.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	100.0	1.3	0.0	78.2	20.5	0.0	100.0
4 - 4 P - 3 18 7	Number of Branches (#)					Ne	t Chang	e in Br	anch L	ocation	s (#)	
Changes in Branch	Total Openings Branches (#)		Closings (#)		Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total		
Location		5		1		0	0	0	+1	0	0	+1
Community Development Services	Affor Hou		Community Services		Economic Development		Revitalization & Stabilization		Total Services		Total Organizations	
		0	1	2		0	0		12		8	

Community Development Services

The bank provides a relatively high level of community development services in the Stillwater AA. Bank employees were engaged in 12 services that assisted 8 different organizations. All activities focused on community services that included serving on the board or a committee providing technical assistance on financial matters such as budgeting, loan review, and financial planning. A sample of activities considered responsive to area needs included:

 A bank president provided financial advice and mentoring for a local housing organization that offers shelter to LMI individuals. • A head teller provided literacy training for an organization that provides outreach and services for disadvantaged LMI individuals.

STATE OF TEXAS

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT RATING FOR TEXAS:

The lending test is rated:
The investment test is rated:
The service test is rated:

Satisfactory High Satisfactory Low Satisfactory Needs to Improve

Major factors supporting the rating include:

Lending Test

- The geographic distribution of business loans was considered good throughout its AAs.
- The distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes was considered good.
- The geographic and borrower distribution of HMDA loans was not analyzed due to the small number of those loans originated (four in 2013, 10 in 2014, and 26 in 2015).
- The bank made a relatively high level of community development loans.

Investment Test

• The bank had an adequate level of qualified community development investments and grants that included occasional use of innovative and complex activities to support community development initiatives and exhibited adequate responsiveness to community needs.

Service Test

- The bank provided very few community development services.
- Delivery systems were reasonably accessible to the bank's geographies and individuals of different income levels while products, services, and business hours did not vary in a way that inconvenienced its AAs, particularly LMI geographies and individuals

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

The scope of the review for the state of Texas was consistent with the overall scope for the entire institution, including evaluations under the lending, investment, and service tests;

however, note that an analysis of geographic and borrower distributions for HMDA loans was not conducted due to the limited number of loans originated. The Dallas AA received a full-scope review based on its 60.6 percent share of lending and 25.6 percent share of deposits among all AAs in the state. Accordingly, the state of Texas rating was based predominantly on the bank's performance within this AA. Limited-scope reviews were conducted for the Austin, McMullen, and San Antonio AAs to determine whether the bank's performance in those AAs was consistent with its performance in the state.

DESCRIPTION OF BANK'S OPERATIONS IN TEXAS

The bank's branching network includes seven locations in Texas: three in the Dallas AA, two in the San Antonio AA, and one each in the Austin AA and McMullen AA. According to the FDIC Market Share Report as of June 30, 2015, the bank had a deposit market share of less than 0.1 percent, ranking 62nd of 177 FDIC-insured financial institutions with 1,960 offices throughout the bank's Texas AAs. Loan products offered in the market mirror those discussed in the Overall Institution section of this report, with a primary emphasis on commercial lending.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN TEXAS

LENDING TEST

The bank's performance with respect to the lending test in the state of Texas is rated High Satisfactory. The weight of the rating is placed on the bank's commercial lending performance and community development loan activity. The geographic and borrower distribution of the bank's HMDA residential real estate lending in Texas was not analyzed, as too few loans in this category were originated for a meaningful analysis. The distribution of business loans among geographies and borrowers of different revenue sizes was considered good. The bank made a relatively high level of community development loans. A more in-depth discussion of performance can be found in the individual full-scope review of the Dallas AA.

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The geographic distribution of business loans reflected a good penetration of loans throughout the bank's Texas AAs. In the full-scope review of the Dallas AA, the distribution of small business loans was considered good with the bank's lending percentages slightly below aggregate lenders and the percentage of businesses operating in low-income tracts but aligned closely with both aggregate lenders and demographics in moderate-income tracts. The bank's lending performance among geographies of different income levels in the limited-scope AAs was mixed, and those conclusions are detailed in the limited reviews for those areas. These conclusions did not change the overall rating for the state of Texas.

The borrower distribution analysis for the state of Texas reflected a good distribution overall. The full-scope review in the Dallas AA revealed that the bank's distribution of small business loans to businesses of different income levels was considered good. The bank's lending was below the percentage of small businesses or those with gross annual revenues of \$1MM or less operating in the AA but outperformed aggregate lenders by number of loans. The distribution of business loans in the limited-scope AAs was mixed and is illustrated in the limited reviews for those areas; however, this did not change the overall rating for the state.

Community Development Loans

The bank originated a relatively high level of community development loans in the state of Texas given its smaller footprint in this state. Overall, the bank originated six loans for \$10.9 million in all of its Texas AAs. The types of community development loans originated were not necessarily complex or innovative but were responsive to local area needs. Two of the loans qualified for economic development, two for affordable housing, and one each for community service and revitalization/stabilization. The conclusion reached under this criterion was based on qualified activities in all five of the Texas AAs.

INVESTMENT TEST

The investment test rating for Texas is Low Satisfactory. The bank had four CRA qualified investments in the Dallas AA and one donation in the Austin AA. The other limited-scope review AAs did not have any investments; however, this did not impact the overall rating for the state of Texas. Since the prior evaluation, the bank acquired two qualified investments for \$334,000, both for affordable housing. The bank continues to hold two prior-period investments totaling \$958,000. The total of 5 investments and donations for this examination period is down from 13 at the prior examination; the dollar total decreased approximately 48 percent from over \$2.5 million to \$1.3 million.

SERVICE TEST

The bank's performance under the service test is rated Needs to Improve for the state of Texas. Branch locations and alternative delivery systems such as ATMs and drive-through banking are reasonably accessible to most of the bank's geographies and to individuals of different income levels in the bank's Texas AAs. The bank's Texas branches are located in middle- (3) and upper-income (4) tracts; none are in LMI tracts. However, the bank's Texas branch locations are within a three-mile radius of 23 low- and 38 moderate-income geographies. The bank's record of opening and closing branches has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems in LMI areas or to LMI individuals. During the evaluation period, the bank neither opened nor closed any branches.

Business hours and services generally did not vary in a way that inconveniences its AAs, particularly to LMI geographies and individuals. Lobby hours and additional services did not

BANK SNB STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA

vary by market. The bank provided very few community development services throughout the Texas AAs. The bank provided three qualified services to three organizations that provide assistance to LMI individuals and families or support small businesses. The services provided were all within the Austin AA. The Needs to Improve rating is due to the very small number of community services, which were weighted more heavily than the bank's retail services. The bank's performance under the Service Test in the limited-scope AAs was either consistent with or above the performance within the overall state; this did not change the overall rating for the state.

DALLAS, TEXAS METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREA (Full Review)

DESCRIPTION OF BANK'S OPERATIONS IN THE DALLAS ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates three full-service offices: one branch each in Dallas, Frisco, and Fort Worth. Note that the Fort Worth branch in Tarrant County was not opened until January 9, 2015, and the assessment area in 2014 only included Dallas and Collin Counties. Therefore, the analysis that follows does not include Tarrant County information. According to the FDIC Market Share Report as of June 30, 2015, the bank had a 0.3 percent market share in this AA, ranking 97th of 136 other FDIC-insured institutions with 1,349 banking locations. The bank faces significant competition for banking services within the AA from large, regional, and local banks as well as credit unions and other financial institutions.

DESCRIPTION OF THE BANK'S DALLAS ASSESSMENT AREA1

The bank's 2014 Dallas AA includes the entireties of Collin and Dallas Counties. These counties are 2 of the 13 that comprise the Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington MSA (also known as the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex) and 2 of the 7 that comprise the Dallas Metropolitan Division (MD). The Dallas MD and Fort Worth MD create the Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington MSA.

Population Trends and Characteristics

The 2014 Census projections indicate that the population increased 8.1 percent from 2010 to 2014, which is similar to the statewide projected growth of 7.2 percent over the same period. The entire AA population is 3,150,480, many of whom live in Dallas County (population of 2,368,139 as of the 2010 Census). The AA comprises 74.5 percent of the Dallas MD; therefore, all age and family demographics of the AA will generally mirror total MD figures. In regards to age of population, makeup of families and households, there were no significant anomalies between AA characteristics and total statewide figures that would provide a contextual basis for lending patterns outside the expected norm.

Economic and Employment Characteristics

The largest industry sectors in the Dallas MD are professional and business services (18.5 percent), educational and health services (12.1 percent), government (11.8 percent), retail trade (10.0 percent), and leisure and hospitality services (9.8 percent). The MSA's largest employers are Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. (34,698); Bank of America Corp (20,000), Carlson Restaurants Worldwide (19,999); Texas Health Resources (19,230); Baylor Health Care

Sources of economic and demographic data include the Commerce Department's Bureau of Economic Analysis, the U.S. Census Bureau, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Moody's Economy.com, Dallas Business Journal Book of Lists, and Dallas Office of Economic Development.

System (16,850); AT&T (15,800); JPMorgan Chase & Co. (14,500); and Texas Instruments, Inc. (14,000).

The Dallas MD economy has seen strong growth and is outperforming the state of Texas as well as Houston due to its lower exposure to the energy industry. Total employment growth in the MD has nearly doubled the national pace and has spread across all industries where most are up roughly 5 percent over the course of 2015. The financial services sector is playing a large role in the growth of the MD as large companies are expanding operations in the area due to the well-trained labor pool that is established. Housing and healthcare have also seen growth due to the business expansion and rising population, which has seen a growth of 2 percent a year. Another contributor to healthcare is the aging population in the area and Texas not adopting the Medicaid expansion.

The city of Dallas and Dallas MSA have seen unemployment figures decrease since a peak in 2010. The MSA continues to be similar to the state figure and below the national unemployment rate.

Income Characteristics

The AA contains 681 tracts, including 105 low-, 187 moderate-, 158 middle-, 228 upper- and 3 unknown-income tracts. The percentage of families in the AA that are considered low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income generally mirror statewide figures. The percentage of families below the poverty level for the AA are slightly lower than the statewide figures representing 11.8 percent compared to the state at 13.0 percent.

Of the two counties in the AA, Collin County is the most affluent. In 2010, the MFI for the county was \$94,785, compared to Dallas County at \$53,849, and the statewide MFI of \$58,142. Collin County also only has 11.9 percent of families in the low-income category and 59.4 percent categorized as upper-income, compared to Dallas County with 30.5 percent low-income and 32.6 percent upper-income, and the state with 22.8 percent lower-income and 41.6 percent upper-income. The counties have one branch location each.

Housing Characteristics

As of 2010 Census data, housing stock varied between the two counties in the AA. Collin County had a high owner-occupancy rate of 66.1 percent, compared to the overall AA rate of 52.9 percent. Conversely, the county had a very low concentration of rental units (27.3 percent). Dallas County had the lower owner-occupancy rate, equaling only 48.8 percent and 40.5 percent rentals, including 33.4 percent in multifamily units. Collin County had the youngest median housing age at 15 years, compared to Dallas County at 33 years. The older housing stock in Dallas County may indicate a need for more home improvement loans.

The total Dallas MD's housing affordability ratio² equaled 36.6 percent, and the AA ratio equaled 35.4 percent. Collin County, with the highest owner-occupancy rate, equaled 40.5 percent. Dallas County, the more populous county, equaled 37.0 percent. The higher concentrations of rental units may be in response to the less affordable housing available in these counties. The MD has less affordable housing compared to other metropolitan areas.

Census Tract Income Levels/Characteristics

The percentage of rental units is more pronounced within the LMI tracts of the AA. In low-income tracts, only 24.0 percent of housing units are owner-occupied and 60.0 percent are rentals; in the moderate-income tracts, 45.9 percent are owner-occupied and 42.7 percent are rentals. These factors may impact the bank's ability to make owner-occupied home purchase or refinance loans within the AA's LMI tracts. However, there may be increased opportunities for lending for rental/investment properties.

The economic characteristics also vary by tract income category. In the low-income tracts, 34.2 percent of families are below the poverty level and 39.7 percent in the moderate-income tracts. However, in the middle- and upper-income tracts, this indicator drops to 17.2 percent and 8.9 percent, respectively. Similarly, the unemployment rate in the low-income tracts was at 11.5 percent and 8.7 percent in the moderate-income tracts, compared to 6.6 percent in the middle-income tracts and 4.7 percent in the upper-income tracts. This may hinder the ability for residents in the LMI tracts to afford home ownership.

Table 26 shows income, housing, and general demographic characteristics of the assessment area.

The housing affordability ratio is calculated by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. A lower ratio reflects less affordable housing.

			LLAS AS		ENT AREA DEMOGRA	PHICS		
Income Categories	Tra Distrib		Families by Tract Income		Families • Level a Families	s % of	Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	105	15.4	92,003	12.4	29,959	32.6	189,249	25.5
Moderate	187	27.5	194,288	26.2	34,722	17.9	126,811	17.1
Middle	158	23.2	186,893	25.2	15,005	8.0	130,764	17.7
Upper	228	33.5	267,533	36.1	7,808	2.9	293,902	39.7
Unknown	3	0.4	9	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	681	100.0	740,726	100.0	87,494	11.8	740,726	100.0
	SAN AND	LIP THEFTY		Hou	sing Type by	Tract		140 EV
	Housing	Ow	ner-occupi			ntal	l Vac	ant
	Units by Tract		% by	% by		% by		% by
	Hact	#	tract	unit	#	unit	#	unit
Low	178,378	42,881	6.7	24.0	107,056	60.0	28,441	15.9
Moderate	319,078	146,284	22.7	45.8	136,195	42.7	36,599	11.5
Middle	310,359	170,196	26.4	54.8	113,439	36.6	26,724	8.6
Upper	411,502	285,303	44.3	69.3	99,030	24.1	27,169	6.6
Unknown	18	18	0.0	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	1,219,335	644,682	100.0	52.9	455,720	37.4	118,933	9.8
ESSARE I	A DE LEGISLE	THE STREET	TENEDE TO		nesses by Tr			918/W Sv. 5
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	sinesses ract	Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Reven	ue Not
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	17,864	9.5	15,148	9.0	1,978	15.4	738	10.6
Moderate	38,118	20.2	33,372	19.8	3,237	25.2	1,509	21.7
Middle	46,069	24.4	41,397	24.5	2,865	22.3	1,807	25.9
Upper	85,921	45.6	78,368	46.5	4,655	36.3	2,898	41.6
Unknown	473	0.3	367	0.2	91	0.7	15	0.2
Total AA	188,445	100.0	168,652	100.0	12,826	100.0	6,967	100.0
	ge of Total E			89.5	1 1 1 1 1 X	6.8	S	3.7
			The rest	Fa	rms by Tract	& Revenue	Size	7
	Total by T	ract	Less Th		Over \$1	Million	Reven Repo	ue Not orted
91	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	54	4.2	47	3.7	6	24.0	1	25.0
Moderate	135	10.4	131	10.3	4	16.0	0	0.0
Middle	363	28.0	360	28.4	3	12.0	0	0.0
Upper	742	57.3	727	57.4	12	48.0	3	75.0
Unknown	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	1,295	100.0	1,266	100.0	25	100.0	4	100.0
	centage of T			97.8		1.9		0.3

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN DALLAS ASSESSMENT AREA

LENDING TEST

The bank's performance under the lending test in the Dallas AA is rated High Satisfactory. The bank's distribution of small business loans to geographies of different income levels, as well as to businesses of different revenue sizes was considered good. The bank also had a relatively high level of community development lending. The bank's performance was based solely on the bank's small business lending as indicated above.

The lending tables that follow reflect data for 2014, with narrative explaining comparisons to 2013 and 2015. The tables for 2015 and 2013 are available for review in Appendix D and Appendix E, respectively.

Geographic Distribution

The bank's overall geographic distribution of small business loans reflected good penetration among geographies of different income levels. Table 27 displays the bank's geographic HMDA-related lending performance (although this was not analyzed due to low loan volume). Table 28 shows the bank's small business lending performance, which was compared to other lenders and demographic data.

TABLE 27 DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY DALLAS ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank l	_oans		Aggregat Da	te HMDA ta ¹	% of Owner Occupied
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Units ²
			Home Purc	hase Loans			THE STATE OF THE SECOND
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.0	1.7	6.7
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.6	7.7	22.7
Middle	1	132	20.0	8.7	27.5	19.9	26.4
Upper	4	1,380	80.0	91.3	56.9	70.7	44.3
P. HILLIAM	T Daniella		Home Refin	ance Loans		J. J. L.	To the state of th
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.0	1.7	6.7
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	13.8	7.7	22.7
Middle	0	0	0.0	0,0	25.8	17.7	26.4
Upper	5	1,148	100.0	100.0	57.4	72.8	44.3
	of a political	Н	ome Improv	ement Loar	าร		" Car Make
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.2	1.3	6.7
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	13.6	6.5	22.7
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.0	12.5	26.4
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	63.2	79.8	44.3
			Multifam	ily Loans			% of Multifamily Units ²
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	30.2	17.4	26.6
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	32.8	22.5	26.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.5	22.4	23.1
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.5	37.7	23.4
		То	tal Home M	ortgage Loa	ns		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.2	6.7
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	13.1	9.0	22.7
Middle	1	132	10.0	5.0	26.7	19.5	26.4
Upper	9	2,528	90.0	95.0	57.1	68.2	44.3

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all HMDA filers.

The percentage of owner-occupied housing and multifamily units by tract are based on 2014 Census tract boundaries and 2010 ACS data.

⁽NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

TABLE 28 DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY DALLAS ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Busines	s Loans¹	Aggregate	% of		
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses ³
Low	1	35	4.5	0.6	9.7	11.8	9.5
Moderate	4	1,040	18.2	18.9	18.7	21.3	20.2
Middle	8	2,820	36.4	51.3	21.6	21.3	24.4
Upper	9	1,600	40.9	29.1	48.6	44.5	45.6
Unknown⁴	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.3

CRA data reports only business loans in the amount of \$1 million or less.

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all CRA filers.

The percentage of businesses in the AA is based on 2010 Census tract boundaries and 2014 D&B data.

Does not include tracts where the income level is unknown, which is 1.3 percent by number and 0.6 percent by dollar for aggregate lenders. Only includes tract locations not reported.

(NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

Business Loans

The bank's distribution of business loans by income level of geography was considered good. While slightly lagging aggregate lenders and the percentage of businesses in low-income tracts, the bank's performance was similar to both aggregate and demographic figures in moderate-income tracts. The bank also originated a larger percentage of loans to middle-income tracts than aggregate lenders and demographic figures when compared to upper-income tracts. The bank's performance in 2013 was similar to 2014 in low-income tracts but compared less favorably in moderate-income tracts. In 2015, with the addition of Tarrant County to the bank's Dallas AA, the bank outperformed the percentage of business by both number and dollar volume of loans in low-income tracts (aggregate data is not yet available for 2015). In moderate-income tracts, the bank's lending was below the percentage of businesses, but not significantly.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The bank's distribution of its business loans represented good penetration to businesses of different revenue size. Table 29 shows the bank's geographic HMDA-related lending performance (although this was not analyzed due to low loan volume). Table 30 illustrates the bank's small business lending performance, which was compared to other lenders and demographic data.

TABLE 29 DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS DALLAS ASSESSMENT AREA

Borrower Income		Bank L	oans		Aggrega Da	ite HMDA ita ¹	% of Families
Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	#%
- Philipping Market	att active		Home Purc	hase Loans			Total Call Tile
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.3	1.7	25.5
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.5	7.1	17.1
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	15.3	11.8	17.7
Upper	5	1,512	100.0	100.0	48.6	62.9	39.7
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.3	16.5	0.0
		Wigner Briggs (S. 1971)	Refinanc	ed Loans			CONTRACT WILL
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.4	2.4	25.5
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.0	5.9	17.1
Middle	1	128	20.0	11.1	15.1	10.4	17.7
Upper	3	727	60.0	63.3	45.6	61.2	39.7
Unknown	1	293	20.0	25.5	23.0	20.2	0.0
		sina≥i∃Waan =Wi	Home Improv	ement Loan	Salvenie		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.9	1.5	25.5
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	10.2	3.9	17.1
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	13.3	8.4	17.7
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	58.2	79.1	39.7
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.4	7.0	0.0
	THE ST	T	otal Home Mo	rtgage Loan	ıs³	ALTERNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE	on nemale
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.7	1.7	25.5
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.9	6.1	17.1
Middle	1	128	10.0	4.8	15.1	10.3	17.7
Upper	8	2,239	80.0	84.2	48.0	56.9	39.7
Unknown	1	293	10.0	11.0	20.4	25.0	0.0

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all HMDA filers.

(NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

The percentage of families and households are based on 2010 ACS data

Multifamily loans are not considered in the Borrower Analysis.

DI	STRIBUT				NS BY REV AREA	ENUE SIZ	E	
Business Revenue By		Busines	s Loans ¹		Aggregate	% of 3		
Size	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses ³	
\$1MM or less	11	1,285	50.0	23.4	45.6	32.6	89.5	
Over \$1MM	10	3,210	45.5	58.4	N-4 D-			
Not Known	1	1,000	4.5	18.2	Not Reported 6.8 3.7			

CRA data reports only business loans in the amount of \$1 million or less.

Business Loans

The bank's distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes was also considered good. The bank trailed the percentage of small businesses operating in the AA but slightly exceeded penetration by aggregate lenders. In 2013, the bank's performance relative to the two comparators was very similar. In 2015, the bank added Tarrant County to the bank's Dallas AA. The bank's percentage of lending to small businesses was nearly identical to 2014 and similar to the percentage of small businesses in the AA.

Community Development Lending

In the Dallas AA, the bank made a relatively high level of community development loans. Table 31 shows the bank originated or renewed four community development loans totaling approximately \$5.7 million. Two of the loans supported affordable housing; the other two were made for economic development purposes. Below is an example of community development lending during the review period:

 A loan of approximately \$3.5 million to a small meat packing business to refinance an existing building and to purchase another building the company was currently leasing. This loan helped to retain many jobs that pay less than 50 percent of area median income.

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all CRA filers.

The percentage of businesses in the AA is based on 2014 D&B data.

⁽NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

TABLE 31 QUALIFIED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOANS DALLAS ASSESSMENT AREA									
Purpose	#	(\$000s)							
Affordable Housing	2	1,014							
Revitalization and Stabilization	0	0							
Community Services to LMI	0	0							
Economic Development	2	4,750							
Totals	4	5,764							

INVESTMENT TEST

The bank's performance under the investment test in the Dallas AA is rated Low Satisfactory. The bank has an adequate level of qualified community development investments and donations in the Dallas AA. Table 32 shows the volume of prior- and current-period investments and donation activities. Examples of investments in the Dallas AA are:

- An affordable housing investment in a pool of 12 mortgage-backed securities to LMI borrowers in Collin and Dallas Counties of approximately \$633,000.
- Two investments totaling \$334,000 to a 501(c)(3) nonprofit to provide capital for investing in affordable housing through the federal low-income housing tax credit program. This fund covers 10 states including Kansas, Texas, and Oklahoma. Similar investments were credited to the bank's Oklahoma City AA.

	ABLE 32		
MUNITY DEVELOPM METROPOLITAN STA			
Prior Period	Current	Donations	Total

Purpose	Prior Period Investments ¹		Current Investments ²		Donations		Total	
	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)
Affordable Housing	1	633	2	334	0	0	3	967
Revitalization and Stabilization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Economic Development	1	325	0	0	0	0	1	325
Neighborhood Stabilization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	2	958	2	334	0	0	4	1,292

Book Value

Original Market Value

SERVICE TEST

In the Dallas AA, the bank's performance under the service test is rated Needs to Improve based on the lack of any community development services, which was weighted more heavily than other evaluation factors. Table 33 illustrates the bank's activities in the AA.

