PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

August 29, 2022

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Farmers and Merchants Bank of Long Beach RSSD # 871769

> 302 Pine Avenue Long Beach, California 90802

Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco 101 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105

NOTE: This document is an evaluation of this institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution. This evaluation is not, nor should it be construed as, an assessment of the financial condition of this institution. The rating assigned to this institution does not represent an analysis, conclusion or opinion of the federal financial supervisory agency concerning the safety and soundness of this financial institution.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Institution Rating | 1 |
|---|----------|
| Institution's Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) Rating | 1 |
| Institution | 2 |
| Description of Institution | |
| Scope of Examination | |
| CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS | 4 |
| Lending Test | 4 |
| LENDING ACTIVITY | |
| ASSESSMENT AREA CONCENTRATION | 4 |
| GEOGRAPHIC AND BORROWER DISTRIBUTION | 5 |
| COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LENDING | ε |
| Investment Test | 6 |
| Service Test | 7 |
| RETAIL BANKING SERVICES | 7 |
| COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES | 7 |
| Fair Lending or Other Illegal Practices Review | 8 |
| FULL-SCOPE ASSESSMENT AREA CONCLUSIONS | 9 |
| Los Angeles–Orange Assessment Area | <u>c</u> |
| DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS IN LOS ANGELES-ORANGE | |
| CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN LOS ANGELES-ORANGE | 18 |
| LIMITED-SCOPE ASSESSMENT AREA CONCLUSIONS | 25 |
| APPENDICES | |
| | |

Appendix A: Glossary of Terms Appendix B: CRA Core Tables

Appendix C: Limited-Scope Assessment Area Market Profiles

Institution Rating

Institution's Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) Rating

Farmers and Merchants Bank of Long Beach is rated "OUTSTANDING"

The following table shows the performance level of Farmers and Merchants Bank of Long Beach with respect to the lending, investment, and service tests.

| PERFORMANCE | PERFORMANCE TESTS | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| LEVELS | LENDING TEST* | INVESTMENT TEST | Service Test | | | |
| Outstanding | x | x | | | | |
| HIGH SATISFACTORY | | | x | | | |
| Low Satisfactory | | | | | | |
| NEEDS TO IMPROVE | | | | | | |
| Substantial Noncompliance | | | | | | |

^{*}The lending test is weighted more heavily than the investment and service tests in determining the overall rating.

The major factors supporting the institution's rating include:

- Lending levels that reflect excellent responsiveness to assessment area credit needs, especially in response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 pandemic (COVID-19/pandemic).
- The bank being a leader in making community development loans.
- Extensive use of flexible lending products that enhanced performance.
- Excellent level of qualified investments that were responsive to the community development needs of the assessment areas as well as broader statewide and regional areas that include the assessment areas.
- Relatively high level of community development services.

Institution

Description of Institution

Farmers and Merchants Bank of Long Beach (FMB), with total assets of \$11.7 billion as of June 30, 2022, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Palomar Enterprises, LCC, and is headquartered in Long Beach, California. During the review period, the bank operated 25 full-service branches in Los Angeles, Orange, and Santa Barbara counties: six full-service branches in the Long Beach area in Los Angeles County, and one in each of the following cities: Corona del Mar, Downey, Fullerton, Garden Grove, Huntington Beach, Laguna Hills, Lake Forest, Lakewood, Newport Beach, Orange, Redondo Beach, Rolling Hills Estates, San Clemente, San Juan Capistrano, Santa Ana, Santa Barbara, Seal Beach, Torrance, and Tustin. On September 19, 2018, FMB established its 25th branch office in Santa Barbara, California, which resulted in an assessment area expansion into Santa Barbara County.

FMB is a full-service bank that provides a variety of financial services to businesses and consumers. The bank primarily focuses on commercial loans and offers other business credit including construction, working capital lines of credit, and equipment and accounts receivable financing. The bank also serves as a preferred Small Business Administration (SBA) guaranteed lender. Consumer product offerings consist of residential mortgages, home equity lines of credit, auto loans, credit cards and secured and unsecured personal loans. Deposit products include checking, money market and savings accounts.