Retail Services

The bank's delivery systems are reasonably accessible to most of the bank's geographies and to individuals of different income levels in the Dallas AA. Business hours and services generally did not vary in a way that inconveniences its AAs, particularly to LMI geographies and individuals. Lobby hours and bank services did not vary significantly by market. The bank operates three locations, none of which offer ATM, drive-through, or extended weekend hours. However, the bank does provide surcharge-free access to the 55,000 ATMs in the Allpoint ATM Network, which has many locations in the Dallas and Fort Worth metropolitan areas. With 100.0 percent of the bank's locations in upper-income tracts, the distribution of the bank's branches is not representative of the population in each tract category. However, the branches in this AA are within a three-mile radius of 20 low- and 22 moderate-income tracts.

DA					UNITY	LE 33 DEVEI STICAL					REA	
	molecular A	Loca	tion of	Branch	es (%)	NI VA		Perce	nt of P	opulati	ion (%)	
Branch Accessibility 1	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total
Accessibility	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	11.4	27.4	28.6	32.6	0.0	100.0
Channes in	Number of Branches					Net Change in Branch Locations #						
Changes in Branch		tal ches	# of Openings		# of Closings		Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total
Locations		3	·	0	()	0	0	0	+1	0	+1
Community Development		dable sing		nunity /ices		nomic opment		lization & ization	Total CD Services			otal izations
Services		0	()	0		0		0			

Community Development Services

The bank provided no community development services in the Dallas AA, which is the primary contributor to the Needs to Improve rating in the service test.

OTHER TEXAS METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS

(Limited Reviews)

DESCRIPTION OF BANK'S OPERATIONS IN LIMITED REVIEW TEXAS METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREAS

San Antonio Assessment Area

The bank's AA in San Antonio includes the entirety of Bexar County, one of eight counties that comprise the San Antonio-New Braunfels MSA. The AA has two branches, both in middle-income geographies. One branch has an on-site ATM, drive-through facility, and Saturday hours, while the other offers none of those additional services. However, the bank offers the Allpoint ATM Network surcharge-free to its customers and many locations are available in San Antonio.

According to the FDIC Market Share Report as of June 30, 2015, branches in the San Antonio AA hold 2.6 percent of total bank deposits which accounts for a 0.1 percent market share, ranking 25th of 42 FDIC-insured institutions with 333 offices in the AA.

Austin Assessment Area

The Austin AA includes all of Travis County, one of five counties in the Austin-Round Rock MSA. The bank operates one branch in this AA located in an upper-income tract. The branch does not have an on-site ATM, but there are numerous Allpoint Network ATMs accessible to the bank's customers in the Austin area. The bank does not have a drive-through facility nor does it offer Saturday hours.

The FDIC Market Share Report as of June 30, 2015, shows that the Austin AA maintains 1.4 percent of total bank deposits and represents a 0.1 percent market share in Travis County. This ranks 37th of 50 FDIC-insured institutions with 277 offices in the AA.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN LIMITED REVIEW TEXAS METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREAS

The two limited-review AAs in Texas MSAs were reviewed using limited-scope examination procedures. Conclusions regarding the institution's CRA performance are drawn from a review of available facts and data, including performance figures, aggregate comparisons, and demographic information. The conclusions regarding the bank's performance did not alter the overall state rating, and are as follows:

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
San Antonio AA	Consistent	Below	Consistent
Austin AA	Consistent	Below	Above

MCMULLEN ASSESSMENT AREA NONMETROPOLITAN STATEWIDE TEXAS

(Limited Review)

DESCRIPTION OF BANK'S OPERATIONS IN THE TEXAS NONMETROPOLITAN MCMULLEN ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank's McMullen AA consists of only McMullen County in its entirety. A single bank location resides in this county and there is no other bank competition. The bank offers an on-site ATM, a drive-through facility, and is not open on weekends.

The bank holds 100 percent of deposit market share in McMullen County, which accounts for 6.1 percent of total bank deposits and 44.6 percent of deposits in the state of Texas. No loans were originated in the AA since the prior examination; however, this is a very sparsely populated county with only 707 residents according to the 2010 Decennial Census. The 2015 estimated population of 820 represents an 16.0 percent increase from 2010.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE TEXAS NONMETROPOLITAN MCMULLEN ASSESSMENT AREA

The McMullen AA was reviewed using limited-scope examination procedures. Conclusions regarding the institution's CRA performance are drawn from a review of available facts and data. The bank did not originate any HMDA, business, or community development loans or any community development investments during this evaluation period, resulting in ratings below that of the Dallas AA. However, the service test in the McMullen AA revealed similar results to the full-scope review conclusions in the Dallas AA. The conclusions for this AA did not alter the overall Texas state rating, and are summarized below:

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
McMullen AA	Below	Below	Consistent

STATE OF KANSAS

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT RATING FOR KANSAS:

The lending test is rated: The investment test is rated: The service test is rated: Satisfactory High Satisfactory Low Satisfactory Low Satisfactory

Major factors supporting the rating include:

Lending Test

- The geographic distribution of HMDA loans was considered poor, while the distribution of business loans was considered adequate throughout its AAs.
- The distribution of HMDA loans to borrowers of different income levels was considered poor and to businesses of different revenue sizes was considered good.
- A relatively high level of community development loans were originated by the bank.

Investment Test

 The bank had an adequate level of qualified community development investments and grants that included occasional use of innovative and complex activities to support community development initiatives and exhibited adequate responsiveness to community needs.

Service Test

- The bank provided a limited level of community development services.
- Delivery systems were accessible to the bank's geographies and individuals of different income levels while products, services, and business hours did not vary in a way that inconvenienced its AAs, particularly LMI geographies and individuals.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

The scope of the review for the state of Kansas was consistent with the overall scope for the entire institution, including evaluations under the lending, investment, and service tests. A full-scope review was conducted for the Wichita AA based on its 91.0 percent share of lending and 54.7 percent share of deposits among the two AAs in the state of Kansas. Accordingly, the state of Kansas rating was based predominantly on the bank's performance within this AA. A limited-scope review was conducted for the Hutchinson AA, located in a rural county just

northwest of the Wichita MSA, to determine whether the bank's performance in that AA was consistent with its performance in the state.

DESCRIPTION OF BANK'S OPERATIONS IN KANSAS

The bank has a total of four branches in Kansas: Two in the Wichita AA and two in the Hutchinson AA. These four branches were purchased by and merged into Bank SNB on November 16, 2013. According to the (FDIC Market Share Report as of June 30, 2015, the bank had a deposit market share of 1.0 percent, ranking 16th of 45 FDIC-insured financial institutions with 195 offices throughout the bank's Kansas AAs. Loan products offered in the market mirror those discussed in the Overall Institution section of this report, with a primary emphasis on commercial lending.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN KANSAS

LENDING TEST

The bank's performance with respect to the lending test in the state of Kansas is rated High Satisfactory. The weight of the rating is placed on the bank's small business lending performance given the strategic focus of the bank. While the bank's geographic and borrower distribution of HMDA-related loans in the full-scope review Wichita AA was considered poor, the bank experienced stronger lending levels for small business loans. In addition, the bank made a relatively high level of community development loans.

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The geographic distribution of loans reflected an overall adequate penetration of loans throughout the bank's Kansas AAs, considering the bank's small business lending performance. In the full-scope review of the Wichita AA, the bank's 2014 HMDA-related lending was considered poor as the bank did not originate any loans within low-income census tracts and only one loan in the moderate-income census tracts of the AA. Lending was below the performance of aggregate lenders and the percent of owner-occupied units in these geographies. In the Hutchinson AA, which received a limited-scope review, the bank's HMDA-related lending was considered poor, although it was above aggregate lenders. In addition, the geographic distribution of small business loans in both the Wichita AA and Hutchinson AA was considered adequate.

The borrower distribution of HMDA-related loans in the Wichita AA was also considered poor, as the bank's lending to LMI borrowers was below both aggregate lenders and the percent of LMI families residing in the AA; however, performance in the Hutchinson AA was stronger, with the bank's lending to moderate-income borrowers above aggregate lenders and demographic figures. Additionally, the bank's borrower distribution of small business loans to businesses

with revenues of \$1MM or less was considered good. Lending was below the percent of small businesses operating in the AA, but above the performance of aggregate lenders by number and similar by dollar volume. The bank's performance was also consistent in the Hutchinson AA.

Community Development Loans

The bank originated a relatively high level of community development loans in the state of Kansas given its smaller presence there compared to Oklahoma and Texas. Overall, the bank originated six loans totaling \$9.9 million in all of its Kansas AAs.

The types of community development loans originated were not necessarily complex or innovative, but were responsive to local area needs. The loans were distributed among different community development purposes, including three for revitalization/stabilization, and one each for affordable housing, community services, and economic development. Final conclusions were based upon qualified community development loan activities in both AAs.

INVESTMENT TEST

The investment test rating for Kansas is Low Satisfactory. A total of 23 CRA qualified donations addressed community development needs, mostly within the Wichita AA. Since the prior examination, the bank also acquired three new qualified investments for \$732,000: two for affordable housing initiatives and one for community services. The bank has no priorperiod investments.

SERVICE TEST

For the state of Kansas, the bank's performance under the service test is rated Low Satisfactory. Branch locations and alternative delivery systems such as ATMs and drive-through banking were accessible to the bank's geographies and to individuals of different income levels in the bank's Kansas AAs. The bank's branch locations in Kansas did not always coincide to the percentage population and the percentage of tracts in all AAs; however, the bank's branches were proximate to many other LMI tracts. The bank's record of opening and closing branches has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems in areas including LMI geographies and individuals. The four Kansas branches were opened since the last evaluation, and the bank did not close any branches.

Business hours and services did not vary in a way that inconveniences its AAs, particularly to LMI geographies and individuals. Lobby hours and additional services did not vary by market. The bank provided a limited level of community development services throughout the Kansas AAs. In total, the bank provided six qualified services to four organizations that provide assistance to LMI individuals and families. The level of services provided was higher in the Hutchinson AA, with five total community development services there compared to one in the Wichita AA.

WICHITA, KANSAS METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (Full Review)

DESCRIPTION OF BANK'S OPERATIONS IN THE WICHITA METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates two full-service offices in the Wichita AA. These branches were acquired and merged into Bank SNB on November 16, 2013. According to the FDIC Market Share Report as of June 30, 2015, the bank had a 0.6 percent market share in this AA, ranking 18th of 41 other FDIC-insured institutions with 169 banking locations. The bank's competition in this AA includes large, regional, and local banks as well as credit unions and other financial institutions.

DESCRIPTION OF THE BANK'S WICHITA ASSESSMENT AREA1

The bank's Wichita AA is comprised of Sedgwick County in its entirety; one of five counties in the Wichita, Kansas MSA. The AA consists of a total of 124 census tracts, including 12 low-, 42 moderate-, 32 middle-, and 38 upper-income census tracts.

Population Trends and Characteristics

The 2014 Census projections indicate that the population in Sedgwick County increased 2.1 percent from 2010 to 2014, which is similar to the statewide projected growth over the same period. The population of 498,365 people in the AA as of the 2010 Decennial Census includes over 79.0 percent of the population of the MSA, so the population characteristics of the AA will also reflect those of the MSA.

With regard to age of population, makeup of families and households, and institutionalized persons, there were no significant anomalies between AA characteristics and total statewide figures that would indicate lending patterns outside the expected norm.

Economic and Employment Characteristics

The largest industry sectors in the Wichita MSA are manufacturing (18.0 percent), educational and health services (15.3 percent), government (13.7 percent), professional and business services (11.4 percent), and retail trade (11.2 percent).

The MSA's largest employers are Spirit Aerosystems, Inc. (10,900); Textron Aviation (8,351); Via Christi Regional Medical Center (5,899); McConnell Air Force Base (5,094 – increased

Sources of economic and demographic data include the Commerce Department's Bureau of Economic Analysis, the U.S. Census Bureau, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Moody's Economy.com, and Greater Wichita Economic Development Coalition.

from 2,777 in 2011); Koch Industries, Inc. (3,300); Bombardier Aerospace (2,855); Wesley Medical Center (1,867); and Wichita State University (1,847).

The Wichita MSA's economy relies heavily on the aerospace industry to be a catalyst of the metro area's economy. Though the industry has been weak in prior quarters, demand for small, business class jets produced by Textron Aviation has significantly increased. The demand increase will help the production and manufacturing workforce in the area for the near future as well as the small business machine shops and manufactures that Textron contracts with in the area. Wichita's economy also relies on the healthcare industry as an economic driver more than the U.S. average. This is mainly due to the limited metro areas surrounding Wichita and the specialized healthcare that is available in the city versus rural areas.

Of the 100 most populous metro areas in the nation, the Wichita MSA ranks 94th in industrial diversity; due to the large manufacturing sector in the area that tie specifically to the aerospace industry. The MSA's lack of industrial diversity creates high employment volatility. Any changes to the aerospace industry, positive or negative, will similarly affect large portions of the MSA. As the industry improves with the increase in jobs, the relatively low cost of living and high housing affordability should see the economy meet pre-recession levels and return wage growth. Wage growth should lead to increased spending in consumer areas such as

retail and housing, which should strengthen the housing industry and stabilize the area's economy.

The Wichita AA has seen unemployment figures decrease since a peak in 2010. The AA continues to have higher unemployment than the Wichita MSA and state figures but it remains lower than the national unemployment rate.

TABLE 34 ANNUAL UNEMPLOYMENT RATES										
Area 2012 2013 2014										
Sedgwick County	6.9	6.2	5.4							
Wichita MSA 6.7 6.0 5.2										
State of Kansas 5,8 5.3 4.5										
United States	8.1	7.4	6.2							

Income Characteristics

Approximately 21.3 percent and 18.1 percent of families in the AA are considered LMI. The level of low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income families in the AA generally mirror statewide figures. The percentage of families below the poverty level for the AA are slightly higher than the entire MSA and statewide figures representing 10.4 percent compared to the MSA and state at 9.5 percent and 8.4 percent, respectively.

Housing Economy and Characteristics

The MSA has relatively affordable housing and has seen an increase in building permits for both single family and multifamily residences. House prices in the area have all seen appreciating values that mirror the U.S average. The housing affordability ratio in the bank's Wichita AA equaled 40.8 percent as of the 2014 FFIEC's Census data, slightly more affordable than the overall statewide figure of 40.3 percent. The AA's percentage of owner-occupied housing units of 61.2 percent is slightly lower than the total statewide figure of 62.6 percent.

The percentage of rental units is more pronounced within the LMI tracts of the AA. In low-income tracts, only 27.5 percent of housing units are owner-occupied, and 52.1 percent are rentals; in the moderate-income tracts, 47.7 percent are owner-occupied and 40.5 percent are rentals. These factors may somewhat impact the bank's ability to make owner-occupied home purchase or refinance loans within the AA's LMI tracts. However, there may be increased opportunities of lending for rental/investment properties.

Census Tract Income Levels/Characteristics

Income characteristics also vary by tract income category. In the low-income tracts, 18.4 percent of families are below the poverty level compared to 52.0 percent in the moderate-income tracts. However, in the middle- and upper-income tracts, this indicator drops to 18.0 percent and 11.7 percent, respectively. Similarly, the unemployment rate in the low-income tracts was at 17.5 percent and 11.3 percent in the moderate-income tracts compared to 6.1 percent in the middle-income tracts and 4.0 percent in the upper-income tracts. This may hinder the ability for residents in the LMI tracts to afford home ownership.

Table 35 shows income, housing, and general demographic characteristics of the AA.

W	ICHITA ME		ITAN STA		L AREA AS DEMOGRA		NT AREA	
Income Categories	I DISTRIBUTION		Families by Tract Income		Level	< Poverty as % of by Tract	Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	12	9.7	7,099	5.7	2,391	33.7	26,562	21.2
Moderate	42	33.9	35,676	28.5	6,757	18.9	22,675	18.1
Middle	32	25.8	34,820	27.9	2,334	6.7	26,011	20.8
Upper	38	30.6	47,407	37.9	1,525	3.2	49,754	39.8
Total AA	124	100.0	125,002	100.0	13,007	10.4	125,002	100.0
NOT VICE PER		Not well a		Hous	sing Type by	Tract		
	Housing	Ov	ner-occupi			ntal	Vac	ant
	Units by Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit
Low	17,462	4,795	3.8	27.5	9,100	52.1	3,567	20.4
Moderate	69,330	33,056	25.9	47.7	28,058	40.5	8,216	11.9
Middle	57,337	36,854	28.9	64.3	16,986	29.6	3,497	6.1
Upper	64,595	53,005	41.5	82.1	8,978	13.9	2,612	4.0
Total AA	208,724	127,710	100.0	61.2	63,122	30.2	17,892	8.6
- 1000 111 1500		Bin Khalimi	S 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Busin	esses by Tra	ct & Reven	ue Size	uyxuji 📑
	Total Bus	Charles of the Control of the Contro	Less Than or = \$1 Million		LL MANAGER LA	Million	Reven	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	2,408	12.1	1,980	11.3	362	20.5	66	10.2
Moderate	4,756	23.8	4,117	23.5	495	28.0	144	22.4
Middle	5,506	27.6	4,855	27.7	445	25.2	206	32.0
Upper	7,285	36.5	6,592	37.6	465	26.3	228	35.4
Total AA	19,955	100.0	17,544	100.0	1,767	100.0	644	100.0
Percentage	of Total Bu	ısinesses:		87.9		8.9		3.2
			La contract	Farn	ns by Tract 8	Revenue S	Size	10.50
	Total F by Tr		Less Tha	an or =	Over \$1		Revenu Repor	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	4	0.7	3	0.6	1	20.0	0	0.0
Moderate	24	4.5	23	4.3	1	20.0	0	0.0
Middle	152	28.4	152	28.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
Upper	355	66.4	352	66.4	3	60.0	Ö	0.0
Total AA	535	100.0	530	100.0	5	100.0	0	0.0
	ntage of To			99.1		0.9		0.0

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE WICHITA ASSESSMENT AREA

LENDING TEST

The bank's performance under the lending test in the Wichita AA is rated High Satisfactory, given the bank's recent entry into this market in late 2013 coupled with an improving trend from 2014 to 2015. The bank's distribution of HMDA loans to geographies of different income levels was poor, while its business loan distribution was considered adequate. The distribution of HMDA loans to borrowers of different income levels was poor; however, the distribution of small business loans to businesses of different revenue sizes was considered good. The bank also made a relatively high level of community development loans. Based on the bank's focus on commercial lending, more weight was assigned to that loan category than to the bank's HMDA lending in this AA.

The lending tables that follow reflect data for 2014, with narrative explaining how 2015 compared to the 2014 data. The tables for 2015 are available for review in Appendix E.

Geographic Distribution

The bank's overall geographic distribution of lending reflected adequate penetration throughout the AA when considering small business performance. While the geographic distribution of the bank's HMDA lending was considered poor, the distribution of the bank's small business lending was considered adequate. Table 36 displays the bank's geographic HMDA-related lending performance while Table 37 shows the bank's small business lending performance, both of which were compared to other lenders and demographic data.

TABLE 36 DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY WICHITA METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank	Loans	Aggrega Da	te HMDA ta ¹	% of Owner- Occupied Units ²	
income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
CONT. OF THE PARTY			Home Pur	chase Loan	S		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.9	3.8
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.1	11.1	25.9
Middle	5	876	26.3	20.4	28.9	25.1	28.9
Upper	14	3,425	73.7	79.6	49.3	62.9	41.5
	Tr. Frank	Y BURNEY	Home Refi	nance Loan	S		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.3	3.8
Moderate	1	214	8.3	9.8	22.9	14.2	25.9
Middle	5	507	41.7	23.3	29.6	27.0	28.9
Upper	6	1,455	50.0	66.9	45.5	57.5	41.5
			Home Impro	vement Loa	ns		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.4	1.0	3.8
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	21.7	13.3	25.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	29.6	30.5	28.9
Upper	2	761	100.0	100.0	46.4	55.2	41.5
			Multifamil	y Loans			% of Multifamily Units ²
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.6	10.8	14.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	47.1	28.7	36.4
Middle	1	1,338	100.0	100.0	31.4	47.1	33.4
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.9	13.4	16.2
	LOSATIA	T. T. T.	otal Home N	/lortgage Lo	ans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.4	3.8
Moderate	1	214	2.9	2.5	21.2	12.7	25.9
Middle	11	2,721	32.4	31.7	29.1	26.6	28.9
Upper	22	5,641	64.7	65.8	47.8	59.3	41.5

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all HMDA filers.

(NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

Total Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Loans

The bank's total mortgage lending in the Wichita AA is considered poor. The bank made no mortgage loans in low-income geographies, which comprised 3.8 percent of total owner-occupied units in the AA. Aggregate lenders fared similarly with only 1.9 percent and 1.4 percent penetration into these tracts by number and dollar volume of loans, respectively. However, in moderate-income tracts, the bank originated one loan, which fell well below aggregate lender performance and the percentage of owner-occupied units. The majority of HMDA loans were originated in upper-income tracts, followed by middle-income tracts, both to which the bank locations are closest. The bank entered the Kansas market in late 2013. The

The percentage of owner-occupied housing and multifamily units by tract are based on 2014 census tract boundaries and 2010 ACS data.

total number of loans increased from 34 in 2014 to 53 in 2015, with 6 loans in LMI tracts in the latter year compared to only 1 in the former. Although the bank was still well below aggregate lenders and the percentage of owner-occupied units in 2015, the trend was positive. Because the performance in the individual loan categories mirrors that of total HMDA loans, a separate description for each was not developed.

TABLE 37 DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY WICHITA METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract	- YE - S - SU // L	Busines	s Loans¹	I TEMPLASTIC	Aggregate	% of	
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses ³
Low	8	2,013	12.7	12.7	12.9	14.9	12.1
Moderate	8	1,195	12.7	7.5	22.8	21.1	23.8
Middle	18	6,126	28.6	38.5	26.8	27.6	27.6
Upper	29	6,570	46.0	41.3	36.2	36.1	36.5

CRA data reports only business loans in the amount of \$1 million or less.

Business Loans

The bank's lending to businesses by level of geography was considered adequate. In low-income tracts, the bank was very similar to aggregate lending and the percentage of businesses in those tracts. The bank's performance in the moderate-income tracts was below both of the comparators. As with the bank's HMDA loans, the majority of business loans were made in the upper-income tracts, which are closest to each bank location. Lending in 2015 was very similar to the 2014 numbers.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The bank's distribution of its HMDA loans by income level of borrower was considered poor, as the majority of loans were made to upper-income borrowers. For business loans, the bank trailed the percentage of small businesses in the AA, but solidly surpassed aggregate lender performance, which was considered good. Table 38 shows the bank's borrower HMDA-related lending distribution, and Table 39 illustrates the bank's small business lending performance, which was compared to other lenders and demographic data.

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all CRA filers.

The percentage of businesses in the AA is based on 2010 census tract boundaries and 2014 D&B data. (NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

TABLE 38 DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS WICHITA METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREA

Borrower		Bank	Loans	Aggrega Da	te HMDA ita ¹	% of Families ²		
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#% \$%		#%	\$%	Families*	
	Yama da		Home Purc	hase Loans			100	
Low	2	189	10.5	4.4	8.7	4.7	21.2	
Moderate	2	203	10.5	4.7	21.4	16.3	18.1	
Middle	4	460	21.1	10.7	21.7	21.2	20.8	
Upper	11	3,449	57.9	80.2	28.6	40.4	39.8	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.6	17.3	0.0	
REPART WAY	- WASAN		Refinance	ed Loans				
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	8.0	4.2	21.2	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	15.9	10.7	18.1	
Middle	1	118	8.3	5.4	19.6	15.9	20.8	
Upper	9	1,756	75.0	80.7	31.3	39.7	39.8	
Unknown	2	302	16.7	13.9	25.1	29.5	0.0	
			lome Improv	ement Loan	S			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	10.4	4.5	21.2	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	18.3	11.1	18.1	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	23.9	20.7	20.8	
Upper	2	761	100.0	100.0	41.5	48.3	39.8	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.9	15.4	0.0	
37 F 3 J 57 L 5 L 7	9 97 17	To	tal Home Mo	rtgage Loan	S		Market Street	
Low	2	189	5.9	2.2	8.6	4.4	21.2	
Moderate	2	203	5.9	2.4	19.4	14.0	18.1	
Middle	5	578	14.7	6.7	21.1	18.9	20.8	
Upper	22	5,966	64.7	69.6	30.2	38.9	39.8	
Unknown	3	1,640	8.8	19.1	20.6	23.8	0.0	

¹ Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all HMDA filers.

(NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

Total Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Loans

The bank originated four HMDA loans to LMI borrowers in 2014, which trailed the percent of LMI families in the AA. The percentage of the bank's loans by number and dollar volume also lagged that of aggregate lenders for both LMI borrowers. The vast majority of these loans were originated to upper-income borrowers, even more than the bank's lending to middle-income borrowers. Loans originated in 2015 were distributed similarly. An in-depth analysis of the bank's home improvement lending was not conducted given the overall low volume of loans.

The percentage of families and households are based on 2010 ACS data.

Multifamily loans are not considered in the Borrower Analysis.

Home Purchase Loans

The distribution of home purchase loans by borrower income level was considered adequate. The four total HMDA loans to LMI borrowers in 2014 were all home purchase loans. The bank lagged the percentage of LMI families in the AA and aggregate lending for moderate-income borrowers; however, the bank slightly outperformed aggregate lenders by number of loans to low-income borrowers. Home purchase lending in 2015 demonstrated similar patterns compared to 2014.

Refinance Loans

The bank's distribution of refinance loans by borrower income level was considered poor. Of the 12 loans originated, 9 were made to upper-income borrowers, and none to LMI borrowers. In 2015, the bank also originated zero loans to LMI borrowers.

			14 BUSIN			/ENUE SIZI SESSMENT			
Business Revenue By		Business Loans ¹				Aggregate CRA Data ²			
Size	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses ³		
\$1MM or less	35	6,034	55.6	37.9	36.8	36.5	87.9		
Over \$1MM	27	9,670	42.9	60.8	Not Do	8.9			
Not Known	1	200	1.6	1.3	Not Reported 3.2				

CRA data reports only business loans in the amount of \$1 million or less,

The percentage of businesses in the AA is based on 2014 D&B data.

(NOTE: Total percentages shown may vary by 0.1 percent due to automated rounding differences.)

Business Loans

The bank's lending by revenue size of business was considered good. The bank originated 55.6 percent of its business loans to small businesses, which did not reach the 87.9 percent of small businesses in the AA. However, aggregate lenders were only able to make 36.8 percent of its loans to small businesses, indicating some difficulty in reaching those borrowers. The bank's dollar volume of loans to small businesses also trailed the percentage of small businesses, while nearly matching the percentage of loan dollars allocated to small businesses by aggregate lenders. The number and dollar percentages of the bank's loans were almost identical in 2015.

Aggregate loan data reflects all loan originations in the bank's AA reported by all CRA filers.

Community Development Lending

In the Wichita AA, the bank made a relatively high level of community development loans in serving the credit needs of the AA. Table 40 shows the bank originated or renewed four community development loans totaling approximately \$8.2 million. Two of the loans addressed revitalization and stabilization needs, one was made LMI community services and one was made for an economic development purpose. Below is an example of community development lending during the review period:

 A \$5.1 million loan renewal for improvements to a property in a moderate-income tract that is surrounded by other LMI tracts. The lessee of the property is a local organization that provides services targeted mainly to LMI families and individuals, meeting the CRA purpose of community services.

TABLE 40 QUALIFIED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOANS WICHITA ASSESSMENT AREA								
Purpose # \$(000s								
Affordable Housing	0	0						
Revitalization and Stabilization	2	1,839						
Community Services to Low- and Moderate-Income	1	5,150						
Economic Development	1	1,188						
Totals 4 8,177								

INVESTMENT TEST

The bank's performance under the investment test in the Wichita AA is rated Low Satisfactory. The bank has an adequate level of qualified community development investments and donations in the Wichita AA. Table 41 shows the volume of investments and donation activities. An example of investments in the Wichita AA is:

• A \$400,000 investment in a \$370 million bond issue for a local school district's building program. A majority of the students in the district are eligible for free (66.1 percent) or reduced price (8.6 percent) lunches.

TABLE 41 QUALIFIED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INVESTMENTS, GRANTS, DONATIONS WICHITA METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREA

Purpose	Prior Period Investments ¹		Current Investments ²		Donations		Total	
	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)
Affordable Housing	0	0	2	332	1	3	3	335
Revitalization and Stabilization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community Services	0	0	1	400	19	24	20	424
Economic Development	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Neighborhood Stabilization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	3	732	20	27	23	759

Book Value

SERVICE TEST

The bank's Wichita AA performance under the service test is rated Low Satisfactory based on its retail services and level of providing community development services. Table 42 illustrates the bank's activities in the AA.

Retail Services

The bank's delivery systems were reasonably accessible to the bank's geographies and individuals of different income levels in the Wichita AA. The bank operates two locations, one of which offers ATM access, drive-through accessibility, and Saturday hours. The bank's customers also have ATM access through the Allpoint ATM Network, which provides many outlets in the Wichita area. The bank's branches are both located in upper-income geographies, whereas only 32.5 percent of the AA population is located in those tracts. However, one low- and five moderate-income tracts are within a three-mile radius of one of the bank locations. Bank hours did not vary in a way that inconveniences its AA.

Original Market Value

					MUNIT	BLE 42 Y DEVE ATISTIC	LOPMI				AREA	
Describ	Location of Branches (%) Percent of Population								on (%)	THE STATE		
Branch Accessibility ¹	Low Mod Mid Upp Unk				Total	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total	
Accessibility	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	7.3	32.0	28.2	32.5	0.0	100.0
Changes in Branch	Number of Branches					Net Change in Branch Locations #					s #	
		tal ches	# of Openings		# of Closings		Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Unk	Total
Locations		2	2		0		0	0	+1	+1	0	+2
Community Affordable Housing		Manager Manager and The Control of t	ALCOHOL: A STATE OF THE OWNER, TH	Community Economic Development		Revitalization & Stabilization		Total CD Services		Total Organizations		
Services		0	1 0			0		1		1		

Community Development Services

The bank provided one community development service in the Wichita AA, teaching financial literacy to LMI students. This is an area that should improve as the bank grows its presence in this market.

HUTCHINSON ASSESSMENT AREA NONMETROPOLITAN STATEWIDE KANSAS

(Limited Review)

DESCRIPTION OF BANK'S OPERATIONS IN THE KANSAS NONMETROPOLITAN HUTCHINSON ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank's Hutchinson AA consists of Reno County. Two branches are located in this AA, both acquired/merged with Bank SNB in November 2013. Each branch offers ATM access, a drive-through facility, and Saturday hours. The branches in the Hutchinson AA hold 3.4 percent of total bank deposits and 45.3 percent of deposits in the state of Kansas. According to the FDIC Market Share Report as of June 30, 2015, these deposits account for a 5.3 percent market share, ranking 5th among 10 FDIC-insured institutions with 26 offices in the AA.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN LIMITED REVIEW TULSA ASSESSMENT AREA

The Hutchinson AA was reviewed using limited-scope examination procedures. Through these procedures, conclusions regarding the institution's CRA performance are drawn from the review of available facts and data, including performance figures, aggregate comparisons, and demographic information. The conclusions regarding the bank's performance, which did not alter the overall state rating, are as follows:

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
Hutchinson AA	Consistent	Below	Above

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT APPENDIX A

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION FINANCIAL INSTITUTION Bank SNB Stillwater, Oklahoma - Home Purchase Loans - Home Refinance Loans - Home Improvement Loans - Business Loans - Business Loans - Community Development Investments - Community Development Services

TIME PERIODS REVIEWED

HMDA LAR and CRA SB/SF Loans:

January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2015

Community Development Activities:

January 6, 2014 to December 31, 2015

AFFILIATES	AFFILIATE RELATIONSHIP	PRODUCTS REVIEWED
None	N/A	None

LIST OF ASSESSM	IENT AREAS AND 1	TYPE OF EXAMINATION	
ASSESSMENT AREA	TYPE OF EXAM	BRANCHES VISITED	COMMUNITY CONTACTS
Oklahoma 36420 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma MSA 46140 Tulsa, Oklahoma MSA NonMSA Stillwater, Oklahoma	Full Review Limited Review Full Review	None None 608 South Main Street, Stillwater, Oklahoma	1 prior None 1 prior
Texas 19100 Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, Texas MSA 41700 San Antonio-New Braunfels, Texas MSA 12420 Austin-Round Rock, Texas MSA NonMSA McMullen County, Texas	Full Review Limited Review Limited Review Limited Review	None None None None	None
Kansas 48620 Wichita, Kansas MSA NonMSA Hutchinson, Kansas	Full Review Limited Review	None None	None

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF STATE AND MULTISTATE METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA RATINGS

STATE OR MULTISTATE METROPOLITAN AREA	LENDING TEST RATING	INVESTMENT TEST RATING	SERVICE TEST RATING	OVERALL RATING
Oklahoma	High Satisfactory	Low Satisfactory	High Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Texas	High Satisfactory	Low Satisfactory	Needs to Improve	Satisfactory
Kansas	High Satisfactory	Low Satisfactory	Low Satisfactory	Satisfactory

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT APPENDIX C 2014 ANALYSIS TABLES

- 1) 2014 Limited Review Tulsa, Oklahoma Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 2) 2014 Limited Review San Antonio, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 3) 2014 Limited Review Austin, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 4) 2014 Limited Review McMullen, Texas Assessment Area
- 5) 2014 Limited Review Hutchinson, Kansas Assessment Area

Footnotes for all Analysis Tables

- Census tract income classifications, percentage of families by income classifications, percentage of owner-occupied housing units, and multifamily housing units by tract, and percentage of businesses and farms by tract are based on 2006-2010 ACS fiveyear estimates and 2014 FFIEC's Census tract designations.
- Business and farm data is based on 2014 D&B data.
- Aggregate HMDA data reflects all originations in the AA reported by all HMDA filers.
- Aggregate CRA LAR data reports only business loans in amounts of \$1 million or less.
- The borrower distribution analysis for business and farm loans focuses on the bank's lending to "small businesses" as defined by the CRA, which are those businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less.
- Low-income census tracts are those geographies with MFI less than 50 percent of the MSA or statewide nonMSA MFI based on 2010 ACS five-year estimate data; moderate-income equals 50 percent to 80 percent; middle income is 80 percent to 120 percent; and upper income is greater than 120 percent.
- Percentage totals may not equal 100 percent due to automated rounding differences. Also, aggregate percentages may not equal 100 percent due to the omission of loans with unknown census tract information.
- For the borrower distribution tables, total HMDA loans may not foot, as multifamily loans are not considered in the borrower distribution.

1) 2014 Tulsa, Oklahoma Metropolitan Statistical Area

			ULSA AS			APHICS		
Income Categories	1000000	Tract Distribution		Families By Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as a % of Families by Tract		ies By Income
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	19	10.9	12,018	8.0	4,647	38.7	31,569	21.0
Moderate	52	29.7	34,845	23.1	6,691	19.2	26,433	17.6
Middle	49	28.0	45,570	30.3	3,429	7.5	29,558	19.6
Upper	55	31.4	58,144	38.6	2,102	3.6	63,017	41.9
Total AA	175	100.0	150,577	100.0	16,869	11.2	150,577	100.0
		n lating his	TSS INTERIOR	Hous	sing Type By	y Tract		are payor.
	Housing O			ied		ntal	Vac	ant
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	25,646	7,934	5.4	30.9	13,062	50.9	4,650	18.1
Moderate	71,270	30,469	20.6	42.8	32,154	45.1	8,647	12.1
Middle	80,364	46,887	31.6	58.3	26,290	32.7	7,187	8.9
Upper	87,284	62,969	42.5	72.1	18,950	21.7	5,365	6.1
Total AA	264,564	148,259	100.0	56.0	90,456	34.2	25,849	9.8
KONTRALL RIVE	100 00 00 00	The State of the S	Denis (W. Fa III	Busin	esses By Tr	act & Rever	ue Size	Option Section
	Total Bus	The second secon	Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	2,583	7.5	2,147	7.0	372	12.7	64	6.4
Moderate	8,751	25.5	7,432	24.4	1,032	35.4	287	28.8
Middle	9,634	28.0	8,642	28.4	716	24.5	276	27.7
Upper	13,400	39.0	12,234	40.2	798	27.3	368	37.0
Total AA	34,368	100.0	30,455	100.0	2,918	100.0	995	100.0
Percen	t of Total Bu	ısinesses:		88.6		8.5		2.9
				Far	ms By Tract	& Revenue	Size	1,400 (5)
	Total I by T		Less Th \$1 M	an or =		Million	Reven	ue Not orted
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	6	2.0	6	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	30	9.9	30	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	114	37.5	112	37.5	2	40.0	0	0.0
Upper	154	50.7	151	50.5	3	60.0	0	0.0
Total AA	304	100.0	299	100.0	5	100.0	0	0.0
Р	ercent of To	tal Farms:		98.4		1.6		0.0

TABLE C-1B DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY TULSA ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank	Loans		ite HMDA ata	% of Owner-	
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
	PIRE.		Home Pui	rchase Loar	ıs	SECTION 1	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.8	5.4
Moderate	1	72	3.2	1.2	11.1	6.0	20.6
Middle	6	800	19.4	13.2	32.0	26.5	31.6
Upper	24	5,209	77.4	85.7	55.5	66.8	42.5
Total	31	6,081	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Ref	inance Loai	ns		
Low	2	2,785	15.4	55.7	2.3	1.5	5.4
Moderate	3	296	23.1	5.9	14.1	7.9	20.6
Middle	1	110	7.7	2.2	32.6	26.3	31.6
Upper	7	1,810	53.8	36.2	50.9	64.4	42.5
Total	13	5,001	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Impro	ovement Lo	ans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.4	1.5	5.4
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	15.3	7.9	20.6
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	35.6	30.8	31.6
Upper	3	444	100.0	100.0	46.7	59.8	42.5
Total	3	444	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Multifamily	Loans	Eli Pare de		% of Multifamily Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.9	0.8	11.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	34.3	18.2	34.3
Middle	1	289	100.0	100.0	30.0	51.9	28.5
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	22.9	29.2	26.2
Total	1	289	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	F - Y 1.	J. Harris	otal Home I	Mortgage Lo	oans		
Low	2	2,785	4.2	23.6	1.8	1.0	5.4
Moderate	4	368	8.3	3.1	12.3	8.0	20.6
Middle	8	1,199	16.7	10.1	32.4	29.7	31.6
Upper	34	7,463	70.8	63.2	53.4	61.4	42.5
Total	48	11,815	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE C-1C DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY TULSA ASSESSMENT AREA											
Census Tract	#	Busines \$(000)	s Loans #%	Aggregate	% of Businesses						
Income Level	# 5	2,150	7.6	\$%	7.2	\$% 9.8	7.5				
Moderate	15	3,670	22.7	23.6	22.2	27.1	25.5				
Middle	12	2,961	18.2	19.0	25.1	22.6	28.0				
Upper	34	6,778	51.5	43.6	43.6	39.3	39.0				

The second secon	A LANCE DURING SERVICE	OF 2014 H	HOME MO				
Borrow Income Level		Bank	Loans			egate A Data	% of Families
Level	#	\$(000s)	#%	\$ %	#%	\$ %	
			Home Pur	chase Loan	S		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.3	2.1	21.0
Moderate	6	585	19.4	9.6	16.4	11.0	17.6
Middle	3	592	9.7	9.7	19.4	16.6	19.6
Upper	22	4,904	71.0	80.6	40.4	52.4	41.9
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.4	17.8	0.0
Total	31	6,081	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	Ori, XVIIII, III	N MAN TO SERVE THE	Refinan	ced Loans	THE REST	Tallow and the	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.4	2.6	21.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.9	8.0	17.6
Middle	2	194	15.4	3.9	18.8	13.6	19.6
Upper	9	2,022	69.2	40.4	42.9	54.1	41.9
Unknown	2	2,785	15.4	55.7	19.9	21.7	0.0
Total	13	5,001	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	100	The second second	lome Impro	vement Loa	ins	-1 1988	Control of the Contro
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.5	21.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	13.6	7.6	17.6
Middle	1	15	33.3	3.4	21.9	13.9	19.6
Upper	2	429	66.7	96.6	50.5	54.6	41.9
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	7.0	16.4	0.0
Total	3	444	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		To	otal Home N	/lortgage Lo	ans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.8	2.0	21.0
Moderate	6	585	12.5	5.0	15.2	8.9	17.6
Middle	6	801	12.5	6.8	19.4	13.8	19.6
Upper	33	7,355	68.8	62.3	41.7	46.3	41.9
Unknown	3	3,074	6.3	26.0	18.9	29.0	0.0
Total	48	11,815	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

		ISTRIBUTION REVENUE S		14 BUSIN			
Business Revenue By		Busines	s Loans	Aggregate CRA Data		% of	
Size	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses
\$1MM or less	37	6,072	56.1	39.0	44.2	37.6	88.6
Over \$1MM	26	8,537	39.4	54.9	Not Reported		8.5
Not Known	3	950	4.5	6.1			2.9

2) 2014 San Antonio, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area

			ANTONIO		A SMENT ARI DEMOGRA				
Income Categories	Tra Distril	act oution		ies By ncome	Families • Level as Families	a % of	Family Family	Families By Family Income	
A STATE OF THE STATE OF	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	36	9.8	28,260	7.2	10,543	37.3	94,746	24.0	
Moderate	115	31.4	118,686	30.1	24,922	21.0	68,289	17.3	
Middle	106	29.0	122,797	31.1	11,734	9.6	75,832	19.2	
Upper	105	28.7	124,597	31.6	4,978	4.0	155,473	39.4	
Unknown	4	1:1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	366	100.0	394,340	100.0	52,177	13.2	394,340	100.0	
				Hous	sing Type By	Tract	75 38 0 36	A SOFT AND	
	Housing	Ow	ner-Occup		Rer		Vac	ant	
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	
Low	47,868	19,272	5.3	40.3	22,448	46.9	6,148	12.8	
Moderate	201,352	96,350	26.6	47.9	81,313	40.4	23,689	11.8	
Middle	203,867	117,164	32.3	57.5	67,732	33.2	18,971	9.3	
Upper	189,767	129,900	35.8	68.5	46,045	24.3	13,822	7.3	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	642,854	362,686	100.0	56.4	217,538	33.8	62,630	9.7	
RESCRIPTION (SV.)	HITE SENSIAN		V III		esses By Tra			TO LOS (III)	
	Total Bus		Less Th	an or =	Over \$1	ALTERNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE	Reven	ue Not	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	4,219	5.9	3,589	5.6	426	9.9	204	7.3	
Moderate	17,185	24.1	15,214	23.7	1,195	27.7	776	27.8	
Middle	21,487	30.1	19,233	30.0	1,395	32.4	859	30.8	
Upper	28,178	39.5	25,962	40.5	1,267	29.4	949	34.0	
Unknown	200	0.3	166	0.3	29	0.7	5	0.2	
Total AA	71,269	100.0	64,164	100.0	4,312	100.0	2,793	100.0	
	t of Total Bu		3 1,104	90.0	1,012	6.1	2,700	3.9	
1 Groch					ms By Tract		Size	5.5	
	Total I by T		Less Th	an or =	Over \$1	77.70	e Size Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	23	3,0	21	2.8	2	20.0	0	0.0	
Moderate	110	14.4	109	14.4	1	10.0	0	0.0	
Middle	234	30.5	231	30.6	3	30.0	0	0.0	
Upper	399	52.1	394	52.2	4	40.0	1	100.0	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	766	100.0	755	100.0	10	100.0	1	100.0	
	ercent of To		700	98.6	10	1.3	-	0.1	

TABLE C-2B DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY SAN ANTONIO ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank	Loans			ite HMDA ata	% of Owner-
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
			Home Pui	rchase Loar	is		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.9	5.3
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.6	6.9	26.6
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	34.4	26.8	32.3
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	52.6	65.4	35.8
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	F 1-72		Home Ref	inance Loar	าร		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.2	5.3
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	13.7	7.9	26.6
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	35.5	27.1	32.3
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	48.7	63.8	35.8
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100:0	100.0	100.0
Track Shot and a	Mary Allin	VIEW ST	Home Impro	ovement Lo	ans	THE PROPERTY IN	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.3	2.4	5.3
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.5	12.1	26.6
Middle	2	89	100.0	100.0	29.4	25.4	32.3
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	45.9	60.0	35.8
Total	2	89	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Multifamily	Loans			% of Multifamily Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	8.0	1.5	7.4
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	41.0	22.9	35.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	21.0	20.8	31.4
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	30.0	54.9	25.3
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			otal Home I	Mortgage Lo	oans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.1	5.3
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.6	9.0	26.6
Middle	2	89	100.0	100.0	34.5	26.1	32.3
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	51.2	63.8	35.8
Total	2	89	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

			OME LEVE	L OF GE	NESS LOA OGRAPHY ENT AREA			
Census Tract Business Loans Aggregate CRA Data % of								
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	6.0	8.0	5.9	
Moderate	5	1,363	25.0	28.4	21.8	21.6	24.1	
Middle	5	667	25.0	13.9	27.7	28.4	30.1	
Upper	10	2,776	50.0	57.8	43.1	40.9	39.5	
Unknown⁴	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.3	

		N OF 2014 I	HOME MO				
Borrow Income		Bank	Loans			egate A Data	% of Families
Level	#	\$(000s)	# %	\$%	# %	\$%	
			Home Pur	chase Loan	S		All Mary 18 Let S. Mary 18 Letters
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.8	1.2	24.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.0	7.3	17.3
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.9	17.3	19.2
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	45.4	57.8	39.4
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	18.9	16.4	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	- A A		Refinan	ced Loans			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.2	2.0	24.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	9.3	5.5	17.3
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	15.9	11.1	19.2
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	40.1	48.7	39.4
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	30.5	32.7	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
172 3 5 1 210 3	1 13 60	-	lome Impro	vement Loa	ins		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.8	3.7	24.0
Moderate	1	4	50.0	4.5	13.1	7.5	17.3
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	16.1	11.4	19.2
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	52.1	68.1	39.4
Unknown	1	85	50.0	95.5	6.9	9.3	0.0
Total	2	89	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		To	otal Home N	/lortgage Lo	ans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.5	1.2	24.0
Moderate	1	4	50.0	4.5	11.2	6.1	17.3
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.3	14.0	19.2
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	44.1	49.4	39.4
Unknown	1	85	50.0	95.5	21.9	29.2	0.0
Total	2	89	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE C-2E DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 BUSINESS LOANS BY REVENUE SIZE SAN ANTONIO ASSESSMENT AREA Business Aggregate CRA Data **Business Loans** % of Revenue By Businesses # \$(000) #% \$% #% \$% Size \$1MM or less 13 3,230 67.2 42.8 90.0 65.0 31.6 Over \$1MM 6 1,076 30.0 22.4 6.1 Not Reported Not Known 1 500 10.4 3.9 5.0