Exhibit 1 below depicts FMB's loan portfolio as stated in the Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income as of March 31, 2022, and reflects the bank's commercial lending focus that constitutes 63.6 percent of the bank's total loans and leases.

| Ехнівіт 1 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| LOANS AND LEASES AS OF MARCH 31, 2022 | | | | | | | | |
| Loan Type \$ ('000s) % | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial/Industrial & | | | | | | | | |
| Non-Farm Non-Residential Real Estate | 3,484,708 | 63.6 | | | | | | |
| Secured by 1-4 Family Residential Real Estate | 649,714 | 11.9 | | | | | | |
| Farm Land & Agriculture | 536,494 | 9.8 | | | | | | |
| Consumer Loans & Credit Cards | 386,904 | 7.1 | | | | | | |
| State, Political Subdivisions and All Other | 271,468 | 4.9 | | | | | | |
| Multi-Family Residential Real Estate | 144,095 | 2.6 | | | | | | |
| Construction & Land Development | 3,929 | 0.1 | | | | | | |
| Total (Gross) | 5,477,312 | 100.00 | | | | | | |

FMB received a satisfactory performance rating at its September 17, 2018 CRA examination. During the review period for this examination, there were no legal or financial impediments that inhibited the bank's ability to meet the credit needs of its communities, consistent with its business strategy, size, financial capacity, and local economic conditions.

Scope of Examination

FMB's performance was evaluated in accordance with the Interagency Large Institution CRA Examination Procedures. As such, performance was assessed under the lending, investment, and service tests. The evaluation for small business, small farm, and home mortgage loans reviewed under the lending test was from January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2021. The evaluation period for community development loans, investments, and services was from September 18, 2018 through August 29, 2022.

The lending test, which is weighted more heavily than the investment or service tests, is based upon a review of small business, home purchase, home refinance, home improvement, multifamily, and qualified community development loans. The investment test is based on a review of qualified community development investments made during the review period or those made during a prior period that remained on the bank's books. The service test evaluation is based on a review of retail banking services and qualified community development services during the review period.

Full- and limited-scope reviews of the assessment areas were determined based on a number of factors, including the bank's presence and level of lending and deposit activity within each assessment area. The Los Angeles-Orange assessment area received a full-scope review, while the Santa Barbara assessment area received a limited-scope review.

Product specific performance under the lending test is weighted according to the bank's focus, its actual lending activity, and opportunities within the bank's assessment areas. As such, small business loans followed by home refinance loans were weighted more heavily than other loan types based on the bank's business strategy, transaction volumes and the dollar amount of loans originated or purchased. Small farm lending was not considered as it comprised only 0.1 percent of all reportable loans. All loan data is presented in the lending tables contained in Appendix B – CRA Core Tables.

In addition, three recent interviews with members of the communities in which the bank operates were reviewed in connection with this examination to better understand the credit needs and market conditions of the assessment areas. The community contacts represented organizations working in economic and community development.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS

Lending Test

FMB's overall performance under the lending test is outstanding. This assessment is attributable to excellent responsiveness to assessment area needs, good geographic lending distribution, and good penetration among borrowers of different incomes and revenue sizes. The bank exhibits an excellent record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses. In addition, the bank is a leader in making community development loans and makes extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving area credit needs.

LENDING ACTIVITY

The bank's lending levels reflect excellent responsiveness to assessment area credit needs. FMB demonstrates extensive use of flexible loan programs to help meet the credit needs in the assessment areas. The bank's overall loan distribution for small business lending has increased significantly since the previous evaluation, driven primarily by the bank's participation in the SBA Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) in response to the pandemic. In addition, the bank facilitated over 500 pandemic-related loan modifications and approximately 45 credit cardholders participated in the bank's Pandemic Hardship Program.