3)

4) 2014 Austin, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area

			USTIN AS		A NT AREA DEMOGRA	PHICS		
Income Categories		act bution	Famili Tract I		Families • Level as Families	a % of	Families By Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	35	16.1	33,201	14.9	10,880	32.8	54,973	24.6
Moderate	55	25.2	49,197	22.0	7,633	15.5	37,874	17.0
Middle	58	26.6	55,213	24.7	3,396	6.2	39,315	17.6
Upper	67	30.7	85,769	38.4	2,852	3.3	91,218	40.8
Unknown	3	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	218	100.0	223,380	100.0	24,761	11.1	223,380	100.0
ATTURNED TO SELECT		1 2 1 2	DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE		ing Type By		Military and the second	F16 0
	Housing	Ow	ner-Occupi		Rer		Vac	ant
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	68,485	15,543	7.6	22.7	44,286	64.7	8,656	12.6
Moderate	100,239	40,404	19.7	40.3	50,862	50.7	8,973	9.0
Middle	113,606	55,037	26.8	48.4	50,699	44.6	7,870	6.9
Upper	145,261	94,519	46.0	65.1	39,512	27.2	11,230	7.7
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	427,591	205,503	100.0	48.1	185,359	43.3	36,729	8.6
TOTAL	427,551	203,303	100.0		esses By Tra			0.0
	Total Bus	sinesses ract	Less Th	an or =	Over \$1	VEXT2 - IL VIII		ue Not
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	5,711	8.6	4,961	8.3	490	12.0	260	10.4
Moderate	10,958	16.5	9,635	16.1	833	20.5	490	19.6
Middle	15,067	22.7	13,652	22.9	855	21.0	560	22.4
Upper	34,467	52.0	31,396	52.6	1,890	46.4	1,181	47.3
Unknown	53	0.1	45	0.1	4	0.1	4	0.2
Total AA	66,256	100.0	59,689	100.0	4,072	100.0	2,495	100.0
	t of Total Bu			90.1		6.1		3.8
					ms By Tract		Size	
	Total by T	Farms ract	Less Th \$1 M	an or =	Over \$1			ue Not orted
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	22	3.6	20	3.3	2	33.3	0	0.0
Moderate	100	16.4	100	16.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	112	18.3	110	18.2	2	33.3	0	0.0
Upper	377	61.7	374	61.9	2	33.3	1	100.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	611	100.0	604	100.0	6	100.0	1	100.0
Po	ercent of To	tal Farms:		98.9		1.0		0.2

TABLE C-3B DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY AUSTIN ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank	Loans		Aggrega Da	te HMDA ita	% of Owner-
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
, Xixen E. ux In.			Home Pur	chase Loan	าร		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	6.3	4.5	7.6
Moderate	2	214	100.0	100.0	20.2	14.2	19.7
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	26.8	22.7	26.8
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	46.7	58.7	46.0
Total	2	214	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
uff a loan	3 700 1000		Home Refi	nance Loar	าร		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	6.9	4.1	7.6
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	18.2	11.9	19.7
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	27.1	20.2	26.8
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	47.7	63.7	46.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	LE TRAVEL	W South	Home Impro	vement Lo	ans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	6.2	3.9	7.6
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	14.4	8.8	19.7
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	27.7	18.2	26.8
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	51.7	69.1	46.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			Multifam	ily Loans			% of Multifamily Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	30.6	19.8	27.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	27.9	30.7	25.3
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	25.2	19.9	25.5
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	16.2	29.6	22.2
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			otal Home	Mortgage Lo	oans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	6.5	5.8	7.6
Moderate	2	214	100.0	100.0	19.4	15.1	19.7
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	26.9	21.8	26.8
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	47.1	57.3	46.0
Total	2	214	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE C-3C DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY AUSTIN ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Busines	s Loans	C - 18 11	Aggregate	CRA Data	% of	
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses	
Low	1	733	4.0	17.8	8.5	10.3	8.6	
Moderate	4	706	16.0	17.1	16.0	15.7	16.5	
Middle	4	334	16.0	8.1	21.8	19.3	22.7	
Upper	16	2,345	64.0	56.9	51.9	53.4	52.0	
Unknown⁴	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	

TABLE C-3D DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS AUSTIN ASSESSMENT AREA

Borrow Income Level		Bank	Loans			egate A Data	% of Families	
Level	#	\$(000s)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%		
	13,15,27		Home Pur	chase Loan	S			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.3	1.4	24.6	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	13.2	7.9	17.0	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.3	13.6	17.6	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	47.5	60.1	40.8	
Unknown	2	214	100.0	100.0	18.6	17.0	0.0	
Total	2	214	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
	- 20 11	- 10 Per 10 Per	Refinan	ced Loans				
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.6	2.1	24.6	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.6	7.0	17.0	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.0	11.8	17.6	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	47.3	62.3	40.8	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	18.5	16.7	0.0	
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
			lome Impro	vement Loa	ans			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.9	24.6	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.4	5.5	17.0	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.5	9.7	17.6	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	59.7	76.9	40.8	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.9	7.0	0.0	
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
		T	otal Home N	/lortgage Lo	ans			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.7	1.4	24.6	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	13.0	6.9	17.0	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.2	11.8	17.6	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	47.9	55.2	40.8	
Unknown	2	214	100.0	100.0	18.2	24.6	0.0	
Total	2	214	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

	ISTRIBU	TION OF 20 AUS				/ENUE SIZ	E		
Business Revenue By	HUCIPOCE LOSNE L AGGRAGATA								
Size	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses		
\$1MM or less	16	3,002	64.0	72.9	45.3	35.5	90.1		
Over \$1MM	9	1,116	36.0	27.1	Not Reported 6.1				
Not Known	0	0	0.0	0.0					

5) 2014 McMullen, Texas Assessment Area

	2		ULLEN A	BLE C-4A SSESSMI AREA DI				
Income Categories		Tract Distribution		Families By Tract Income		< Poverty as a % of by Tract	Families By Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	12.2
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	9.9
Middle	1	100.0	172	100.0	9	5.2	68	39.5
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	66	38.4
Total AA	1	100.0	172	100.0	9	5.2	172	100.0
- Will 1 1 1 1	E Hoyn (YE)	DE 1 1 2 2 1 4		Hous	ing Type By	/ Tract	or to a state	1.00
	Housing	Ov	vner-Occup			ntal	Va	cant
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	524	255	100.0	48.7	52	9.9	217	41.4
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	524	255	100.0	48.7	52	9.9	217	41.4
The state of the s			16 - 3 DW	Busine	sses By Tr	act & Revenu	ue Size	J
	Total Bus		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	38	100.0	31	100.0	4	100.0	3	100.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	38	100.0	31	100.0	4	100.0	3	100.0
Percent of	f Total Busine	sses:		81.6	Walley and the	10.5		7.9
			P IN-	Farr	ns By Tract	& Revenue	Size	
		Total Farms by Tract		han or = lillion	0	1 Million	Rever	nue Not orted
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	14	100.0	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	14	100.0	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Percent	t of Total Farn	ns:		100.0		0.0		0.0

TABLE C-4B DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY MCMULLEN ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank	Loans		ate HMDA ata	% of Owner	
income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
			Home Pur	chase Loa	ns		A STATE OF THE STATE OF
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	18		Home Ref	inance Loa	ins	1-11	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0_	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ing hij lips 1.9 cm "			Home Impro	ovement Lo	oans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
			Multifamily	Loans			% of Multifamily Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
	54 Jr W		otal Home I	Mortgage L	oans.		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE C-4C DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY MCMULLEN ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract Income Level		Busines	s Loans		Aggregate CRA Data		% of Businesses		
moome Lever	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	87.0	91.2	100.0		
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		

TABLE C-4D DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS MCMULLEN ASSESSMENT AREA

Borrow Income		Bank l	Loans			regate A Data	% of Families	
Level	#	\$(000s)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%		
A VENEZUE PROEVE	91-81-8		Home	Purchase I	oans			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	66.7	60.6	9.9	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.5	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.4	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	33.3	39.4	0.0	
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
		AND A DAY A SAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	Refi	nanced Lo	ans			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.5	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	38.4	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
			Home In	nprovemen	t Loans			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.5	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.4	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	
			Total Hor	ne Mortgag	e Loans			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	50.0	43.1	9.9	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.5	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	25.0	28.8	38.4	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	25.0	28.1	0.0	
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

DI	STRIBUT				NS BY REV	ENUE SIZ		
Business Revenue By		Busines	s Loans	Aggregate	% of			
Size	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses	
\$1MM or less	0	0	0.0	0.0	8.7	8.6	81.6	
Over \$1MM	0 0 0.0 0.0 Not Reported 10							
Not Known	0	0	0.0	0.0	Not Re	ported	7.9	

6) 2014 Hutchinson, Kansas Assessment Area

			TA CHINSON SESSMEN		MENT AF			
Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families By Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as a % of Families by Tract		Families By Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	- 0	0.0	0	0.0	3,459	20.4
Moderate	7	41.2	6,586	38.8	1,157	17.6	3,375	19.9
Middle	8	47.1	6,925	40.8	420	6.1	3,902	23.0
Upper	2	11.8	3,482	20.5	187	5.4	6,257	36.8
Total AA	17	100.0	16,993	100.0	1,764	10.4	16,993	100.0
7 (W17/22)	SOUTH BUSINESS	No.	No. of Particular	Hous	sing Type E	By Tract		
	Housing	Ov	vner-Occup			ntal	Va	cant
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	12,332	6,943	37.5	56.3	4,056	32.9	1,333	10.8
Middle	11,040	7,842	42.3	71.0	2,451	22.2	747	6.8
Upper	4,860	3,739	20.2	76.9	845	17.4	276	5.7
Total AA	28,232	18,524	100.0	65.6	7,352	26.0	2,356	8.3
- V - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S		Description (Supplemental)	AND GROWN IN	Businesses By Tract & Revenue S				
	Total Bus		Less Than or =		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	1,047	41.3	900	40.5	101	45.3	46	51.1
Middle	1,045	41.2	914	41.1	97	43.5	34	37.8
Upper	443	17.5	408	18.4	25	11.2	10	11.1
Total AA	2,535	100.0	2,222	100.0	223	100.0	90	100.0
	Total Busin	esses:	DECEMBER OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	87.7		8.8		3.6
C U U U H	NEW 191	1 0, 10-0)	31 74 5 1	Far	ms By Trac	t & Revenu	e Size	
CAFE-III	Total I by T			han or = lillion		1 Million	Rever	nue Not orted
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	98	26.8	98	26.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	245	67.1	244	67.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
Upper	22	6.0	22	6.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	365	100.0	364	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
Percent	of Total Fai	rms:		99.7		0.3		0.0

TABLE C-5B DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY HUTCHINSON ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract Income Level		Bank	Loans			ate HMDA Data	% of Owner
	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Units
Later to the street with	125		Home Pur	chase Loan	S		
Low	0	- 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	5	384	62.5	61.1	37.2	25.7	37.5
Middle	2	190	25.0	30.3	34.7	37.4	42.3
Upper	1	54	12.5	8.6	28.1	36.9	20.2
Total	8	628	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	LEAN SHEET	PAY SHIP	Home Refi	nance Loan	S	N	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	6	332	75.0	47.2	41.6	39.3	37.5
Middle	1	275	12.5	39.1	34.8	34.6	42.3
Upper	1	97	12.5	13.8	23.6	26.1	20.2
Total	8	704	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	, vi tiklig	OWNER H	ome Impro	vement Loa	ins	TO LINE OF	IX SETTING TO THE
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	3	13	75.0	61.9	34.8	25.6	37.5
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	42.8	50.4	42.3
Upper	1	8	25.0	38.1	22.5	24.0	20.2
Total	4	21	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Multifamily	/ Loans			% of Multifamily Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	1	384	100.0	100.0	60.0	65.8	46.1
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	30.0	15.4	35.9
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	10.0	18.9	18.0
Total	1	384	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	- 8x 111V.	To	tal Home N	lortgage Lo	ans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	15	1,113	71.4	64.1	38.8	33.1	37.5
Middle	3	465	14.3	26.8	35.5	35.8	42.3
Upper	3	159	14.3	9.2	25.8	31.0	20.2
Total	21	1,737	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE C-5C DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY HUTCHINSON ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Busines	s Loans			ate CRA ata	% of Businesses	
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Moderate	3	444	33.3	16.4	38.0	18.8	41.3	
Middle	6	2,263	66.7	83.6	40.2	62.6	41.2	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	18.2	17.8	17.5	

TABLE C-5D DISTRIBUTION OF 2014 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS HUTCHINSON ASSESSMENT AREA

		HO I CHIN	OON AU	DEGOINEIN			
Borrow Income Level		Bank	Loans	mile s		egate A Data	% of Families
Level	#	\$(000s)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	
THE WORLD STORES		₩ H	ome Purch	nase Loans		Y. S / 2 SW	
Low	1	26	12.5	4.1	7.0	3.8	20.4
Moderate	1	90	12.5	14.3	19.9	14.8	19.9
Middle	1	100	12.5	15.9	19.9	18.4	23.0
Upper	4	364	50.0	58.0	33.3	42.2	36.8
Unknown	1	48	12.5	7.6	19.9	20.8	0.0
Total	8	628	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	2 110		Refinance	d Loans			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	7.9	2.3	20.4
Moderate	4	212	50.0	30.1	17.9	7.4	19.9
Middle	1	72	12.5	10.2	20.9	9.4	23.0
Upper	3	420	37.5	59.7	37.4	26.9	36.8
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	15.9	54.1	0.0
Total	8	704	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Hon	ne Improv	ement Loan	S		100000000000000000000000000000000000000
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	13.8	7.1	20.4
Moderate	1	4	25.0	19.0	18.1	13.9	19.9
Middle	2	9	50.0	42.9	23.2	21.5	23.0
Upper	1	8	25.0	38.1	44.2	57.3	36.8
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.2	0.0
Total	4	21	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Tota	Home Mo	rtgage Loar	าร		
Low	1	26	4.8	1.5	7.9	3.0	20.4
Moderate	6	306	28.6	17.6	18.9	10.8	19.9
Middle	4	181	19.0	10.4	20.4	13.7	23.0
Upper	8	792	38.1	45.6	35.6	34.1	36.8
Unknown	2	432	9.5	24.9	17.2	38.3	0.0
Total	21	1,737	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

DI	STRIBUT		14 BUSIN		NS BY RE	VENUE SIZ	E				
Business		Busines	s Loans	Aggregat	% of						
Revenue By Size	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses				
\$1MM or less	5	771	55.6	28.5	30.8	34.7	87.7				
Over \$1MM 2 630 22.2 23.3											
Not Known	Not Reported										

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT APPENDIX D 2015 ANALYSIS TABLES

- 1) 2015 Full Review Oklahoma City, Oklahoma Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 2) 2015 Full Review Stillwater, Oklahoma Assessment Area
- 3) 2015 Full Review Dallas, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 4) 2015 Full Review Wichita, Kansas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 5) 2015 Limited Review Tulsa, Oklahoma Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 6) 2015 Limited Review San Antonio, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 7) 2015 Limited Review Austin, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 8) 2015 Limited Review McMullen, Texas Assessment Area
- 9) 2015 Limited Review Hutchinson, Kansas Assessment Area

Footnotes for all Analysis Tables

- Census tract income classifications, percentage of families by income classifications, percentage of owner-occupied housing units, and multifamily housing units by tract, and percentage of businesses and farms by tract are based on 2006-2010 ACS fiveyear estimates and 2015 FFIEC's Census tract designations.
- Business and farm data is based on 2015 D&B data.
- Aggregate CRA LAR data reports only business loans in amounts of \$1 million or less.
- The borrower distribution analysis for business loans focuses on the bank's lending to "small businesses" as defined by the CRA, which are those businesses and farms with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less.
- Low-income census tracts are those geographies with MFI less than 50 percent of the MSA or statewide nonMSA MFI based on 2010 ACS five-year estimate data; moderate-income equals 50 percent to 80 percent; middle income is 80 percent to 120 percent; and upper income is greater than 120 percent.
- Percentage totals may not equal 100 percent due to automated rounding differences. Also, aggregate percentages may not equal 100 percent due to the omission of loans with unknown census tract information.
- For the borrower distribution tables, total HMDA loans may not foot, as multifamily loans are not considered in the borrower distribution.

1) 2015 Full Review Oklahoma City, Oklahoma Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area

			HOMA CI		A SSMENT A DEMOGRA				
Income Categories		Tract Distribution		Families By Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as a % of Families by Tract		Families By Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	32	9.2	14,308	4.9	5,009	35.0	61,356	21.2	
Moderate	93	26.7	64,123	22.1	11,684	18.2	51,353	17.7	
Middle	131	37.6	124,223	42.9	10,826	8.7	59,429	20.5	
Upper	88	25.3	86,953	30.0	2,858	3.3	117,479	40.6	
Unknown	4	1.1	10	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	348	100.0	289,617	100.0	30,377	10.5	289,617	100.0	
CARBONIA COL			22 1		sing Type By		The second second second		
	Housing	Ov	vner-Occupi		Ren		Vac	ant	
	Units By	filipa - A said	% By	% By	PART LOCK BUTTER	% By		% By	
	Tract	#	Tract	Unit	#	Unit	#	Unit	
Low	29,238	10,004	3.4	34.2	13,671	46.8	5,563	19.0	
Moderate	133,720	55,313	18.9	41.4	57,974	43.4	20,433	15.3	
Middle	204,659	129,854	44.5	63.4	54,500	26.6	20,305	9.9	
Upper	131,321	96,712	33.1	73.6	25,722	19.6	8,887	6.8	
Unknown	267	10	0.0	0.0	182	68.2	75	28.1	
Total AA	499,205	291,893	100.0	58.5	152,049	30.5	55,263	11.1	
TOTAL	499,203	291,093	100.0						
	Total Bu	sinesses	Businesses By Tract & Revenue Siz						
		ract	\$1 Mi	llion	Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	3,560	5.8	2,987	5.2	544	11.8	29	9.9	
Moderate	12,300	19.9	11,133	19.5	1,101	24.0	66	22.5	
Middle	23,940	38.7	22,117	38.8	1,680	36.6	143	48.8	
Upper	21,390	34.6	20,208	35.5	1,143	24.9	39	13.3	
Unknown	657	1.1	517	0.9	124	2.7	16	5.5	
Total AA	61,847	100.0	56,962	100.0	4,592	100.0	293	100.0	
Percent of	f Total Busi	nesses:		92.1		7.4		0.5	
				Far	ms By Tract	& Revenue	e Size		
		Farms ract	Less Th \$1 Mi	an or =	Over \$1	7	Reven		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	20	1.5	17	1.3	3	14.3	-0	0.0	
Moderate	139	10.2	136	10.2	3	14.3	0	0.0	
Middle	762	56.0	753	56.2	9	42.9	0	0.0	
Upper	439	32.3	433	32.3	6	28.6	0	0.0	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	1,360	100.0	1,339	100.0	21				
	t of Total Fa		1,335		21	100.0	0	0.0	
Percen	i or rotarra	11115.		98.5		1.5		0.0	

TABLE D-1B DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCOLSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY OKLAHOMA CITY ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank	Loans		% of Owner
Income Level	# #	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
		Home Purchase	Loans		
Low	7	454	2.7	0.9	3.4
Moderate	53	4,899	20.1	10.1	18.9
Middle	71	10,140	26.9	20.9	44.5
Upper	133	32,923	50.4	68.0	33.1
Total	264	48,416	100.0	100.0	100.0
Section Services	ALC: NO.	Home Refinance	e Loans		
Low	4	489	3.7	2.0	3.4
Moderate	13	4,267	12.0	17.7	18.9
Middle	28	3,880	25.9	16.1	44.5
Upper	63	15,478	58.3	64.2	33,1
Total	108	24,114	100.0	100.0	100.0
	V (- C8 () - C	Home Improveme	nt Loans		IN THE WAY OF THE PARTY OF
Low	2	36	7.4	1.2	3.4
Moderate	3	225	11.1	7.3	18.9
Middle	6	433	22.2	14.0	44.5
Upper	16	2,401	59.3	77.6	33.1
Total	27	3,095	100.0	100.0	100.0
	Multi	family Loans			% of Multifamily Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.8
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	41.5
Middle	3	3,842	75.0	36.7	33.4
Upper	1	6,630	25.0	63.3	19.1
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Total	4	10,472	100.0	100.0	100.0
	T	otal Home Mortga	age Loans		
Low	13	979	3.2	1.1	3.4
Moderate	69	9,391	17.1	10.9	18.9
Middle	108	18,295	26.8	21.2	44.5
Upper	213	57,432	52.9	66.7	33.1
Total	403	86,097	100.0	100.0	100.0

	BY INC	TABLE JTION OF 201 COME LEVEL OMA CITY AS	5 BUSINESS OF GEOGRA	APHY	
Census Tract		% of			
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Businesses
Low	16	5,792	9.4	11.8	5.8
Moderate	35	11,263	20.6	22.9	19.9
Middle	49	14,182	28.8	28.9	38.7
Upper	67	16,283	39.4	33.2	34.6

TABLE D-1D DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS OKLAHOMA CITY ASSESSMENT AREA **Bank Loans** Borrow % of Families Income Level \$% # \$(000s) #% **Home Purchase Loans** Low 19 1,293 7.2 2.7 21.2 20.8 12.1 17.7 Moderate 55 5,881 Middle 54 20.5 17.8 20.5 8.631 47.0 62.4 40.6 Upper 124 30,200 Unknown 12 2,411 4.5 5.0 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total 264 48,416 **Refinanced Loans** Low 4 361 3.7 1.5 21.2 Moderate 16 1,515 14.8 6.3 17.7 10.4 20.5 Middle 17 2,503 15.7 54 14,407 50.0 59.7 40.6 Upper 17 15.7 22.1 0.0 5,328 Unknown Total 108 24,114 100.0 100.0 100.0 **Home Improvement Loans** 2.9 21.2 Low 3 91 11.1 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 17.7 Middle 1 168 3.7 5.4 20.5 22 Upper 2,821 81.5 91.1 40.6 Unknown 1 15 3.7 0.5 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total 27 3,095 Total Home Mortgage Loans 1,745 2.0 21.2 Low 26 6.5 Moderate 71 7,396 17.6 8.6 17.7 Middle 72 11,302 17.9 13.1 20.5 Upper 200 49.6 55.1 40.6 47,428 Unknown 34 18,226 8.4 21.2 0.0 86,097 100.0 Total 403 100.0 100.0

	DISTRIBUTION OK	E SIZE			
Business		% of Businesses			
Revenue	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	by Revenue
\$1MM or less	79	15,916	46.5	32.4	92.1
Over \$1MM	84	32,670	49.4	66.5	7.4
Not Known	7	531	4.1	1.1	0.5

2) 2015 Full Review Stillwater, Oklahoma Assessment Area

	5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		T/ ILLWATER SSESSMEN		MENT AR			
Income Categories		act bution		lies By Income	Level a	< Poverty s a % of by Tract	Families By Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	1	5.9	87	0.5	26	29.9	2,921	18.2
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,675	16.7
Middle	13	76.5	12,059	75.1	1,465	12.1	2,967	18.5
Upper	3	17.6	3,909	24.3	245	6.3	7,492	46.7
Total AA	17	100.0	16,055	100.0	1,736	10.8	16,055	100.0
	or Polyton St. units	(X. P. II.)		Hous	sing Type By	/ Tract	TALL S AND S	
	Housing Units By	0	wner-Occup			ntal	Va	cant
	Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	524	21	0.1	4.0	356	67.9	147	28.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	26,190	11,532	74.5	44.0	10,942	41.8	3,716	14.2
Upper	6,587	3,936	25.4	59.8	1,948	29.6	703	10.7
Total AA	33,301	15,489	100.0	46.5	13,246	39.8	4,566	13.7
WE STATE	TitalD		Sec. 10.00	ue Size	120			
	Total Bu	ract	SHEET CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY O	Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		nue Not orted
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	51	1.6	40	1.4	11	5.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	2,379	76.7	2,187	76.3	177	80.1	15	88.2
Upper	673	21.7	638	22.3	33	14.9	2	11.8
Total AA	3,103	100.0	2,865	100.0	221	100.0	17	100.0
Percent o	f Total Busii	nesses:		92.3		7.1		0.5
	Takel	Банта	- TO-	Far	ms By Tract	& Revenue	Size	
	Total by T			nan or = lillion	Over \$1	Million		nue Not orted
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	1	0.7	1	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	95	67.9	95	67.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
Upper	44	31.4	44	31.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	140	100.0	140	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Percen	t of Total Fa	rms:		100.0		0.0		0.0

TABLE D-2B DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		% of Owner			
Income Level	#	# \$(000) #% \$%		Occupied Units	
	AND STORES	Home Purchase I	oans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	51	7,392	39.2	30.7	74.5
Upper	79	16,725	60.8	69.3	25.4
Total	130	24,117	100.0	100.0	100.0
	La. S. L. S. Willer	Home Refinance I	oans	We Transpire	2-11/20 20 10 20 10
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	19	2,103	40.4	25.4	74.5
Upper	28	6,164	59.6	74.6	25.4
Total	47	8,267	100.0	100.0	100.0
	H	ome Improvemen	Loans	AND ROOM SAN	ALL STREET, ST
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	15	960	57.7	37.6	74.5
Upper	11	1,591	42.3	62.4	25.4
Total	26	2,551	100.0	100.0	100.0
	% of Multifamily Units				
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	6.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	11	146	100.0	100.0	82.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.8
Total	1	146	100.0	0.0	100.0
		tal Home Mortgag			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	86	10,601	42.2	30.2	74.5
Upper	118	24,480	57.8	69.8	25.4
Total	204	35,081	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE D-2C DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA									
Census Tract Income Level		% of							
	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Businesses				
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.6				
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Middle	42	6,824	75.0	80.5	76.7				
Upper	14	1,652	25.0	19.5	21.7				

TABLE D-2D DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA **Bank Loans** Borrow Income Level % of Families # \$(000s) #% \$ % Home Purchase Loans Low 3 309 2.3 1.3 18.2 Moderate 9 978 6.9 4.1 16.7 9.0 Middle 16 2,168 12.3 18.5 Upper 101 20,566 77.7 85.3 46.7 Unknown 1 96 0.8 0.4 0.0 130 24,117 100.0 100.0 Total 100.0 **Refinanced Loans** 2 114 1.4 18.2 Low 4.3 3 394 6.4 16.7 Moderate 4.8 Middle 4 693 8.5 8.4 18.5 Upper 36 6,841 76.6 82.8 46.7 Unknown 2 225 4.3 2.7 0.0 47 Total 8,267 100.0 100.0 100.0 Home Improvement Loans Low 1 5 3.8 0.2 18.2 Moderate 2 101 7.7 4.0 16.7 6.2 Middle 4 158 15.4 18.5 46.7 Upper 17 2,268 65.4 88.9 Unknown 19 7.7 0.7 0.0 Total 26 2,551 100.0 100.0 100.0 **Total Home Mortgage Loans** Low 6 428 2.9 1.2 18.2 Moderate 14 1,473 4.2 16.7 6.9 Middle 24 3,019 11.8 8.6 18.5 154 46.7 Upper 29,675 75.5 84.6 Unknown 1.4 0.0 6 486 2.9 Total 204 35,081 100.0 100.0 100.0

DISTRIBU		TABLE 15 BUSINES ATER ASSE	S LOANS		NUE SIZE
Business Revenue		Small Busin	% of Businesses by		
	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Revenue
\$1MM or Less	36	5,064	64.3	59.7	92.3
Over \$1MM	19	3,372	33.9	39.8	7.1
Income Not Known	1	40	1.8	0.5	0.5

3) 2015 Full Review Dallas, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area

		DAI	TABL	E D-3A	T AREA			
		2015 ASSE	SSMENT A	10.0	Fami	Augusta S	Familie	es Bv
Income Categories		bution	Tract li		Level as	s a % of by Tract	Family li	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	138	13.3	118,748	10.1	39,517	33.3	285,399	24.2
Moderate	288	27.7	302,706	25.6	54,884	18.1	202,463	17.1
Middle	283	27.3	347,773	29.5	26,725	7.7	215,639	18.3
Upper	325	31.3	411,396	34.8	12,172	3.0	477,131	40.4
Unknown	4	0.4	9	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	1,038	100.0	1,180,632	100.0	133,298	11.3	1,180,632	100.0
E TAS MALE	SATE DITTORY		- 1 - 18 - 65.	Housin	g Type By T	ract	S PILE OF BUILDING	I WATER
	Housing	Ov	wner-Occupio		Rer		Vaca	int
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	226,313	59,326	5.7	26.2	130,460	57.6	36,527	16.1
Moderate	508,738	228,001	21.8	44.8	220,344	43.3	60,393	11.9
Middle	575,760	320,816	30.7	55.7	206,199	35.8	48,745	8.5
Upper	605,062	437,232	41.8	72.3	130,524	21.6	37,306	6.2
Unknown	18	18	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	1,915,891	1,045,393	100.0	54.6	687,527	35.9	182,971	9.6
and the same of		E XIII SON I	all country of		ses By Trac			2V.11
		sinesses Fract	Less Th	an or =	Over \$1	. 200 = 1	Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	23,552	7.6	20,693	7.2	2,811	13.0	48	8.4
Moderate	62,069	20.1	56,334	19.6	5,599	25.9	136	23.8
Middle	85,134	27.5	79,730	27.8	5,238	24.3	166	29.1
Upper	137,732	44.6	129,716	45.2	7,796	36.1	220	38.5
Unknown	567	0.2	410	0.1	156	0.7	1	0.2
Total AA	309,054	100.0	286,883	100.0	21,600	100.0	571	100.0
	f Total Busi			92.8		7.0		0.2
					s By Tract 8		Size	
		Farms Tract	Less Th	an or =	Over \$1 Million		Revenu Repo	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	77	3.6	69	3.3	8	17.4	7 0	0.0
Moderate	247	11.5	240	11.4	7	15.2	0	0.0
Middle	670	31.1	664	31.5	6	13.0	0	0.0
Upper	1,162	53.9	1,137	53.9	25	54.3	0	0.0
Unknown	1	0.0	1,137	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	2,157	100.0	2,111	100.0	46	100.0	0	0.0
	nt of Total Fa			97.9	- 40	2.1	<u> </u>	0.0

TABLE D-3B DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY DALLAS ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		% of Owner			
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
		Home Purchase	Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.7
Moderate	1	168	6.7	1.9	21.8
Middle	2	2,766	13.3	30.6	30.7
Upper	12	6,118	80.0	67.6	41.8
Total	15	9,052	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE ALL PROPERTY OF		Home Refinance	Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.7
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	21.8
Middle	3	898	30.0	33.9	30.7
Upper	7	1,751	70.0	66.1	41.8
Total	10	2,649	100.0	100.0	100.0
Franklind St. St. W.		Home Improveme	nt Loans	Sulfiff files	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.7
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	21.8
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	30.7
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	41.8
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		Multifamily Lo	oans		% of Multifamily Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	21.4
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	29.7
Middle	1	944	100.0	100.0	29.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.9
Total	1	944	100.0	100.0	100.0
2.5 A - 12 Land	L) - T	otal Home Mortga	ige Loans	E en en en	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.7
Moderate	11	168	3.8	1.3	21.8
Middle	6	4,608	23.1	36.4	30.7
Upper	19	7,869	73.1	62.2	41.8
Total	26	12,645	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE D-3C DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY DALLAS ASSESSMENT AREA									
Census Tract		% of							
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Businesses				
Low	3	1,580	8.1	14.5	7.6				
Moderate	6	1,340	16.2	12.3	20.1				
Middle	12								
Upper	16								
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.2				

TABLE D-3D DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS DALLAS ASSESSMET AREA **Borrow Income Bank Loans** % of Families Level # \$(000s) #% \$% **Home Purchase Loans** 0 0.0 0.0 10.1 Low 0 0 25.6 Moderate 0 0.0 0.0 256 Middle 2.8 29.5 1 6.7 Upper 9 3,771 60.0 41.7 34.8 Unknown 5 5,025 33.3 55.5 0.0 100.0 Total 15 9,052 100.0 100.0 Refinanced Loans Low 0 0 0.0 0.0 10.1 109 10.0 4.1 Moderate 1 25.6 Middle 1 166 10.0 6.3 29.5 Upper 6 1,586 60.0 59.9 34.8 Unknown 2 788 20.0 29.7 0.0 Total 10 2,649 100.0 100.0 100.0 **Home Improvement Loans** Low 0 0.0 0.0 10.1 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 25.6 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 29.5 Upper 0 0 0.0 0.0 34.8 Unknown 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 **Total** 0 0 0.0 0.0 100.0 Total Home Mortgage Loans Low 0 0 0.0 0.0 10.1 Moderate 1 109 3.8 0.9 25.6 Middle 2 422 7.7 3.3 29.5 15 5,357 57.7 42.4 34.8 Upper 0.0 Unknown 8 6,757 30.8 53.4 Total 26 12,645 100.0 100.0 100.0

DISTR		TAB F 2015 BUSI DALLAS ASS			ENUE SIZE
Business		Small Busi	% of Businesses by		
Revenue	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Revenue
\$1MM or Less	19	3,081	51.4	28.2	92.8
Over \$1MM	18	7,844	48.6	71.8	7.0
Income Not Known	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.2

4) 2015 Full Review Wichita, Kansas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area

W			TAE ITAN STAT ESSMENT				NT AREA	
Income Categories		Tract Distribution		Families By Tract Income		Poverty s a % of by Tract		ies By Income
Kale Gray	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	12	9.7	7,099	5.7	2,391	33.7	26,562	21.2
Moderate	42	33.9	35,676	28.5	6,757	18.9	22,675	18.1
Middle	32	25.8	34,820	27.9	2,334	6.7	26,011	20.8
Upper	38	30.6	47,407	37.9	1,525	3.2	49,754	39.8
Total AA	124	100.0	125,002	100.0	13,007	10.4	125,002	100.0
		- 3.X. S		Housin	g Type By T	ract		TO PARE
	Housing	0	wner-Occupi		Rer		Vac	ant
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	17,462	4,795	3.8	27.5	9,100	52.1	3,567	20.4
Moderate	69,330	33,056	25.9	47.7	28,058	40.5	8,216	11.9
Middle	57,337	36,854	28.9	64.3	16,986	29.6	3,497	6.1
Upper	64,595	53,005	41.5	82.1	8,978	13.9	2,612	4.0
Total AA	208,724	127,710	100.0	61.2	63,122	30.2	17,892	8.6
ou all grades	o Wuelled		THE SWITTER		ses By Trac			VAN LUIS
	Total Businesses by Tract Less Than or = \$1 Million		nan or =	Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	2,457	12.0	2,035	11,1	408	20.2	14	20.3
Moderate	4,720	23.1	4,171	22.7	540	26.8	9	13.0
Middle	5,626	27.5	5,093	27.8	513	25.4	20	29.0
Upper	7,624	37.3	7,043	38.4	555	27.5	26	37.7
Total AA	20,427	100.0	18,342	100.0	2,016	100.0	69	100.0
Percent o	f Total Busi	nesses:		89.8		9.9		0.3
1 1 1 T				Farm	s By Tract &	Revenue S	Size	Second Second
	Total Farms by Tract			nan or =	Over \$1			ue Not orted
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	10	2.0	8	1.6	2	33.3	0	0.0
Moderate	22	4.3	21	4.2	1	16.7	0	0.0
Middle	146	28.6	146	28.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
Upper	333	65.2	330	65.3	3	50.0	0	0.0
Total AA	511	100.0	505	100.0	6	100.0	0	0.0
Percen	t of Total Fa	arms:		98.8		1.2		0.0

TABLE D-4B DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY WICHITA METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract	L 2. 24. 10.23	Bank	% of Owner		
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
M.W. Shares		Home Purchase	Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.8
Moderate	2	327	6.5	5.2	25.9
Middle	6	967	19.4	15.5	28.9
Upper	23	4,939	74.2	79.2	41.5
Total	31	6,233	100.0	100.0	100.0
	N N N	Home Refinance	Loans	Water Sales	
Low	1	307	5.0	4.9	3.8
Moderate	2	550	10.0	8.7	25.9
Middle	5	1,159	25.0	18.3	28.9
Upper	12	4,301	60.0	68.1	41.5
Total	20	6,317	100.0	100.0	100.0
	EVEL STEEL H	ome Improvemen	it Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.8
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	25.9
Middle	1	34	100.0	100.0	28.9
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	41.5
Total	1	34	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Multifamily Lo	ans		% of Multifamily Units
Low	1	1,345	100.0	100.0	14.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	36.4
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	33.4
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	16.2
Total	1	1,345	100.0	100.0	100.0
14 X - 2 - 1-9 W - 1	То	tal Home Mortga	ge Loans		25
Low	2	1,652	3.8	11.9	3.8
Moderate	4	877	7.5	6.3	25.9
Middle	12	2,160	22.6	15.5	28.9
Upper	35	9,240	66.0	66.3	41.5
Total	53	13,929	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE D-4C DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY WICHITA METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract	A SAME OF	% of			
Income Level	#	\$(000)	\$(000) #%		Businesses
Low	10	3,474	15.2	17.2	12.0
Moderate	8	1,626	12.1	8.1	23.1
Middle	19	5,617	28.8	27.9	27.5
Upper	29	9,441	43.9	46.8	37.3

TABLE D-4D DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS WICHITA METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREA

Borrow Income			% of Families		
Level	#	\$(000s)	#%	\$%	70 01 1 411111100
		Home Pu	rchase Loans		
Low	2	202	6.5	3.2	21.2
Moderate	3	455	9.7	7.3	18.1
Middle	9	1,539	29.0	24.7	20,8
Upper	16	3,866	51.6	62.0	39.8
Unknown	1	171	3.2	2.7	0.0
Total	31	6,233	100.0	100.0	100.0
	1112	Refinal	nced Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	21.2
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	18.1
Middle	1	79	5.0	1.3	20.8
Upper	12	5,047	60.0	79.9	39.8
Unknown	7	1,191	35.0	18.9	0.0
Total	20	6,317	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Home Impr	ovement Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	21.2
Moderate	1	34	100.0	100.0	18.1
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.8
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	39.8
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	1	34	100.0	100.0	100.0
X == X		Total Home	Mortgage Loans	S	
Low	2	202	3,8	1.5	21.2
Moderate	4	489	7.5	3.5	18.1
Middle	10	1,618	18.9	11.6	20.8
Upper	28	8,913	52.8	64.0	39.8
Unknown	9	2,707	17.0	19.4	0.0
Total	53	13,929	100.0	100.0	100.0

		TABLE F 2015 BUSINE LITAN STATIS	SS LOANS		
Business Revenue		% of Businesses by			
busilless Reveilue	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Revenue
\$1MM or Less	33	7,817	50.0	38.8	89.8
Over \$1MM	33	12,341	50.0	61.2	9.9
Income Not Known	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3

5) 2015 Limited Review Tulsa, Oklahoma Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area

		2015 A	TULSA A		SA ENT AREA DEMOGR <i>A</i>	APHICS		
Income Categories		act bution	Families By Tract Income		Families • Level as Families	a % of	Famili Family I	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	19	10.9	12,018	8.0	4,647	38.7	31,569	21.0
Moderate	52	29.7	34,845	23.1	6,691	19.2	26,433	17.6
Middle	49	28.0	45,570	30.3	3,429	7.5	29,558	19.6
Upper	55	31.4	58,144	38.6	2,102	3.6	63,017	41.9
Total AA	175	100.0	150,577	100.0	16,869	11.2	150,577	100.0
**************************************				Hou	sing Type By	Tract	S TON STREW	A SELUTION
	Housing	O	wner-Occupi		Rer		Vac	ant
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	25,646	7,934	5.4	30.9	13,062	50.9	4,650	18.1
Moderate	71,270	30,469	20.6	42.8	32,154	45.1	8,647	12.1
Middle	80,364	46,887	31.6	58.3	26,290	32.7	7,187	8.9
Upper	87,284	62,969	42.5	72.1	18,950	21.7	5,365	6.1
Total AA	264,564	148,259	100.0	56.0	90,456	34.2	25,849	9.8
		E SILVE	14/20 2V 19/20	Busin	esses By Tra	ct & Reveni	ue Size	
	Total Bu	ract	Less Th \$1 Mi		Over \$1	Over \$1 Million		ie Not rted
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	2,714	6.8	2,285	6.3	425	13.0	4	6.2
Moderate	9,201	23.1	8,016	22.0	1,164	35.6	21	32.3
Middle	11,253	28.3	10,455	28.7	774	23.6	24	36.9
Upper	16,643	41.8	15,716	43.1	911	27.8	16	24.6
Total AA	39,811	100.0	36,472	100.0	3,274	100.0	65	100.0
Per	cent of Tota	al Businesse	s:	91.6	impost = E	8.2		0.2
78	Total	Farms		Fai	rms By Tract	& Revenue	Size	
		ract	Less Th \$1 Mi		Over \$1	Million	Revenu Repo	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	6	1.8	6	1.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	36	10.7	35	10.6	1	14.3	0	0.0
Middle	126	37.3	124	37.5	2	28.6	0	0.0
Upper	170	50.3	166	50.2	4	57.1	0	0.0
Total AA	338	100.0	331	100.0	7	100.0	0	0.0
	Percent of T	otal Farms:		97.9		2.1		0.0

TABLE D-5B DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY TULSA ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank I	oans		% of Owner	
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Occupied Units	
		Home Purch	ase Loans			
Low	3	216	6.5	1.9	5.4	
Moderate	4	436	8.7	3.9	20.6	
Middle	5	1,049	10.9	9.4	31.6	
Upper	34	9,429	73.9	84.7	42.5	
Total	46	11,130	100.0	100.0	100.0	
and the second s		Home Refina	nce Loans	den zu lie	www.ist	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.4	
Moderate	2	196	6.7	3.2	20.6	
Middle	3	297	10.0	4.8	31.6	
Upper	25	5,713	83.3	92.1	42.5	
Total	30	6,206	100.0	100.0	100.0	
		Home Improve	ment Loans			
Low	1	214	20.0	37.9	5.4	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.6	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	31.6	
Upper	4	350	80.0	62.1	42.5	
Total	5	564	100.0	100.0	100.0	
		Multifami	ly Loans		% of Multifamily Units	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.0	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	34.3	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	28.5	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	26.2	
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	
		Total Home Mo	rtgage Loans			
Low	4	430	4.9	2.4	5.4	
Moderate	6	632	7.4	3.5	20.6	
Middle	8	1,346	9.9	7.5	31.6	
Upper	63	15,492	77.8	86.5	42.5	
Total	81	17,900	100.0	100.0	100.0	

TABLE D-5C DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 BUSINESS FARM LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY TULSA ASSESSMENT AREA								
Census Tract		% of						
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Businesses			
Low	6	1,844	10.0	13.5	6.8			
Moderate	15	2,938	25.0	21.5	23,1			
Middle	10	1,730	16.7	12.7	28.3			
Upper	29	7,155	48.3	52.4	41.8			

TABLE D-5D DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTAGE DISCLOSUE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS **TULSA ASSESSMENT AREA Bank Loans Borrow Income** % of Families Level # \$(000s) #% \$% Home Purchase Loans 2 Low 92 4.3 0.8 21.0 Moderate 5 690 10.9 6.2 17.6 Middle 3 493 6.5 19.6 4.4 Upper 35 9,451 76.1 84.9 41.9 Unknown 1 404 2.2 3.6 0.0 46 11,130 100.0 Total 100.0 100.0 **Refinanced Loans** Low 0 0 0.0 21.0 0.0 314 Moderate 3 10.0 5.1 17.6 Middle 709 4 13,3 11.4 19.6 Upper 21 4,988 70.0 80.4 41.9 Unknown 2 195 6.7 3.1 0.0 30 6,206 Total 100.0 100.0 100.0 **Home Improvement Loans** Low 0 0.0 0.0 21.0 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 17.6 Middle 0 0.0 0 0.0 19.6 3 130 Upper 60.0 23.0 41.9 Unknown 2 434 40.0 77.0 0.0 Total 5 564 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total Home Mortgage Loans Low 2 92 2.5 0.5 21.0 Moderate 8 1,004 9.9 5.6 17.6 Middle 7 1,202 8.6 6.7 19.6 Upper 59 14,569 41.9 72.8 81.4 Unknown 5 1,033 0.0 6.2 5.8 Total 81 17,900 100.0 100.0 100.0

DISTR		TABLE F 2015 BUSINE FULSA ASSES	SS LOANS B		SIZE
Business Revenue	mile de fi ^{re} su	% of Businesses			
business Revenue	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	by Revenue
\$1MM or Less	29	5,588	48.3	40.9	91.6
Over \$1MM	28	7,264	46.7	53.1	8.2
Income Not Known	3	815	5.0	6.0	0.2

6) 2015 Limited Review San Antonio, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area

			ANTONIC		A SMENT ARI DEMOGRA			
Income Categories	I DISTRIBUTION		Tract I	ies By ncome	Families < Level as Families	a % of	Families By Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	36	9.8	28,260	7.2	10,543	37.3	94,746	24.0
Moderate	115	31.4	118,686	30.1	24,922	21.0	68,289	17.3
Middle	106	29.0	122,797	31.1	11,734	9.6	75,832	19.2
Upper	105	28.7	124,597	31.6	4,978	4.0	155,473	39.4
Unknown	4	1.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	366	100.0	394,340	100.0	52,177	13.2	394,340	100.0
HYBA - W		B OLVER	MCV COLOR	Hous	sing Type By	Tract		1977 1970
	Housing	Ov	wner-Occup		Ren		Vac	ant
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	47,868	19,272	5.3	40.3	22,448	46.9	6,148	12.8
Moderate	201,352	96,350	26.6	47.9	81,313	40.4	23,689	11.8
Middle	203,867	117,164	32.3	57.5	67,732	33.2	18,971	9.3
Upper	189,767	129,900	35.8	68.5	46,045	24.3	13,822	7.3
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	642,854	362,686	100.0	56.4	217,538	33.8	62,630	9.7
1 Otal 7 (7 C	042,004	002,000	100.0		esses By Tra			DECEMBER 1
	Total Bu	sinesses ract	Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	4,436	5.7	3,951	5.4	471	9.2	14	7.1
Moderate	18,026	23.0	16,641	22.8	1,349	26.4	36	18.3
Middle	23,599	30.1	21,846	29.9	1,671	32.7	82	
	32,157							41.6
Upper Unknown	187	41.0 0.2	30,498 155	41.7 0.2	1,595	31.2	64	32.5
Total AA	78,405	100.0	73,091	100.0	31 5 117	0.6	1 107	0.5
	cent of Total				5,117	100.0 6.5	197	100.0
Perc	Sent of Total	businesse:	5.	93.2	Du T		0:	0.3
	Total	Farms			ms By Tract	& Kevenue		
	by T	ract	Less Th \$1 M	illion	Over \$1		Revent Repo	rted
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	24	3.0	22	2.8	2	20.0	0	0.0
Moderate	116	14.4	116	14.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	232	28.9	230	29.0	2	20.0	0	0.0
Upper	431	53.7	425	53.6	6	60.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	803	100.0	793	100.0	10	100.0	0	0.0
	ercent of To			98.8		1.2		0.0