ASSESSMENT AREA CONCENTRATION

A substantial majority of loans by number were made within the bank's assessment areas. Exhibit 2 on the following page shows the number and dollar volume of loans extended within FMB's assessment areas during the review period. Please note, this evaluation does not include HMDA Other Purpose Closed-End loans in the lending test analysis. The tables in Appendix B contain additional information regarding assessment area-specific lending activity.

| | Ехнівіт 2 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----|------|---------|------|--|
| LENDING INSIDE AND OUTSIDE THE ASSESSMENT AREAS | | | | | | | | | |
| | JAN | UARY 1, 2 | 018 – D есемві | R 31, 202 |] | | | | |
| La ma Tama | | lı | nside | | | O | utside | | |
| Loan Type | # | % | % | # % \$('000s) | | | % | | |
| Small Business | 6,636 | 93.8 | 1,020,645 | 90.2 | 437 | 6.2 | 110,457 | 9.8 | |
| Small Farm | 6 | 60.0 | 1,691 | 60.4 | 4 | 40.0 | 1,108 | 39.6 | |
| Total Business-Related | 6,642 | 93.8 | 1,022,336 | 90.2 | 441 | 6.2 | 111,565 | 9.8 | |
| HMDA Home Purchase | 210 | 83.0 | 188,622 | 90.7 | 43 | 17.0 | 19,359 | 9.3 | |
| HMDA Refinance | 1,014 | 91.4 | 567,838 | 94.2 | 96 | 8.6 | 35,273 | 5.8 | |
| HMDA Home | 48 | 100.0 | 15,141 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Improvement | 40 | 100.0 | 15,141 | 100.0 | U | 0.0 | U | 0.0 | |
| HMDA Multifamily | 160 | 91.4 | 266,656 | 75.5 | 15 | 8.6 | 86,311 | 24.5 | |
| HMDA Other Purpose | 56 | 82.4 | 24 472 | 86.1 | 12 | 17.6 | 4 705 | 13.9 | |
| Closed-End | 50 | 02.4 | 26,672 | 00.1 | IΖ | 17.0 | 4,795 | 13.9 | |
| Total HMDA-Related | 1,488 | 90.0 | 1,067,929 | 88.0 | 166 | 10.0 | 145,738 | 12.0 | |
| Total Loans | 8,130 | 93.1 | 2,090,265 | 89.0 | 607 | 6.9 | 257,303 | 11.0 | |

GEOGRAPHIC AND BORROWER DISTRIBUTION

The bank demonstrates generally good penetration among census tracts of different income levels, customers of different income levels, and businesses of different sizes. The performance of small business loans in the Los Angeles-Orange assessment area drove the overall assessment of the bank's geographic and borrower distribution. These conclusions are based on a variety of contextual factors that affect the individual conclusions in the separate assessment areas. The facts and data supporting the overall conclusions are presented within the separate assessment area analyses.

The geographic distribution of small business lending reflects excellent penetration and home mortgage lending reflects adequate penetration throughout the bank's assessment area resulting in the overall good distribution. The distribution of small business loans reflects good penetration among businesses of different sizes, while home mortgage lending reflects adequate penetration among customers of different income levels, resulting in the overall good distribution. In addition, the bank exhibits an excellent record of serving the credit needs of very small businesses as lending to small businesses for loan amounts of \$100,000 or less accounted for 92.2 percent and 90.7 percent of originations in 2020 and 2021, respectively, during the pandemic.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LENDING

FMB is a leader in making community development loans. Overall, the bank originated 433 loans totaling \$747.9 million within the bank's delineated assessment areas. The majority of loans helped revitalize and stabilize low- and moderate-income areas and supported non-profit organizations that provide essential social services to low- and moderate-income individuals and families especially during the height of the pandemic. In addition, community development loans made by the bank financed affordable housing for low- and moderate-income individuals, which was identified as a significant need by community contacts.