TABLE D-6B DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY SAN ANTONIO ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank	Loans		% of Owner Occupied
Income Level	###	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Units
		Home Pu	rchase Loans		Sayon Silling Sayon
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.3
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	26.6
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	32.3
Upper	1	140	100.0	100.0	35.8
Total	1	140	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Home Re	finance Loans	Section 2 Section 2	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.3
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	26.6
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	32.3
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	35.8
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		Home Impr	ovement Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.3
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	26.6
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	32.3
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	35.8
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		Multifa	amily Loans		% of Multifamily Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	7.4
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	35.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	31.4
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	25.3
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		Total Home	Mortgage Loan	S	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.3
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	26.6
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	32.3
Upper	1	140	100.0	100.0	35.8
Total	1	140	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE D-6C DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY SAN ANTONIO ASSESSMENT AREA							
Census Tract		% of					
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Businesses		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.7		
Moderate	11	2,708	52.4	48.3	23.0		
Middle	1	749	4.8	13.4	30.1		
Upper	9	2,147	42.9	38.3	41.0		
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.2		

DISTRIBUTIO	BYI	TABLE 5 HOME MOR BORROWER IN ANTONIO ASS	TGAGE DISC	LS	TLOANS
Borrow Income Level	V. I	Bank	Loans		% of Families
Borrow income Lever	#	\$(000s)	#%	\$%	70 Of Fairnies
		Home Purch	ase Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	24.0
Moderate	1	140	100.0	100.0	17.3
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.2
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	39.4
Total	1	140	100.0	100.0	100.0
	art Carl Hi	Refinance	d Loans	Name and The	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	24.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.3
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.2
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	39.4
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		Home Improve	ment Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	24.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.3
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.2
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	39.4
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		Total Home Mor	tgage Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	24.0
Moderate	1	140	100.0	100.0	17.3
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.2
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	39.4
Total	1	140	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE D-6E DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 BUSINESS LOANS BY REVENUE SIZE SAN ANTONIO ASSESSMENT AREA Small Business Loans % of Businesses **Business Revenue** # \$(000) #% \$% by Revenue \$1MM or Less 252 28.6 93.2 6 4.5 Over \$1MM 14 5,327 66.7 95.1 6.5 Income Not Known 4.8 1 25 0.4 0.3

7) 2015 Limited Review Austin, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area

			AUSTIN AS			PHICS		
Income Trac Categories Distribu			Families By Tract Income		Families < Level as Families	a % of	Families By Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	35	16.1	33,201	14.9	10,880	32.8	54,973	24.6
Moderate	55	25.2	49,197	22.0	7,633	15.5	37,874	17.0
Middle	58	26.6	55,213	24.7	3,396	6.2	39,315	17.6
Upper	67	30.7	85,769	38.4	2,852	3.3	91,218	40.8
Unknown	3	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	218	100.0	223,380	100.0	24,761	11.1	223,380	100.0
				Hous	ing Type By	Tract	IN SECTION	A TOTAL
	Housing	O	wner-Occupi		Ren		Vaca	ant
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	68,485	15,543	7.6	22.7	44,286	64.7	8,656	12.6
Moderate	100,239	40,404	19.7	40.3	50,862	50.7	8,973	9.0
Middle	113,606	55,037	26.8	48.4	50,699	44.6	7,870	6.9
Upper	145,261	94,519	46.0	65.1	39,512	27.2	11,230	7.7
Unknown	0	0-4,010	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	427,591	205,503	100.0	48.1	185,359	43.3	36,729	8.6
Total AA	427,001	200,000	100.0		esses By Tra			0.0
	Total Bus	sinesses	Logo Th		l	Lt & Revent		o Not
	by T		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	6,139	8.3	5,528	8.1	590	11.9	21	7.2
Moderate	12,051	16.4	10,980	16.0	1,019	20.6	52	17.9
Middle	16,607	22.5	15,516	22.7	1,051	21.2	40	13.8
Upper	38,811	52.7	36,347	53.1	2,288	46.2	176	60.7
Unknown	54	0.1	44	0.1	9	0.2	1	0.3
Total AA	73,662	100.0	68,415	100.0	4,957	100.0	290	100.0
Per	cent of Total	l Businesse	s:	92.9		6.7		0.4
200	T-4-15			Farr	ns By Tract &	Revenue	Size	
	Total F by Ti		Less Th \$1 Mi		Over \$1	Million	Revenu Repo	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	25	3.7	23	3.4	2	40.0	0	0.0
Moderate	105	15.6	104	15.6	1	20.0	0	0.0
Middle	113	16.8	112	16.8	1	20.0	0	0.0
Upper	430	63.9	429	64.2	1	20.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	673	100.0	668	100.0	5	100.0	0	0.0
	Percent of To		000	99.3	,	0.7	U	0.0

Upper

Total

4

4

TABLE D-7B DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY **AUSTIN ASSESSMENT AREA Census Tract Bank Loans** % of Owner **Occupied Units** Income Level # #% \$% \$(000) **Home Purchase Loans** 0 0.0 7.6 Low 19.7 **Moderate** 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 26.8 Middle 0 0 0.0 Upper 3 1,254 100.0 100.0 46.0 3 100.0 Total 1,254 100.0 100.0 **Home Refinance Loans** Low 0 0.0 7.6 0 0.0 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 19.7 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 26.8 316 100.0 100.0 46.0 Upper 1 100.0 Total 1 316 100.0 100.0 **Home Improvement Loans** 0.0 7.6 Low 0 0 0.0 0.0 **Moderate** 0 0 0.0 19.7 0 0 0.0 26.8 Middle 0.0 Upper 0 0 0.0 0.0 46.0 Total 0 0 0.0 0.0 100.0 % of **Multifamily Loans** Multifamily Units 0 0.0 0.0 27.0 Low 0 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 25.3 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 25.5 0 0.0 Upper 0 0.0 22.2 Total 0 0 0.0 0.0 100.0 **Total Home Mortgage Loans** 0.0 7.6 Low 0 0.0 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 19.7 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 26.8

1,570

1,570

100.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

46.0

100.0

TABLE D-7C DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY AUSTIN ASSESSMENT AREA								
Census Tract	744 - 15	% of						
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Businesses			
Low	0	Ó	0.0	0.0	8.3			
Moderate	2	760	12.5	24.4	16.4			
Middle	3	456	18.8	14.6	22.5			
Upper	11	1,905	68.8	61.0	52.7			

DISTRIBUTIO	BY	TABLE 5 HOME MORT BORROWER IN USTIN ASSESS	TGAGE DISC	LS	TLOANS
Borrow Income Level		Bank l	Loans		% of Families
	#	\$(000s)	#%	\$%	
principal series in the contract of		Home Purcha	se Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	24.6
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.6
Upper	3	1,254	100.0	100.0	40.8
Total	3	1,254	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Refinance	Loans	gyasian I pyllin	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	24.6
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.6
Upper	11	316	100.0	100.0	40.8
Total	1	316	100.0	100.0	100.0
The state of the s	100 7 100	Home Improve	ment Loans	111	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	24.6
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.6
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	40.8
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		Total Home Mor	tgage Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	24.6
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.6
Upper	4	1,570	100.0	100.0	40.8
Total	4	1,570	100.0	100.0	100.0

DISTR		TABLE 2015 BUSINE USTIN ASSES	SS LOANS B		SIZE
Duniana Damana	HISTORY PA	% of Businesses			
Business Revenue	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	by Revenue
\$1MM or Less	11	1,917	68.8	61.4	92.9
Over \$1MM	5	1,204	31.3	38.6	6.7
Income Not Known	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.4

8) 2015 Limited Review McMullen, Texas Assessment Area

			T CMULLEN SSESSME		MENT AR			
Income Tract Categories Distribution			Families By Tract Income		Level a	< Poverty s a % of by Tract	Families By Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	12.2
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	9.9
Middle	1	100.0	172	100.0	9	5.2	68	39.5
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	66	38.4
Total AA	1	100.0	172	100.0	9	5.2	172	100.0
TO EXTRACT OF THE PARTY OF	Bruth La Road		To Tage	Hous	ing Type By	/ Tract		10 11 21 1
	Housing	Ov	vner-Occupi			ntal	Vac	cant
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	524	255	100.0	48.7	52	9.9	217	41.4
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	524	255	100.0	48.7	52	9.9	217	41.4
Total AA	324	200	100.0			act & Revenu		41.4
	The second section and the section and the second section and the second section and the second section and the section and the second section and the section and the second section and the	Total Businesses by Tract Less Than or = \$1 Million		an or =	Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	42	100.0	34	100.0	5	100.0	3	100.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	42	100.0	34	100.0	5	100.0	3	100.0
	ent of Total	l Businesse		81.0	Market Inc.	11.9		7.1
ules, w					ms By Tract	& Revenue S	Size	
	Total Bus by Ti		Less Th	an or =		1 Million	Reven	ue Not orted
III III	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	14	100.0	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	14	100.0	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	ercent of To			100.0		0.0		0.0

Total

0

TABLE D-8B DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY MCMULLEN ASSESSMENT AREA **Census Tract Bank Loans** % of Owner Income Level # \$(000) #% \$% **Occupied Units Home Purchase Loans** Low 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 100.0 Upper 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total 0 0 0.0 0.0 100.0 **Home Refinance Loans** Low 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 100.0 Upper 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total 0 0 0.0 100.0 0.0 Home Improvement Loans Low 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 100.0 Upper 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 Total 0 0 0.0 0.0 100.0 % of Multifamily **Multifamily Loans** Units Low 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 100.0 Upper 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total 0 0 0.0 0.0 100.0 Total Home Mortgage Loans Low 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 100.0 Upper 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0

0

0.0

0.0

100.0

TABLE D-8C DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY MCMULLEN ASSESSMENT AREA								
Census Tract		% of						
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Businesses			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0			
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

DISTRIE	E	TABLE 2015 HOME MOR BY BORROWER MCMULLEN ASS	RTGAGE DISCI	LS	Γ LOANS
Borrow Income		Bank L	oans		% of Families
Level	#	\$(000s)	#%	\$%	70 OF Families
		Home Purcl	hase Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.2
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	39.5
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	38.4
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0
SILVER THE TANK	A 1 2 1 1 1 1	Refinance	ed Loans	TO THE REAL PROPERTY.	The Reservoir
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.2
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	39.5
Upper	0	0	0,0	0.0	38.4
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		Home Improv	ement Loans	WI I	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.2
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	39.5
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	38.4
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0,0	100.0
		Total Home Mo	ortgage Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.2
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	39.5
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	38.4
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0

DISTR		F 2015 BUSIN	E D-8E IESS LOANS SESSMENT A		E SIZE
Business Revenue		% of Businesses by			
Busiliess Reveilue	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Revenue
\$1MM or Less	0	0	0.0	0.0	81.0
Over \$1MM	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.9
Income Not Known	0	0	0.0	0.0	7.1

9) 2015 Limited Review Hutchinson, Kansas Assessment Area

			CHINSON		A MENT ARI DEMOGRA			
Income Categories		Tract Distribution		Families By Tract Income		< Poverty s a % of by Tract		ies By Income
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3,459	20.4
Moderate	7	41.2	6,586	38.8	1,157	17.6	3,375	19.9
Middle	8	47.1	6,925	40.8	420	6.1	3,902	23.0
Upper	2	11.8	3,482	20.5	187	5.4	6,257	36.8
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	17	100.0	16,993	100.0	1,764	10.4	16,993	100.0
		e nurski s		Housi	ng Type By	Tract	No. of Section	II O SUI
1 7 7 7 7 7	Housing	Ow	ner-Occupie			ntal	Vac	ant
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	12,332	6,943	37.5	56.3	4,056	32.9	1,333	10.8
Middle	11,040	7,842	42.3	71.0	2,451	22.2	747	6.8
Upper	4,860	3,739	20.2	76.9	845	17.4	276	5.7
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	28,232	18,524	100.0	65.6	7,352	26.0	2,356	8.3
			Businesses By Tract & Revenue Size					
	Total Bus		Less Th	an or =	n or = Over \$1		Revenue Not Reported	
1 1 1 1 1 2	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	1,034	40.4	910	39.8	109	46.2	15	46.9
Middle	1,069	41.8	952	41.6	100	42.4	17	53.1
Upper	454	17.8	427	18.7	27	11.4	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	2,557	100.0	2,289	100.0	236	100.0	32	100.0
	cent of Tota			89.5	SID LES	9.2		1.3
					ns By Tract		Size	
	Total F by T		Less Th	an or =		Million	Reven Repo	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	92	27.2	92	27.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	224	66.3	223	66.2	1	100.0	0	0.0
Upper	22	6.5	22	6.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	338	100.0	337	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
	Percent of To		30.	99.7		0.3	· ·	0.0

Low

Upper

Low

Upper

Low

Upper

TABLE D-9B DISTRIBUTION OF 2015 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY **HUTCHINSON ASSESSMENT AREA Census Tract Bank Loans** % of Owner Income Level **Occupied Units** # \$(000) \$% #% **Home Purchase Loans** 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Moderate 953 6 60.0 58.9 37.5 Middle 5.9 42.3 1 96 10.0 3 568 30.0 35.1 20.2 Total 10 1,617 100.0 100.0 100.0 **Home Refinance Loans** 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Moderate 1 61 20.0 11.6 37.5 Middle 4 465 0.08 88.4 42.3 0 0 0.0 0.0 20.2 526 Total 5 100.0 100.0 100.0 **Home Improvement Loans** 0 0 0.0 0.0 2 Moderate 41 66.7 91.1 37.5 Middle 1 4 33.3 8.9 42.3 0 0 20.2 0.0 0.0 Total 3 45 100.0 100.0 100.0 % of **Multifamily Loans** Multifamily

		indicinal in a second			Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	46.1
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	35.9
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	18.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0
	То	tal Home Mortga	ige Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate =	9	1,055	50.0	48.2	37.5
Middle	6	565	33.3	25.8	42.3
Upper	3	568	16.7	26.0	20.2
Total	18	2,188	100.0	100.0	100.0

	BY IN	TABLE UTION OF 2019 COME LEVEL CHINSON ASS	5 BUSINESS I OF GEOGRAI	PHY	
Census Tract		% of			
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Businesses
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	1	100	14.3	5.1	40.4
Middle	5	1,283	71.4	66.0	41.8
Upper	1	561	14.3	28.9	17.8

DISTRIE	B	TABLE 015 HOME MOR 7 BORROWER I TCHINSON ASS	TGAGE DISCL	S	LOANS
Borrow Income		Bank L	oans		% of Families
Level	#	\$(000s)	#%	\$%	70 01 7 4 11 100
		Home Purch	ase Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.4
Moderate	3	374	30.0	23.1	19.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	23.0
Upper	6	1,193	60.0	73.8	36.8
Unknown	1	50	10.0	3.1	0.0
Total	10	1,617	100.0	100.0	100.0
A - Test Test Test Test Test		Refinance	ed Loans		
Low	1	115	20.0	21.9	20.4
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.9
Middle	2	151	40.0	28.7	23.0
Upper	2	260	40.0	49.4	36.8
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	5	526	100.0	100.0	100.0
3		Home Improve	ement Loans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.4
Moderate	1	4	33.3	8.9	19.9
Middle =	2	41	66.7	91.1	23.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	36.8
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	3	45	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Total Home Mo	rtgage Loans		
Low	1	115	5.6	5.3	20.4
Moderate	4	378	22.2	17.3	19.9
Middle	4	192	22.2	8.8	23.0
Upper	8	1,453	44.4	66.4	36.8
Unknown	1	50	5.6	2.3	0.0
Total	18	2,188	100.0	100.0	100.0

DISTRI		TABLE 2015 BUSINES CHINSON ASSI	S LOANS BY		SIZE
Business Revenue		% of Businesses by			
	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	Revenue
\$1MM or Less	5	1,598	71.4	82.2	89.5
Over \$1MM	2	346	28.6	17.8	9.2
Income Not Known	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.3

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT APPENDIX E 2013 ANALYSIS TABLES

- 1) 2013 Full Review Oklahoma City, Oklahoma Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 2) 2013 Full Review Stillwater, Oklahoma Assessment Area
- 3) 2013 Full Review Dallas, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 4) 2013 Limited Review Tulsa, Oklahoma Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 5) 2013 Limited Review San Antonio, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 6) 2013 Limited Review Austin, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area
- 7) 2013 Limited Review McMullen, Texas Assessment Area

Footnotes for all Analysis Tables

- Census tract income classifications, percentage of families by income classifications, percentage of owner occupied housing units, and multifamily housing units by tract, and percentage of businesses and farms by tract are based on 2010 ACS five-year estimates and 2010 FFIEC's Census tract designations.
- Business and farm data is based on 2013 D&B data.
- Aggregate HMDA data reflects all originations in the AA reported by all HMDA filers.
- Aggregate CRA LAR data reports only business loans in amounts of \$1 million or less.
- The borrower distribution analysis for business loans focuses on the bank's lending to "small businesses" as defined by the CRA, which are those businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less.
- Low-income census tracts are those geographies with MFI less than 50 percent of the MSA or statewide nonMSA MFI based on 2010 ACS five-year estimate data; moderate-income equals 50 percent to 80 percent; middle income is 80 percent to 120 percent; and upper income is greater than 120 percent.
- Percentages may not equal 100 percent due to slight rounding differences. Also, aggregate percentages may not equal 100 percent due to the omission of loans with unknown census tract information.
- For the borrower distribution tables, total HMDA loans with not foot, as multifamily loans are not considered in the borrower distribution.

1) 2013 Full Review Oklahoma City, Oklahoma Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area

			HOMA CITY		SMENT AR			
Income Categories	Distril	Tract Distribution		Families By Tract Income		Poverty a % of by Tract	Families By Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	32	9.2	14,308	4.9	5,009	35.0	61,356	21.2
Moderate	93	26.7	64,123	22.1	11,684	18.2	51,353	17.7
Middle	131	37.6	124,223	42.9	10,826	8.7	59,429	20.5
Upper	88	25.3	86,953	30.0	2,858	3.3	117,479	40.6
Unknown	4	1.1	10	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	348	100.0	289,617	100.0	30,377	10.5	289,617	100.0
		Company of the control of the contro	-28 9076 7		ing Type By		F. F. Y. L. V. S	127 421
	Housing	Ov	vner-Occupi		Ren		Vaca	ant
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	29,238	10,004	3.4	34.2	13,671	46.8	5,563	19.0
Moderate	133,720	55,313	18.9	41.4	57,974	43.4	20,433	15.3
Middle	204,659	129,854	44.5	63.4	54,500	26.6	20,305	9.9
Upper	131,321	96,712	33.1	73.6	25,722	19.6	8,887	6.8
Unknown	267	10	0.0	0.0	182	68.2	75	28.1
Total AA	499,205	291,893	100.0	58.5	152,049	30.5	55,263	11.1
			Businesses By Tract & Revenue Size					
	Total Bus		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	3,637	6.1	3,009	5.6	491	12.5	137	6.9
Moderate	12,349	20.6	10,914	20.3	983	25.0	452	22.7
Middle	23,606	39.5	21,416	39.7	1,410	35.9	780	39.3
Upper	19,592	32.8	18,076	33.5	923	23.5	593	29.8
Unknown	618	1.0	471	0.9	122	3.1	25	1.3
Total AA	59,802	100.0	53,886	100.0	3,929	100.0	1,987	100.0
	ent of Total			90.1	3,323	6.6	1,307	3.3
Terc	T Total	Dusinesses	•		ns By Tract 8		2 in a	3.3
	Total I by T		Less Tha	an or =	Over \$1		Revenu Repo	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	20	1.3	16	1.0	4	20.0	0	0.0
Moderate	191	12.1	187	12.0	4	20.0	0	0.0
Middle	886	56.0	877	56.1	9	45.0	0	0.0
Upper	482	30.5	479	30.7	3	15.0	0	0.0
Unknown	3	0.2	3	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	1,582	100.0	1,562	100.0	20	100.0	0	0.0
	ercent of To		14	98.7		1.3	_	0.0

TABLE E-1B DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY OKLAHOMA CITY ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank l	Loans		Aggrega Da		% of Owner
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
	4000	all will realize	Home Pu	rchase Loar	าร		
Low	11	166	0.8	0.6	1,1	0.6	3.4
Moderate	8	900	6.3	3.5	14.7	8.6	18.9
Middle	31	3,958	24.2	15.3	38.1	31.4	44.5
Upper	88	20,896	68.8	80.6	46.0	59.5	33.1
Total	128	25,920	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		NAZ W	Home Ref	inance Loai	ns	THE PARTY OF THE P	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.0	3.4
Moderate	9	1,334	9.9	2.8	13.1	11.0	18.9
Middle	11	1,797	12.1	3.7	39.0	43.3	44.5
Upper	71	44,828	78.0	93.5	46.5	44.7	33.1
Total	91	47,959	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			Home Impre	ovement Lo	ans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.8	1.4	3.4
Moderate	2	122	10.0	3.4	17.4	12.6	18.9
Middle	3	519	15.0	14.4	45.8	37.8	44.5
Upper	15	2,963	75.0	82.2	34.0	48.3	33.1
Total	20	3,604	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Multifamily	Loans	1 2 2		% of Multifamily Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.1	1.6	5.8
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	48.0	40.9	41.5
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	28.5	35.3	33.4
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.5	22.2	19.1
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	N 15-34 1 -	Ť	otal Home	Mortgage Lo	oans		
Low	1	166	0.4	0.2	1.4	0.8	3.4
Moderate	19	2,356	7.9	3.0	14.4	11.5	18.9
Middle	45	6,274	18.8	8.1	39.1	37.7	44.5
Upper	174	68,687	72.8	88.6	45.0	49.9	33.1
Total	239	77,483	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

March 21, 2016

TABLE E-1C DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY OKLAHOMA CITY ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Business	s Loans	1 - V 6 - F	Aggregate	Aggregate CRA Data % o		
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses	
Low	22	5,052	13.0	12.8	7.2	10.3	6.1	
Moderate	32	9,246	18.9	23.4	19.8	20.3	20.6	
Middle	64	12,533	37.9	31.8	33.3	32.6	39.5	
Upper	50	12,143	29.6	30.8	36.0	34.1	32.8	
Unknown⁴	1	500	0.6	1.3	1.4	1.9	1.0	

TABLE E-1D DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS OKLAHOMA CITY ASSESSMENT AREA

Borrow Income		Bank	Loans			egate A Data	% of
Level	#	\$(000s)	# %	\$%	#%	\$%	Families
	a liver in	FEET ALL T	lome Purcha	se Loans		Con her word	mercanic E na
Low	1	99	0.8	0.4	5.6	2.7	21.2
Moderate	6	888	4.7	3.4	17.4	11.8	17.7
Middle	17	2,741	13.3	10.6	19.6	17.5	20.5
Upper	101	21,638	78.9	83.5	37.7	48.6	40.6
Unknown	3	554	2.3	2.1	19.7	19.4	0.0
Total	128	25,920	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refinanced	Loans			
Low	1	69	1,:1	0.1	5.0	1.5	21.2
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.7	4.2	17.7
Middle	11	1,719	12.1	3.6	17.6	8.0	20.5
Upper	72	15,110	79.1	31.5	43.4	32.1	40.6
Unknown	7	31,061	7.7	64.8	22.4	54.2	0.0
Total	91	47,959	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Но	me Improver	nent Loans			The same of the
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	7.5	3.7	21.2
Moderate	1	5	5.0	0,1	16.9	9.4	17.7
Middle	3	270	15.0	7.5	26.3	16.6	20.5
Upper	16	3,329	80.0	92.4	44.1	59.6	40.6
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.2	10.7	0.0
Total	20	3,604	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Tota	I Home Mor	tgage Loans			The same of the sa
Low	2	168	0.8	0.2	5.5	2.0	21.2
Moderate	7	893	2.9	1.2	15.0	7.3	17.7
Middle	31	4,730	13.0	6.1	19.4	11.7	20.5
Upper	189	40,077	79.1	51.7	40.4	37.9	40.6
Unknown	10	31,615	4.2	40.8	19.7	41.1	0.0
Total	239	77,483	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

DI	STRIBUT	TON OF 20°	13 BUSIN		NS BY RE\ ENT AREA		E
Business Revenue By		Business Loans			Aggregate	% of	
Size	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses
\$1MM or less	89	16,261	52.7	41.2	43.0 40.6		90.1
Over \$1MM	78	23,154	46.2	58.7	Not Reported -		6.6
Not Known	2	59	1.2	0.1			3.3

2) 2013 Full Review Stillwater, Oklahoma Assessment Area

			LWATER		NENT ARE			
Income Categories		Tract Distribution		Families By Tract Income		Poverty a % of by Tract	Families By Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	1	5.9	87	0.5	26	29.9	2,923	18.2
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,675	16.7
Middle	13	76.5	12,059	75.1	1,465	12.1	2,967	18.5
Upper	3	17.6	3,909	24.3	245	6.3	7,490	46.7
Total AA	17	100.0	16,055	100.0	1,736	10.8	16,055	100.0
	0.00		Housing Type By Tract					
	Housing	Ow	ner-Occupie		Rer		Vac	ant
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit
Low	524	21	0.1	4.0	356	67.9	147	28.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	26,190	11,532	74.5	44.0	10,942	41.8	3,716	14.2
Upper	6,587	3,936	25.4	59.8	1,948	29.6	703	10.7
Total AA	33,301	15,489	100.0	46.5	13,246	39.8	4,566	13.7
	Territoria de la compansión de la compan				esses By Tra			NEOF BUT
	Total Bus	CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE P	Less Th \$1 Mi	an or =	Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	62	1.9	43	1.5	11	5.5	8	8.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	2,503	77.5	2,269	77.5	158	78.6	76	76.0
Upper	664	20.6	616	21.0	32	15.9	16	16.0
Total AA	3,229	100.0	2,928	100.0	201	100.0	100	100.0
Per	cent of Total	Businesses		90.7	PH. MINISTER	6.2		3.1
				Fari	ms By Tract	& Revenue	Size	112
	Total F by T		Less Th \$1 Mi	an or =	Over \$1	15 -2.3	Reven Repo	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	117	68.0	117	68.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Upper	55	32.0	55	32.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	172	100.0	172	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Percent of To			100.0		0.0	_	0.0

TABLE E-2B DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSRE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract Income Level		Bank I	Loans	Aggregate HMDA Data		% of Owner	
	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
	ME TO L		Home Pu	rchase Loar	s	FINANCE CONTRACT	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	56	7,508	34.4	24.5	65.4	52.6	74.5
Upper	107	23,183	65.6	75.5	34.6	47.4	25.4
Total	163	30,691	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A ROSS I ESTI			Home Ref	inance Loar	is		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	59	9,288	45.7	36.6	67.6	69.1	74.5
Upper	70	16,064	54.3	63.4	32.2	30.8	25.4
Total	129	25,352	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
si i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	N 1 3 4		Home Impr	ovement Lo	ans		CONTRACTOR OF THE
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	11	548	50.0	4.1	68.6	18.7	74.5
Upper	11	12,954	50.0	95.9	31.4	81.3	25.4
Total	22	13,502	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		% of Multifamily Units					
Low	1	9,760	14.3	64.3	9.1	53.0	6.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	5	4,249	71.4	28.0	72.7	38.0	82.0
Upper	1	1,166	14.3	7.7	18.2	9.1	11.8
Total	7	15,175	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Total Home	Mortgage Lo	ans		-XEAR CO.
Low	1	9,760	0.3	11.5	0.1	3.0	0.1
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	131	21,593	40.8	25.5	66.7	58.1	74.5
Upper	189	53,367	58.9	63.0	33.2	38.9	25.4
Total	321	84,720	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE E-2C DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA											
Census Tract Income Level	WA WEND	Busines	s Loans	Aggregate CRA Data		% of					
	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses				
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1:1	0.6	1.9				
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Middle	63	9,919	74.1	66.7	71.3	72.9	77.5				
Upper	22	4,960	25.9	33.3	23.6	26.0	20.6				

TABLE E-2D DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS STILLWATER ASSESSMENT AREA Aggregate Borrow **Bank Loans HMDA Data** % of Families Income Level # \$(000s)#% \$% #% \$% **Home Purchase Loans** 285 Low 2 1.2 2.4 0.9 1.4 18.2 Moderate 8 935 4.9 3.0 11.4 7.0 16.7 Middle 19 2,562 11.7 8.3 16.8 13.9 18.5 Upper 129 25,890 79.1 84.4 51.7 60.9 46.7 Unknown 5 1,019 3.1 3.3 17.7 16.8 0.0 Total 163 30,691 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 **Refinanced Loans** Low 0 0 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.6 18.2 **Moderate** 6 506 4.7 2.0 8.3 3.0 16.7 Middle 14 1,675 10.9 6.6 14.0 7.0 18.5 Upper 17,370 102 79.1 68.5 63.2 48.8 46.7 Unknown 5,801 5.4 22.9 12.8 40.6 0.0 7 Total 129 25,352 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 **Home Improvement Loans** Low 1 10 4.5 0.1 6.4 1.2 18.2 Moderate 2 8 9.1 0.1 5.0 1.4 16.7 2 Middle 143 9.1 1.1 22.9 4.5 18.5 Upper 1,833 68.2 13.6 62.1 15 29.7 46.7 Unknown 2 11,508 9.1 85.2 3.6 63.2 0.0 100.0 Total 22 13,502 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total Home Mortgage Loans 295 Low 3 0.9 0.3 2.4 0.9 18.2 5.0 Moderate 16 1,449 1.7 9.5 4.3 16.7 Middle 35 4,380 10.9 5.2 15.9 9.1 18.5 Upper 246 45,093 76.6 53.2 57.4 49.7 46.7 Unknown 21 33,503 6.5 14.9 39.5 35.9 0.0 Total 321 84,720 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0

D	ISTRIBU	TION OF 20 STILLW				VENUE SIZ	Έ
Business Revenue By		Business	Loans	Aggregate CRA Data		% of	
Size	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses
\$1MM or less	53	8,476	62.4	57.0	45.4	45.4 52.8	
Over \$1MM	31	6,327	36.5	42.5	Not Reported		6.2
Not Known	1	76	1.2	0.5			3.1

3) 2013 Full Review Dallas, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area

			ALLAS AS		A ENT AREA DEMOGRA	PHICS			
Income Categorie	Tra Distrit		Famili Tract li		Level as	< Poverty s a % of by Tract	Families By Family Income		
S	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	106	15.6	92,987	12.6	30,202	32.5	190,456	25.7	
Moderate	186	27.3	193,304	26.1	34,479	17.8	127,067	17.2	
Middle	161	23.6	189,886	25.6	15,137	8.0	130,833	17.7	
Upper	225	33.0	264,540	35.7	7,676	2.9	292,370	39.5	
Unknown	3	0.4	9	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	681	100.0	740,726	100.0	87,494	11.8	740,726	100.0	
2U 5 U/4 % 5	THAT LIES HAVE	5 To Rus 3 1	200 - V V	Hous	ing Type By	Tract		JUNEAU V	
	Housing	Ow	ner-Occupio		Ren		Vac	ant	
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	
Low	180,374	43,144	6.7	23.9	108,505	60.2	28,725	15.9	
Moderate	317,082	146,021	22.7	46.1	134,746	42.5	36,315	11.5	
Middle	315,800	172,806	26.8	54.7	116,016	36.7	26,978	8.5	
Upper	406,061	282,693	43.8	69.6	96,453	23.8	26,915	6.6	
Unknown	18	18	0.0	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	1,219,335	644,682	100.0	52.9	455,720	37.4	118,933	9.8	
W. S. T. LV.			101 S 3 A	Busin	esses By Tra	ct & Reven		< 2 1,1272	
	Total Bus by T			Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		ue Not orted	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	# '	%	
Low	19,338	9.8	16,539	9.3	1,952	15.7	847	11.6	
Moderate	40,704	20.7	35,838	20.2	3,210	25.8	1,656	22.6	
Middle	49,425	25.1	44,680	25.2	2,785	22.4	1,960	26.8	
Upper	86,968	44.2	79,737	45.0	4,394	35.3	2,837	38.8	
Unknown	511	0.3	400	0.2	96	0.8	15	0.2	
Total AA	196,946	100.0	177,194	100.0	12,437	100.0	7,315	100.0	
	ent of Total B			90.0	0 202 20	6.3	7,010	3.7	
			- 1		ms By Tract		Size		
		Total Farms by Tract		an or =	Over \$1		Reven		
*	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	56	4.1	50	3.7	5	27.8	1	20.0	
Moderate	156	11.4	153	11.3	2	11.1	1	20.0	
Middle	404	29.4	403	29.9	1	5.6	Ö	0.0	
Upper	756	55.1	743	55.0	10	55.6	3	60.0	
Unknown	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	1,373	100.0	1,350	100.0	18	100.0	5	100.0	
	Percent of To		.,,	98.3		1.3		0.4	

TABLE E-3B DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY DALLAS ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank	Loans			ate HMDA ata	% of Owner-	
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units	
			Home Pu	rchase Loai	ns			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.6	6.7	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.2	7.4	22.7	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	26.7	19.3	26.8	
Upper	2	515	100.0	100.0	58.6	71.6	43.8	
Total	2	515	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
		2 1 W V- 2 1 1	Home Ref	inance Loa	ns	MS INTE		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.5	6.7	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.1	8.5	22.7	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	23.8	23.7	26.8	
Upper	2	553	100.0	100.0	63.0	66.2	43.8	
Total	2	553	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
SA SALIDA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A		No. (Carrie	Home Impre	ovement Lo	ans			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	3.3	1.0	6.7	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	15.2	6.6	22.7	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.1	11.9	26.8	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	62.4	80.5	43.8	
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
	14 48		Multifamily	Loans			% of Multifamily Units	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	28.1	14.7	27.1	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	31.8	25.7	26.4	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	22.3	39.5	23.7	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	17.8	20.1	22.8	
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
	. 12		otal Home	Mortgage L	oans	A 18 A		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.3	6.7	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.8	9.0	22.7	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	25.1	22.5	26.8	
Upper	4	1,068	100.0	100.0	60.6	66.2	43.8	
Total	4	1,068	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

	D		ON OF 20 ME LEVE	E E-3C 13 BUSIN L OF GEO SSMENT	GRAPHY	IS	
Census Tract	hi sa les ion.	Busines	s Loans		Aggregate	% of	
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses
Low	1	553	5.0	11.2	9.9	11.9	9.8
Moderate	2	550	10.0	11.1	18.4	21.6	20.7
Middle	3	980	15.0	19.9	21.5	21.2	25.1
Upper	14	2,852	70.0	57.8	48.6	44.1	44.2
Unknown⁴	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.3

DISTR	IBUTION		OME MO	E E-3D RTGAGE I INCOME I	LEVELS	JRE ACT L	OANS
Borrow Income		official efficiency	Loans	Aggrega	ate HMDA ata	% of Families	
Level	#	\$(000s)	#%	\$ %	#%	\$%	
			Home Puro	hase Loans	الرجام والدار	EALS INC.	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.2	2.1	25.7
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	14.1	8.4	17.2
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	16.8	13.3	17.7
Upper	2	515	100.0	100.0	50.3	65.4	39.5
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	13.5	10.8	0.0
Total	2	515	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	- V	HIVE B	Refinanc	ed Loans		7 4 7	A LOW TO A WINDOW
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.1	1.6	25.7
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	9.6	4.4	17.2
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	14.8	8.4	17.7
Upper	2	553	100.0	100.0	50.8	52.7	39.5
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.6	32.9	0.0
Total	2	553	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			lome Impro	vement Loar	าร		4 y 1, 13 40 1
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	6.2	1,4	25.7
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	11,2	3.8	17.2
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	15.1	9.0	17.7
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	62.9	78.2	39.5
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.6	7.7	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		To	tal Home M	ortgage Loa	ns		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.7	1.7	25.7
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.9	6.0	17.2
Middle	0	0	00	0.0	15.8	10.2	17.7
Upper	4	1,068	100.0	100.0	50.7	55.7	39.5
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	16.9	26.3	0.0
Total	4	1,068	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

DI	STRIBUT	TON OF 20°				/ENUE SIZ	E 1
Business Revenue By		Busines	s Loans	Aggregate	% of		
Size	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses
\$1MM or less	12	1,632	60.0	33.1	47.5 34.5		90.0
Over \$1MM	8	3,303	40.0	66.9	Not Reported		6.3
Not Known	0	0	0.0	0.0			3.7

4) 2013 Limited Review Tulsa, Oklahoma Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area

Low 2 Moderate 7 Middle 8 Upper 8 Unknown Total AA 26 Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown	Tract 25,646 71,270 80,364 87,284	10.9 29.7 28.0 31.4 0.0 100.0	# 12,018 34,845 45,570 58,144 0 150,577 vner-Occupi % By Tract 5.4 20.6 31.6	% 8.0 23.1 30.3 38.6 0.0 100.0 Hous ed % By Unit 30.9 42.8	Families Level as Families # 4,647 6,691 3,429 2,102 0 16,869 ing Type By Ren # 13,062	38.7 19.2 7.5 3.6 0.0 11.2 Tract	Famili Family # 31,569 26,433 29,558 63,017 0 150,577 Vac	21.0 17.6 19.6 41.9 0.0 100.0
Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Ho Un T Low Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Low Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Low Moderate Middle Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	19 52 49 55 0 175 lousing nits By Tract 25,646 71,270 80,364 87,284	10.9 29.7 28.0 31.4 0.0 100.0 0v # 7,934 30,469 46,887 62,969	12,018 34,845 45,570 58,144 0 150,577 vner-Occupi % By Tract 5.4 20.6 31.6	8.0 23.1 30.3 38.6 0.0 100.0 Hous ed % By Unit 30.9 42.8	4,647 6,691 3,429 2,102 0 16,869 sing Type By Ren #	38.7 19.2 7.5 3.6 0.0 11.2 Tract tal % By Unit	31,569 26,433 29,558 63,017 0 150,577 Vac	21.0 17.6 19.6 41.9 0.0 100.0
Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Ho Un T Low Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Low Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Low Moderate Middle Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	52 49 55 0 175 lousing nits By Tract 25,646 71,270 80,364 87,284	29.7 28.0 31.4 0.0 100.0 Ov # 7,934 30,469 46,887 62,969	34,845 45,570 58,144 0 150,577 vner-Occupi % By Tract 5.4 20.6 31.6	23.1 30.3 38.6 0.0 100.0 Hous ed % By Unit 30.9 42.8	6,691 3,429 2,102 0 16,869 ing Type By Ren #	19.2 7.5 3.6 0.0 11.2 Tract Ital % By Unit	26,433 29,558 63,017 0 150,577 Vac	17.6 19.6 41.9 0.0 100.0 ant % By
Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Ho Un T Low Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Low Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Low Moderate Middle Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	49 55 0 175 lousing hits By Tract 25,646 71,270 80,364 87,284	28.0 31.4 0.0 100.0 Ov # 7,934 30,469 46,887 62,969	45,570 58,144 0 150,577 vner-Occup % By Tract 5.4 20.6 31.6	30.3 38.6 0.0 100.0 Hous ed % By Unit 30.9 42.8	3,429 2,102 0 16,869 sing Type By Ren #	7.5 3.6 0.0 11.2 Tract Ital % By Unit	26,433 29,558 63,017 0 150,577 Vac	19.6 41.9 0.0 100.0 ant % By
Upper Unknown Total AA Ho Un T Low 2 Moderate 7 Middle 8 Upper 8 Unknown Total AA 26 Total AA Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	55 0 175 lousing Inits By Tract 25,646 71,270 80,364 87,284	31.4 0.0 100.0 0v # 7,934 30,469 46,887 62,969	58,144 0 150,577 vner-Occup % By Tract 5.4 20.6 31.6	38.6 0.0 100.0 Hous ed % By Unit 30.9 42.8	2,102 0 16,869 ing Type By Ren #	3.6 0.0 11.2 Tract otal % By Unit	63,017 0 150,577 Vac	41.9 0.0 100.0 ant % By
Unknown Total AA Ho Un T Low Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Low Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA I total AA	0 175 lousing nits By Tract 25,646 71,270 80,364 87,284	0.0 100.0 Ov # 7,934 30,469 46,887 62,969	58,144 0 150,577 vner-Occup % By Tract 5.4 20.6 31.6	0.0 100.0 Hous ed % By Unit 30.9 42.8	0 16,869 sing Type By Ren #	0.0 11.2 Tract tal % By Unit	63,017 0 150,577 Vac	0.0 100.0 ant % By
Unknown Total AA Ho Un T Low Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Low Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA I total AA	175 lousing Inits By Tract 25,646 71,270 80,364 87,284	0.0 100.0 Ov # 7,934 30,469 46,887 62,969	0 150,577 wner-Occup % By Tract 5.4 20.6 31.6	100.0 Hous ed % By Unit 30.9 42.8	0 16,869 sing Type By Ren #	11.2 Tract Ital % By Unit	0 150,577 Vac	100.0 ant % By
Total AA Ho Un T Low Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Low Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA 26	lousing Inits By Tract 25,646 71,270 80,364 87,284	7,934 30,469 46,887 62,969	vner-Occup % By Tract 5.4 20.6 31.6	100.0 Hous ed % By Unit 30.9 42.8	ring Type By Ren # 13,062	11.2 Tract Ital % By Unit	Vac	ant % By
Low 2 Moderate 7 Middle 8 Upper 8 Unknown Total AA 26 Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	lousing Inits By Tract 25,646 71,270 80,364 87,284	7,934 30,469 46,887 62,969	vner-Occup % By Tract 5.4 20.6 31.6	Hous ed % By Unit 30.9 42.8	ring Type By Ren # 13,062	Tract Ital % By Unit	Vac	ant % By
Low 2 Moderate 7 Middle 8 Upper 8 Unknown Total AA 26 Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	25,646 71,270 80,364 87,284	7,934 30,469 46,887 62,969	% By Tract 5.4 20.6 31.6	% By Unit 30.9 42.8	# 13,062	tal % By Unit	#	% By
Low 2 Moderate 7 Middle 8 Upper 8 Unknown Total AA 26 Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	25,646 71,270 80,364 87,284	7,934 30,469 46,887 62,969	% By Tract 5.4 20.6 31.6	% By Unit 30.9 42.8	# 13,062	% By Unit	#	% By
Low 2 Moderate 7 Middle 8 Upper 8 Unknown Total AA 26 Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	25,646 71,270 80,364 87,284	7,934 30,469 46,887 62,969	5.4 20.6 31.6	Unit 30.9 42.8	13,062	Unit	The Francisco	The state of the s
Moderate 7 Middle 8 Upper 8 Unknown Total AA 26 Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	71,270 80,364 87,284	30,469 46,887 62,969	5.4 20.6 31.6	30.9 42.8				
Moderate 7 Middle 8 Upper 8 Unknown Total AA 26 Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	71,270 80,364 87,284	30,469 46,887 62,969	20.6 31.6	42.8			4,650	18.1
Middle 8 Upper 8 Unknown Total AA 26 Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	80,364 87,284	46,887 62,969	31.6		32,154	45.1	8,647	12.1
Upper 8 Unknown Total AA 26 Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	87,284	62,969		58.3	26,290	32.7	7,187	8.9
Unknown Total AA 26 Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3			42.5	72.1	18,950	21.7	5,365	6.1
Total AA 26 Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3			0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0,303	0.0
Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	64,564	148,259	100.0	56.0	90,456	34.2	25,849	9.8
Low Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	.04,304	140,239	100.0		esses By Trac			3.0
Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	Total Bus	sinesses	Less Th		l	or or Levelle	Reveni	ua Niat
Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	by T		\$1 M	\$1 Million Over \$1 Million		Repo		
Moderate Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Middle 1 Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	2,511	7.2	2,070	6.7	363	12.7	78	6.8
Upper 1 Unknown Total AA 3	8,652	24.8	7,303	23.6	1,014	35.4	335	29.0
Unknown Total AA 3	10,016	28.7	8,947	29.0	727	25.4	342	29.6
Total AA 3	13,731	39.3	12,573	40.7	758	26.5	400	34.6
	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Percent of 1	34,910	100.0	30,893	100.0	2,862	100.0	1,155	100.0
	Total Bu	ısinesses:	- 745	88.5		8.2		3.3
	The Pro		- Lung 3-4	Farr	ns By Tract 8	Revenue	Size	
	Total I by T		Less Th	an or =	Over \$1		Reveni	
Y . T Y	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	9	2.7	9	2.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	34	10.3	34	10.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle		37.3	121	37.3	2	33.3	0	0.0
Upper	174 11	49.7	160	49.4	4	66.7	0	0.0
Unknown	123	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	164	100.0	324	100.0	6	100.0	0	0.0
Percer			324	98.2	0	1.8	0	0.0

TABLE E-4B DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY TULSA ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank	Loans			ate HMDA ata	% of Owner-
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
			Home Pur	chase Loar	าร		
Low	1	238	2.3	2.2	1.5	0.8	5.4
Moderate	6	679	13.6	6.4	10.7	5.6	20.6
Middle	8	1,157	18.2	10.9	32.9	26.2	31.6
Upper	29	8,575	65.9	80.5	54.9	67.4	42.5
Total	44	10,649	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 1 1 2 5 = 1 +5			Home Refi	nance Loar	าร		
Low	1	1,006	2.8	11.1	2.0	0.6	5.4
Moderate	1	42	2.8	0.5	12.7	11.8	20.6
Middle	5	833	13.9	9.2	29.6	41.4	31.6
Upper	29	7,167	80.6	79.2	55.7	46.1	42.5
Total	36	9,048	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	TO NEW YORK	W. T. J. L.	Home Impro	vement Lo	ans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.8	1.2	5.4
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	16.3	8.1	20.6
Middle	3	379	42.9	28.8	33.1	22.4	31.6
Upper	4	935	57.1	71.2	47.8	68.4	42.5
Total	7	1,314	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Multifamily	Loans			% of Multifamily Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.0	15.5	11.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	33.3	28.7	34.3
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.0	13.9	28.5
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	26.7	42.0	26.2
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		T	otal Home I	Nortgage Lo	oans		
Low	2	1,244	2.3	5.9	1.8	1.3	5.4
Moderate	7	721	8.0	3.4	11.9	10.0	20.6
Middle	16	2,369	18.4	11.3	31.4	34.0	31.6
Upper	62	16,677	71.3	79.4	54.8	54.7	42.5
Total	87	21,011	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