Investment Test

FMB's overall performance under the investment test is outstanding. While rarely in a leadership position, the bank made an excellent level of investments. In addition, the bank exhibits excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs while making occasional use of innovative investments to support community development initiatives. Overall, the bank made \$342.1 million in qualified community development investments. This includes \$79.7 million in prior period investments and \$262.4 million in current period investments including \$55.5 million in unfunded commitments in affordable housing. FMB extended \$1.7 million in grants and donations.

Approximately \$226.3 million in current period investments benefitted nationwide, broader statewide, and regional areas that include the bank's assessment area. These activities were considered in the bank's overall performance assessment because the bank adequately engaged in qualified activities address the needs of its assessment areas. The following are two examples of investments made within the broader statewide and regional areas that include the bank's assessment areas:

- Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs) LIHTCs are an indirect Federal subsidy used to finance the development of affordable housing for low- and moderate-income families. Given the high housing cost cities in which the bank operates, LIHTCs are essential for meeting the needs of affordable housing. FMB invested \$55.5 million in unfunded commitments to LIHTCs in the current period and has approximately \$6.2 million in prior period investments.
- Small Business Investment Company (SBIC) An SBIC is a type of privately-owned investment company that is licensed by the SBA to facilitate the flow of long-term capital to small businesses. FMB made \$45.8 million in SBIC investments during the current period.

Service Test

FMB's performance under the service test is rated high satisfactory. Delivery systems are readily accessible to the bank's geographies and individuals of different income levels in its assessment area. The record of opening and closing of branches has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems. Branch hours and services do not vary in a way that inconveniences, particularly to low- and moderate-income geographies and individuals. Bank employees provided a relatively high level of community development services.

RETAIL BANKING SERVICES

FMB's delivery systems are readily accessible to essentially all portions of its assessment areas and do not vary in ways that inconvenience portions of those areas. The bank operates 25 full-service branches in Los Angeles, Orange, and Santa Barbara counties. Of these 25 branches, eight (32.0 percent) are located in low- and moderate-income census tracts. FMB opened one branch in a moderate-income census tract in the Santa Barbara County assessment area. In addition, one branch relocation occurred within the same upper-income census tract in the Los Angeles-Orange assessment area and did not adversely affect the accessibility of its delivery systems. The bank's full range of products and services are available at all branches. Many bank branches include automated teller machines, drive-through teller service, and night-drop deposit service and are open Saturdays.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

FMB provided a relatively high level of community development services that were responsive to the community development needs within its assessment areas. During the review period, employees provided 5,046 community development service hours to 129 organizations. Of the 5,046 hours, 164 community development service hours to two organizations served the broader regional and statewide area including the bank's delineated assessment area. Services provided covered a variety of community needs including free-tax assistance, vocational education, technical assistance to small businesses and non-profit organizations, and fundraising. Bank staff also provided leadership and financial expertise to community-based organizations by serving on their boards and committees. Activities specific to individual assessment areas are discussed in their respective sections of this evaluation.

Fair Lending or Other Illegal Practices Review

Concurrent with this CRA evaluation, the Federal Reserve conducted a review of the bank's compliance with consumer protection laws and regulations and found no violations of the substantive provisions of anti-discrimination, fair lending, or other illegal credit practice rules, laws or regulations that were inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs.

FULL-SCOPE ASSESSMENT AREA CONCLUSIONS

For each assessment area where a full-scope review was performed using the examination procedures.

Los Angeles-Orange Assessment Area

DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS IN LOS ANGELES-ORANGE

The Los Angeles-Orange assessment area consists of the Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) which is comprised of Los Angeles and Orange counties in their entireties. Los Angeles County is located along the Pacific Coast in Southern California, south of Kern County, east of Ventura County and west of San Bernardino County. Orange County is located south of Los Angeles County and is bordered by San Bernardino County and Riverside County to the northeast and San Diego County to the south. As of July 2021, the two-county assessment area was estimated to be home to nearly 13 million people. With a population of 9.8 million, Los Angeles County was the most populous county in California. Orange County was the third-most populous with 3.2 million people.