			ON OF 20	L OF GEO	OGRAPHY	NS	
Census Tract	H HEALE	Busines	s Loans		Aggregate CRA Data		% of
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses
Low	7	1,433	9.0	7.0	6.9	8.2	7.2
Moderate	16	5,042	20.5	24.8	21.9	29.4	24.8
Middle	10	2,392	12.8	11.8	23.8	22.8	28.7
Upper	45	11,460	57.7	56.4	45.6	39.3	39.3
Unknown⁴	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE E-4D DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS TUSLA METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA ASSESSMENT AREA Aggregate **Bank Loans Borrow Income HMDA Data** % of Families Level # \$(000s) #% \$ % #% \$ % Home Purchase Loans 75 2.3 6.1 3.0 21.0 Low 1 0.7 5 5.3 19.0 13.2 17.6 Moderate 562 11.4 19.1 8.0 21.6 19.6 Middle 6 855 13.6 32 86.0 52.6 41.9 Upper 9,157 72.7 40.1 Unknown 0 0 0.0 0.0 13.2 12.2 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 **Total** 44 10,649 Refinanced Loans Low 100 2.8 5.1 1.5 21.0 1 1.1 0 0.0 12.5 4.4 17.6 Moderate 0 0.0 3 7.7 379 8.3 4.2 18.2 19.6 Middle 7,452 33.0 41.9 Upper 30 83.3 82.4 46.3 Unknown 2 1,117 5.6 12.3 18.0 53.5 0.0 Total 36 9,048 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 **Home Improvement Loans** Low 0 0 0.0 0.0 7.6 3.3 21.0 0 0.0 0.0 18.2 10.6 17.6 Moderate 0 Middle 1 71 14.3 5.4 21.3 11.6 19.6 63.9 41.9 5 983 71.4 74.8 46.6 Upper 14.3 Unknown 1 260 19.8 6.3 10.7 0.0 100.0 Total 7 1,314 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total Home Mortgage Loans 2 175 2.3 5.7 2.1 21.0 0.8 Low 7.8 Moderate 5 562 5.7 2.7 16.1 17.6 11.5 12.0 19.6 Middle 10 1,305 6.2 20.0 Upper 67 17,592 77.0 83.7 43.1 39.8 41.9 Unknown 3 1,377 3.4 6.6 15.1 38.4 0.0 Total 87 21,011 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0

D	ISTRIBUT		13 BUSIN	E E-4E IESS LOA SSMENT		VENUE SIZ	E
Business Revenue By		Busines	s Loans	Aggregate CRA Data		% of	
Size	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses
\$1MM or less	42	9,001	53.8	44.3	45.5	45.5 42.5	
Over \$1MM	33	10,226	42.3	50.3	Not Reported		8.2
Not Known	3	1,100	3.8	5.4			3.3

5) 2013 Limited Review San Antonio, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area

			ANTONIC		A SMENT AR DEMOGRA			
Income Categories		act bution		ies By ncome	Level as	< Poverty s a % of by Tract		ies By Income
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	36	9.8	28,260	7.2	10,543	37.3	94,746	24.0
Moderate	115	31.4	118,686	30.1	24,922	21.0	68,289	17.3
Middle	106	29.0	122,797	31.1	11,734	9.6	75,832	19.2
Upper	105	28.7	124,597	31.6	4,978	4.0	155,473	39.4
Unknown	4	1.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	366	100.0	394,340	100.0	52,177	13.2	394,340	100.0
	in fewer acti		Teresia Tres	Hous	ing Type By	Tract	7 Taxa 201	
NIVE SERVICE	Housing	O	wner-Occup		Rei		Vac	ant
	Units By		% By	% By		% By		% By
	Tract	#	Tract	Unit	#	Unit	#	Unit
Low	47,868	19,272	5.3	40.3	22,448	46.9	6,148	12.8
Moderate	201,352	96,350	26.6	47.9	81,313	40.4	23,689	11.8
Middle	203,867	117,164	32.3	57.5	67,732	33.2	18,971	9.3
Upper	189,767	129,900	35.8	68.5	46,045	24.3	13,822	7.3
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	10,022	0.0
Total AA	642,854	362,686	100.0	56.4	217,538	33.8	62,630	9.7
TOTALIA	0-12,00-1	002,000	100.0		esses By Tra			1501.0500
	Total Bu	sinesses	Less Th	Revenue Not				
	H. Hert, S. Breeze	ract	\$1 M	illion		Over \$1 Million R		orted
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	4,547	6.0	3,906	5.7	433	10.4	208	7.0
Moderate	18,852	24.8	16,832	24.4	1,153	27.6	867	29.0
Middle	23,591	31.0	21,289	30.9	1,377	33.0	925	30.9
Upper	28,960	38.0	26,796	38.8	1,179	28.3	985	32.9
Unknown	208	0.3	173	0.3	28	0.7	7	0.2
Total AA	76,158	100.0	68,996	100.0	4,170	100.0	2,992	100.0
Percent	of Total Bu	usinesses:		90.6		5.5		3.9
				Far	ms By Tract	& Revenue	Size	2 16 .
-1-1		Farms ract	Less Th			Over \$1 Million		ue Not orted
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	23	2.8	22	2.7	1	11.1	0	0.0
Moderate	123	15.0	121	14.9	2	22.2	0	0.0
Middle	261	31.8	258	31.8	3	33.3	0	0.0
Upper	413	50.4	410	50.6	3	33.3	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	820	100.0	811	100.0	9	100.0	0	0.0
	ercent of To		011	98.9	3	1.1	U	0.0

TABLE E-5B DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY SAN ANTONIO ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank	Loans			ite HMDA ata	% of Owner-	
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units	
	We Ville		Home Pur	chase Loan	S	0.000		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.8	5.3	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.2	6.6	26.6	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	33.9	25.7	32.3	
Upper	1	117	100.0	100.0	53.7	66.8	35.8	
Total	1	117	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
			Home Ref	inance Loan	S			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.5	5.3	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	10.4	15.9	26.6	
Middle	1	152	100.0	100.0	32.6	32.0	32.3	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	55.8	50.5	35.8	
Total	1	152	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Harman and the same			Home Impro	vement Loa	ins	STREET, THE ST	THE RESIDENCE	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.9	2.8	5.3	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	21.4	12.0	26.6	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	27.2	22.5	32.3	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	46.5	62.8	35.8	
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
			Multifamily	/ Loans			% of Multifamily Units	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.1	2.6	7.4	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	45.8	32.9	35.9	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	23.7	20.8	31.4	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	25.4	43.7	25.3	
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
		Т	otal Home I	Mortgage Lo	ans	W. N.		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.2	5.3	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	11.2	12.5	26.6	
Middle	1	152	50.0	56.5	33.1	28.3	32.3	
Upper	1	117	50.0	43.5	54.3	57.9	35.8	
Total	. 2	269	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

TABLE E-5C DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY SAN ANTONIO ASSESSMENT AREA **Census Tract Business Loans Aggregate CRA Data** % of \$% Income Level # \$(000) #% \$% **Businesses** #% Low 0 0.0 0.0 5.8 7.4 6.0 0 Moderate 3 1,225 14.3 37.1 22.5 23.3 24.8 Middle 23.8 5 875 26.5 27.6 29.6 31.0 Upper 13 1,200 61.9 36.4 42.4 38.6 38.0 Unknown⁴ 0 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.4 0.3 0

TABLE E-5D DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS SAN ANTONIO ASSESSMENT AREA Aggregate **Borrow Income Bank Loans HMDA** Data % of Families Level #% #% # \$(000s) \$% \$% **Home Purchase Loans** Low 0 0 0.0 0.0 4.5 2.0 24.0 Moderate 0 0 17.2 0.0 0.0 11.5 17.3 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 22.7 19.9 19.2 Upper 1 117 100.0 100.0 43.0 56.5 39.4 Unknown 0 0.0 0.0 12.7 10.1 0.0 0 Total 1 117 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Refinanced Loans Low 0 0 0.0 0.0 24.0 4.1 1.4 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 8.9 3.4 17.3 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 14.8 7.0 19.2 Upper 1 152 100.0 100.0 40.9 32.0 39.4 Unknown 0 0 0.0 0.0 31.2 56.2 0.0 Total 1 152 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Home Improvement Loans Low 0 0 0.0 0.0 9.1 3.2 24.0 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 15.0 8.6 17.3 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 21.0 14.4 19.2 0 0 Upper 0.0 0.0 51.6 67.3 39.4 Unknown 0 0 0.0 0.0 3.3 0.0 6.4 Total 0 0 0.0 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total Home Mortgage Loans 0 Low 0 24.0 0.0 0.0 4.4 1.6 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 13.6 7.0 17.3 0 Middle 0 0.0 0.0 19.3 12.7 19.2 Upper 2 269 100.0 100.0 42.3 41.9 39.4 Unknown 0 0 0.0 0.0 20.4 36.7 0.0 Total 2 269 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0

DIS	STRIBUT	ION OF 201 SAN ANT	13 BUSINI		NS BY REV	ENUE SIZI	
Business Revenue By Size		Busines	s Loans	Aggregate CRA Data		0/ 05	
	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	% of Businesses
\$1MM or less	8	1,630	38.1	49.4	43.7	31.9	90.6
Over \$1MM	12	1,630	57.1	49.4	Not Reported		5.5
Not Known	1	40	4.8	1.2			3.9

6) 2013 Limited Review Austin, Texas Metropolitan Statistical Area Assessment Area

			USTIN AS		A NT AREA DEMOGRA	PHICS			
Income Categories		act bution		Families By Tract Income		Poverty a % of by Tract	Families By Family Income		
a with	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	35	16.1	33,201	14.9	10,880	32.8	54,973	24.6	
Moderate	55	25.2	49,197	22.0	7,633	15.5	37,874	17.0	
Middle	58	26.6	55,213	24.7	3,396	6.2	39,315	17.6	
Upper	67	30.7	85,769	38.4	2,852	3.3	91,218	40.8	
Unknown	3	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	218	100.0	223,380	100.0	24,761	11.1	223,380	100.0	
ATT TO STATE	L. J. Beral			Hous	ing Type By	Tract	100		
	Housing	Ow	ner-Occupi		Ren		Vac	ant	
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	
Low	68,485	15,543	7.6	22.7	44,286	64.7	8,656	12.6	
Moderate	100,239	40,404	19.7	40.3	50,862	50.7	8,973	9.0	
Middle	113,606	55,037	26.8	48.4	50,699	44.6	7,870	6.9	
Upper	145,261	94,519	46.0	65.1	39,512	27.2	11,230	7.7	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	427,591	205,503	100.0	48.1	185,359	43.3	36,729	8.6	
			1.5		esses By Tra			4 6 5	
	TO A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF T	sinesses Tract	Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	5,979	8.9	5,203	8.6	490	12.6	286	11.6	
Moderate	11,450	17.1	10,123	16.7	796	20.5	531	21.6	
Middle	15,494	23.2	14,115	23.3	832	21.4	547	22.2	
Upper	33,850	50.6	30,995	51.2	1,763	45.4	1,092	44.3	
Unknown	62	0.1	49	0.1	6	0.2	7	0.3	
Total AA	66,835	100.0	60,485	100.0	3,887	100.0	2,463	100.0	
Perce	nt of Total B		J 95,43	90.5	110000	5.8		3.7	
					ms By Tract		Size		
		Farms Fract	Less Th	nan or =	Over \$1		Reven	ue Not orted	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	19	2.9	17	2.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	
Moderate	111	17.2	111	17.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Middle	119	18.4	118	18.5	1	16.7	0	0.0	
Upper	397	61.5	393	61.5	3	50.0	1	100.0	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	646	100.0	639	100.0	6	100.0	1	100.0	
	Percent of To	otal Farms:		98.9		0.9		0.2	

TABLE E-6B DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY AUSTIN ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract Income Level		Bank	Loans		te HMDA ata	% of Owner-	
IIICOIIIe Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
			Home Pu	rchase Loa	ns		an appear
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.8	3.9	7.6
Moderate	1	416	50.0	71.5	19.8	13.5	19.7
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	26.8	21.8	26.8
Upper	1	166	50.0	28.5	47.7	60.7	46.0
Total	2	582	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
the Taylor St.			Home Re	finance Loa	ns		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.1	4.4	7.6
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	15.3	11.4	19.7
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	26.5	19.4	26.8
Upper	1	220	100.0	100.0	53.1	64.8	46.0
Total	1	220	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Biggame (1)	1 - 1 - 3		Home Impr	ovement Lo	pans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.3	2.6	7.6
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	15.0	8.6	19.7
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	27.4	19.7	26.8
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	52.3	69.1	46.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Multifamily	Loans			% of Multifamily Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	27.8	19.2	27.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	40.9	51.4	25.3
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	19.1	13.6	25.5
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	12.2	15.9	22.2
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Total Home	Mortgage L	oans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.5	4.9	7.6
Moderate	2	24,416	50.0	98.4	17.6	14.5	19.7
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	26.7	20.3	26.8
Upper	2	386	50.0	1.6	50.2	60.3	46.0
Total	4	24,802	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE E-6C
DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 BUSINESS LOANS
BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY
AUSTIN ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract Income Level	group out	Busines	s Loans	Aggregate	% of		
	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	7.9	8.8	8.9
Moderate	6	1,725	16.7	30.2	15.3	16.7	17.1
Middle	8	1,025	22.2	17.9	21.7	20.6	23.2
Upper	22	2,962	61.1	51.9	53.1	52.7	50.6
Unknown⁴	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1

TABLE E-6D DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY BORROWER INCOME LEVELS AUSTIN ASSESSMENT AREA

Borrow Income Level		Bank	Loans		egate A Data	% of Families		
Levei	#	\$(000s)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%		
	ALS HOW YOU	Nista native	Home Pure	hase Loan	S			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.3	1.9	24.6	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	14.9	9.1	17.0	
Middle	1	166	50.0	28.5	18.8	14.9	17.6	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	50.5	64.1	40.8	
Unknown	1	416	50.0	71.5	11.5	10.0	0.0	
Total	2	582	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
		ille en xii	Refinance	ed Loans	1830 100	lone in a fi		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.2	1.9	24.6	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	10.8	5.6	17.0	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	16.2	10.4	17.6	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	52.9	58.8	40.8	
Unknown	1	220	100.0	100.0	15.8	23.3	0,0	
Total	1	220	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
		Н	me Impro	vement Loa	ans			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.7	1.6	24.6	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	15.0	6.9	17.0	
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.6	12.5	17.6	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	57.2	76.3	40.8	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.4	2.6	0.0	
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
		Tot	al Home M	lortgage Lo	ans			
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.3	1.8	24.6	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	13.0	7.0	17.0	
Middle	1	166	25.0	0.7	17.6	12.2	17.6	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	51.7	58.6	40.8	
Unknown	3	24,636	75.0	99.3	13.4	20.4	0.0	
Total	4	24,802	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

DIS	STRIBUT		13 BUSIN	E E-6E ESS LOA SSMENT		VENUE SIZI	
Business Revenue By Size	. '	Busines	s Loans	Aggregate	% of		
	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses
\$1MM or less	24	3,426	66.7	60.0	49.2 37.9		90.5
Over \$1MM	12	2,286	33.3	40.0	Not Reported		5.8
Not Known	0	0	0.0	0.0			3.7

7) 2013 Limited Review McMullen, Texas Assessment Area

	20		LLEN AS		ENT AREA				
Income Categories	Tra Distrib			ies By ncome	Level a	< Poverty s a % of by Tract	Families By Family Income		
Was all the said Asia	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	12.2	
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	9.9	
Middle	1	100.0	172	100.0	9	5.2	69	40.1	
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	65	37.8	
Total AA	1	100.0	172	100.0	9	5.2	172	100.0	
			Eva Unit si	Hous	ing Type By	Tract		We have	
	Housing	Ow	ner-Occup			ntal	Va	cant	
	Units By Tract	#	% By Tract	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	#	% By Unit	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Middle	524	255	100.0	48.7	52	9.9	217	41.4	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	524	255	100.0	48.7	52	9.9	217	41.4	
		39.02.24	MERS WAS G	Busine	sses By Tra	act & Reveni	ue Size	a center district	
	The state of the s	Total Businesses by Tract		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Middle	42	100.0	36	100.0	2	100.0	4	100.0	
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	42	100.0	36	100.0	2	100.0	4	100.0	
Percei	nt of Total Bu	sinesses:		85.7	- a sia	4.8	11 -8 M	9.5	
	Total F			Farn	ns By Tract	& Revenue	Size		
	Total F by T			nan or = illion	Over \$	Million		nue Not orted	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Middle	14	100.0	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	14	100.0	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
F	Percent of Tot	al Farms:		100.0		0.0		0.0	

TABLE E-7B DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 HOME MORTGAGE DISCLOSURE ACT LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY MCMULLEN ASSESSMENT AREA

Census Tract		Bank	Loans		ite HMDA ata	% of Owner-	
Income Level	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Occupied Units
	na Sea TVV		Home Pur	chase Loan	ıs	Nº sina ve	
Low	. 0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	80.0	99.6	100.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.4	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		SULT FUL	Home Refi	nance Loar	าร	E E HANG	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
A 1	2 1 5 7 1		lome Impro	vement Lo	ans	The Carlos	CHARLES OF THE
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Multifamily			Tinci	% of Multifamily Units
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		To	otal Home N	lortgage Lo	ans		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	85.7	67.2	100.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	14.3	32.8	0,0
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

0.0

0.0

Low

Upper

Unknown⁴

TABLE E-7C DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 BUSINESS LOANS BY INCOME LEVEL OF GEOGRAPHY MCMULLEN ASSESSMENT AREA **Census Tract Business Loans** Aggregate CRA Data % of Income Level # \$(000) #% \$% #% \$% Businesses 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Moderate 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Middle 0 0 0.0 0.0 83.3 95.1 100.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0

0

0.0

0.0

0

0

		I OF 2013 I	HOME MO				
Borrow Income		Bank	Loans			egate A Data	% of Families
Level	#	\$(000s)	# %	\$%	#%	\$%	
			Home Pure	chase Loan	S	Merch III	1 5 1 5 5 1 mm
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	60.0	43.1	40.1
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	40.0	56.9	37.8
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		L. Hrys.	Refinanc	ced Loans		DVIE C	mile supplied in the party
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.1
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.8
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
	100 - 20	ar in the	lome Impro	vement Loa	ns	150 (150)	TO THE REAL PROPERTY.
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.1
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	37.8
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		To	otal Home N	lortgage Lo	ans	e mili	The Losson Co
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Middle	0	0	0.0	0.0	42.9	39.1	40.1
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	57.1	60.9	37.8
Total	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

DIS	STRIBUT					/ENUE SIZI	E
Business Revenue By		Business	s Loans	Aggregate CRA Data		% of	
Size	#	\$(000)	#%	\$%	#%	\$%	Businesses
\$1MM or less	0	0	0.0	0.0	25.0 89.2		85.7
Over \$1MM	0	0	0.0	0.0	Not Reported -		4.8
Not Known	0	0	0.0	0.0			9.5

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT APPENDIX F

GLOSSARY

Aggregate lending: The number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in specified income categories as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the metropolitan area/assessment area.

Census tract: A small, relatively permanent statistical subdivision of a county. Census tract boundaries do not cross county lines; however, they may cross the boundaries of metropolitan statistical areas. Census tracts are designed to be homogeneous with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions to allow for statistical comparisons and average about 4,000 inhabitants.

Community development: Includes affordable housing (including multifamily rental housing) for low- and moderate-income individuals; community services targeted to low- and moderate-income individuals; activities that promote economic development by financing businesses or farms that meet the size eligibility standards of the Small Business Administration's Development Company or Small Business Investment Company programs (13 CFR 121.301) or have gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less; or activities that revitalize or stabilize low-or moderate-income geographies. Effective September 1, 2005, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, adopted the following additional language as part of the revitalize or stabilize definition of community development. Activities that revitalize or stabilize (i) low-or moderate-income geographies; (ii) designated disaster areas; or (iii) distressed or underserved nonmetropolitan middle-income geographies designated by the Board, based on: (a) rates of poverty, unemployment, and population loss; or (b) population size, density, and dispersion. Activities that revitalize and stabilize geographies designated based on population size, density, and dispersion if they help to meet essential community needs, including needs of low- and moderate-income individuals

Consumer loan(s): A loan(s) to one or more individuals for household, family, or other personal expenditures. A consumer loan does not include a home mortgage, small business, or small farm loan. This definition includes the following categories: motor vehicle loans, credit card loans, home equity loans, other secured consumer loans, and other unsecured consumer loans.

Family: Includes a householder and one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The number of family households always equals the number of families; however, a family household may also include nonrelatives living with the family. Families are classified by type as either a married-couple family or other family, which is further classified into "male householder" (a family with a male householder and no wife present) or "female householder" (a family with a female householder and no husband present).

Full-scope review: Performance under the lending, investment and service tests is analyzed considering performance context, quantitative factors (for example, geographic distribution, borrower distribution, and total number and dollar amount of investments), and qualitative factors (for example, innovativeness, complexity and responsiveness).

Geography: A census tract delineated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in the most recent decennial census.

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act ("HMDA"): The statute that requires certain mortgage lenders that do business or have banking offices in a metropolitan statistical area to file annual summary reports of their mortgage lending activity. The reports include data such as race, gender and income of applications, amount of loan requested, and disposition of the application (for example, approved, denied, and withdrawn).

Home mortgage loans: Includes home purchase and home improvement loans as defined in the HMDA regulation. This definition also includes multifamily (five or more families) dwelling loans, loans for the purchase of manufactured homes and refinancing's of home improvement and home purchase loans.

Household: Includes all persons occupying a housing unit. Persons not living in households are classified as living in group quarters. In 100 percent tabulations, the count of households always equals the count of occupied housing units.

Limited-scope review: Performance under the lending, investment and service tests is analyzed using only quantitative factors (for example, geographic distribution, borrower distribution, total number and dollar amount of investments and branch distribution).

Low-income: Individual income that is less than 50 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is less than 50 percent, in the case of a geography.

Market share: The number of loans originated and purchased by the institution as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the metropolitan area/assessment area.

Metropolitan area ("MA"): A metropolitan statistical area (MSA) or a metropolitan division (MD) as defined by the Office of Management and Budget. A MSA is a core area containing at least one urbanized area of 50,000 or more inhabitants, together with adjacent communities having a high degree of economic and social integration with that core. A MD is a division of a MSA based on specific criteria including commuting patterns. Only a MSA that has a population of at least 2.5 million may be divided into MDs.

Middle-income: Individual income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent, in the case of a geography

Moderate-income: Individual income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent, in the case of a geography.

Multifamily: Refers to a residential structure that contains five or more units.

Other products: Includes any unreported optional category of loans for which the institution collects and maintains data for consideration during a CRA examination. Examples of such activity include consumer loans and other loan data an institution may provide concerning its lending performance.

Owner-occupied units: Includes units occupied by the owner or co-owner, even if the unit has not been fully paid for or is mortgaged.

Qualified investment: A qualified investment is defined as any lawful investment, deposit, membership share or grant that has as its primary purpose community development.

Rated area: A rated area is a state or multistate metropolitan area. For an institution with domestic branches in only one state, the institution's CRA rating would be the state rating. If an institution maintains domestic branches in more than one state, the institution will receive a rating for each state in which those branches are located. If an institution maintains domestic branches in two or more states within a multistate metropolitan area, the institution will receive a rating for the multistate metropolitan area.

Small loan(s) to business(es): A loan included in "loans to small businesses" as defined in the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income ("Call Report"). These loans have original amounts of \$1 million or less and typically are either secured by nonfarm or nonresidential real estate or are classified as commercial and industrial loans.

Small loan(s) to farm(s): A loan included in "loans to small farms" as defined in the instructions for preparation of the Call Report. These loans have original amounts of \$500,000 or less and are either secured by farmland, or are classified as loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.

Upper-income: Individual income that is more than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is more than 120 percent, in the case of a geography.