The Los Angeles-Orange assessment area is a highly competitive market for financial services. The June 30, 2021, Deposit Market Share Report shows that 116 federally insured financial institutions were operating 2,225 offices in the area with combined total deposits of over \$767 billion. According to that same data, FMB ranked 17th in the area with just over one percent of the deposit market share.³ The bank operates 24 of its 25 branches in Los Angeles and Orange counties.

Exhibit 3 on the following pages presents key demographic and business information used to help develop a performance context for the assessment area.

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, QuickFacts, Population Estimates, July 1, 2021; available from: www.census.gov/quickfacts/.

² Ibid.

³ Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), Summary of Deposits; available from: https://www7.fdic.gov/sod/sodMarketRpt.asp?barltem=2.

| Exhibit 3 AA Demographics Assessment Area: Los Angeles-Orange | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Income Categories | Tract Dist | | Families by Tract Income | | Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract | | Families by Family Income | |
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % |
| Low | 266 | 9.1 | 221,796 | 7.6 | 78,727 | 35.5 | 709,610 | 24.4 |
| Moderate | 821 | 28.0 | 788,614 | 27.1 | 169,096 | 21.4 | 475,277 | 16.3 |
| Middle | 760 | 25.9 | 773,794 | 26.6 | 80,644 | 10.4 | 495,608 | 17.0 |
| Upper | 1,028 | 35.1 | 1,126,100 | 38.7 | 50,469 | 4.5 | 1,233,043 | 42.3 |
| Unknown | 54 | 1.8 | 3,234 | 0.1 | 609 | 18.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total AA | 2,929 | 100.0 | 2,913,538 | 100.0 | 379,545 | 13.0 | 2,913,538 | 100.0 |
| | Haveine | | | Housin | g Type by Tra | ct | | |
| | Housing Units by | Ow | ner-occupied | | Renta | l Vac | | nt |
| | Tract | # | % by tract | % by unit | # | % by unit | # | % by unit |
| Low | 340,504 | 55,545 | 2.7 | 16.3 | 263,439 | 77.4 | 21,520 | 6.3 |
| Moderate | 1,171,395 | 366,014 | 17.6 | 31.2 | 739,185 | 63.1 | 66,196 | 5.7 |
| Middle | 1,187,608 | 572,472 | 27.5 | 48.2 | 549,469 | 46.3 | 65,667 | 5.5 |
| Upper | 1,822,595 | 1,086,537 | 52.2 | 59.6 | 622,692 | 34.2 | 113,366 | 6.2 |
| Unknown | 19,258 | 1,462 | 0.1 | 7.6 | 15,607 | 81.0 | 2,189 | 11.4 |
| Total AA | 4,541,360 | 2,082,030 | 100.0 | 45.8 | 2,190,392 | 48.2 | 268,938 | 5.9 |
| | T . ID . | | | Busine | sses by Tract 8 | & Revenu | e Size | |
| | Total Busir Tra | • | Less Than \$1 Millio | ~ - | Over \$1 Million | | Revenue Not Reported | |
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % |
| Low | 35,483 | 5.1 | 32,165 | 5.0 | 3,234 | 6.0 | 149 | 4.0 |
| Moderate | 137,790 | 19.7 | 125,467 | 19.5 | 11,738 | 21.8 | 585 | 15.5 |
| Middle | 178,205 | 25.5 | 161,632 | 25.2 | 15,743 | 29.3 | 830 | 22.0 |
| Upper | 336,989 | 48.0 | 313,217 | 48.7 | 20,677 | 38.5 | 2,095 | 55.6 |
| Unknown | 12,482 | 1.8 | 10,038 | 1.6 | 2,337 | 4.3 | 107 | 2.8 |
| Total AA | 700,014 | 100.0 | 642,519 | 100.0 | 53,729 | 100.0 | 3,766 | 100.0 |
| Perce | ntage of Total | Businesses: | | 91.8 | | 7.7 | | 0.5 |

| | | | Farms by Tract & Revenue Size | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|------------|------------------|-----|-------------------------|--|
| | Total Farms by Tract | | Less Than or = \$1 Million | | Over \$1 M | Over \$1 Million | | Revenue Not Reported | |
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | |
| Low | 62 | 2.5 | 62 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Moderate | 388 | 15.9 | 367 | 15.5 | 21 | 25.3 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Middle | 566 | 23.2 | 539 | 22.8 | 27 | 32.5 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Upper | 1,407 | 57.6 | 1,372 | 58.1 | 35 | 42.2 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Unknown | 21 | 0.9 | 21 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Total AA | 2,444 | 100.0 | 2,361 | 100.0 | 83 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Percentage of Total Farms: | | | 96.6 | | 3.4 | | 0.0 | | |

Source: 2021 Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC) Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Economic Conditions

During the review period, the economic expansion of the assessment area was abruptly halted by the COVID-19 pandemic. The subsequent recovery was steady but lagged behind the national average.

The area's economy was in the late expansion stage of the business cycle prior to the pandemic. By November 2019, the unemployment rates in both Los Angeles County and Orange County had dropped to historic lows, largely due to job gains in healthcare, construction, professional/business services, and leisure/hospitality. However, the economic fallout of the pandemic hit the assessment area harder than most regional economies. The disruption of global commerce, which was already impacted by trade wars, had a direct impact on the local economy because the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach are major economic drivers of the area. The decrease in both supply and demand for goods due to the pandemic resulted in waves of business closures in the assessment area as well as the temporary closures of some factories. Additionally, travel restrictions and the statewide lockdown halted domestic and international tourism which were heavily relied upon by the area's economy due to the presence of Disneyland, Universal Studios, and the surrounding

⁴ Moody's Precis Report, Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale CA, November 2019.

⁵ Moody's Precis Report, Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine CA, November 2019.

⁶ Moody's Precis Report, Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale CA, February 2020.

⁷ Ibid.

⁸ Moody's Precis Report, Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale CA, August 2020.

hotels, restaurants and recreational facilities. By midyear 2020, the unemployment rate of the assessment area was among the highest in the country. Los Angeles County had 17.5 percent unemployment and Orange County had 13.5 percent unemployment in July of 2020. 1011

Growth in the healthcare sector made up for slower growth elsewhere during the recovery of the local economy, partly due to a senior population that was growing more rapidly than state and national averages, as well as the resumption of healthcare services that were postponed due to the pandemic. ¹²¹³ As businesses started to reopen following the end of the lockdown, job growth in the private services industries, including the leisure/hospitality sector, resumed but was slowed by the rise of remote work. ¹⁴ The transportation/warehousing industry was also slow to recoup losses. Although cargo arrivals were near record highs by August 2021, capacity constraints, including warehouse and docking space, remained a headwind, and waves of COVID-19 variants continued to disrupt trade flows. ¹⁵¹⁶ Following the economic downturn caused by the pandemic, the area's economy remained in the recovery stage of the business cycle for the remainder of the review period.

Small Business Lending

In March of 2020, the federal PPP was launched by the Treasury Department with the goal of helping small businesses survive the pandemic-related lockdowns.¹⁷ As a result, growth in lending occurred within banks of all sizes nationwide. Overall, small banks increased lending to small businesses and small farms by an average of 23 percentage points more than expected during the first half of 2020, and lending by medium and large banks increased by 38 and 35 percentage points more than expected, respectively, during that same time period.¹⁸ According to the borrower firms that elected to report their industry, most loans under

⁹ Moody's Precis Report, Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine CA, August 2020.

¹⁰ Moody's Precis Report, Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale CA, August 2020.

¹¹ Moody's Precis Report, Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine CA, August 2020.

¹² Moody's Precis Report, Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine CA, February 2020.

¹³ Moody's Precis Report, Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale CA, August 2021.

¹⁴ Moody's Precis Report, Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine CA, November 2021.

¹⁵ Moody's Precis Report, Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale CA, November 2021.

¹⁶ Moody's Precis Report, Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale CA, August 2021.

¹⁷ Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, Economic Letter, Small Business Lending during COVID-19, November 23, 2020; available from: https://www.frbsf.org/economic-research/publications/economic-letter/2020/november/small-business-lending-during-covid-19/. ¹⁸ Ibid.

\$1 million made as of August 2020 were made to businesses in the professional, scientific, and technical services industry, followed by other services (except public administration) industry, the health care and social assistance industry, and the construction industry.¹⁹

As depicted in Exhibit 4 below, the number of small business loans originated within the assessment area increased steadily over the course of the review period and kept pace with statewide trends. Small business loans in the assessment area increased by 27.5 percent between 2018 and 2021, with the largest growth occurring in Los Angeles County. Within the State of California, loans to small businesses increased by 25.1 percent during that same period. Lending to small businesses is critical for the support of the local economy given that small businesses represented 91.8 percent of all businesses in the assessment area, as depicted in Exhibit 3 above.

| Exhibit 4 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Small Business Loan Trends | | | | | | | | | |
| Assess | Assessment Area: Los Angeles-Orange | | | | | | | | |
| Area 2018 2019 2020 2021 | | | | | | | | | |
| Los Angeles-Orange AA | 452,081 | 482,794 | 499,559 | 576,377 | | | | | |
| Los Angeles County, CA | 330,693 | 354,890 | 365,864 | 427,499 | | | | | |
| Orange County, CA | 121,388 | 127,904 | 133,695 | 148,878 | | | | | |
| California | 1,072,551 | 1,146,375 | 1,182,917 | 1,342,194 | | | | | |
| Source: FFIEC Community Reinvestment Ad | Source: FFIEC Community Reinvestment Act Aggregate Data | | | | | | | | |

Employment Statistics

Exhibit 5 on the following page reflects the unemployment rate of the bank's assessment area and the State of California. The unemployment rate in both of the assessment area's two counties experienced a sharp increase in 2020 following the pandemic before declining in 2021. While the area's combined average unemployment rate was below the state average in 2018 and 2019, recovery from the pandemic in the assessment area was slower than the state average due to the high unemployment rate of Los Angeles County.

¹⁹ Small Business Administration, Paycheck Protection Program Loan Data, 2020 Data Reports; *Borrower firms self-reported data and had the option to abstain from reporting certain details of the loan application, therefore data may not capture some details of each loan made*; available from: https://www.sba.gov/funding-programs/loans/coronavirus-relief-options/paycheck-protection-program.

| Exhibit 5 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|--------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Unemployment Rates | | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Area: Los Angeles-Orange | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 2018 2019 2020 2021 | | | | | | | | | |
| Los Angeles-Orange AA | 4.22% | 4.03% | 11.54% | 8.22% | | | | | |
| Los Angeles County, CA | 4.61% | 4.41% | 12.34% | 8.91% | | | | | |
| Orange County, CA | 2.98% | 2.84% | 9.02% | 5.97% | | | | | |
| California | 4.26% | 4.11% | 10.22% | 7.30% | | | | | |
| Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), Local Area Unemployment Statistics | | | | | | | | | |

Population Change

As of 2020, the assessment area's population was most heavily concentrated in Los Angeles County (75.9 percent), where the bank conducts most of its banking activities. Between 2015 and 2020, the overall population in the assessment area increased at a slower rate than the state as a whole, with the increase occurring in Orange County. Exhibit 6 below reflects the population changes that occurred during that timeframe.

| Exhibit 6 Population Change | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Assessment Area: Los Angeles-Orange | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 2015 Population 2020 Population Percent Change | | | | | | | | | |
| Los Angeles-Orange AA | 13,154,457 | 13,200,998 | 0.35% | | | | | | |
| Los Angeles County, CA | 10,038,388 | 10,014,009 | -0.24% | | | | | | |
| Orange County, CA | 3,116,069 | 3,186,989 | 2.28% | | | | | | |
| California | 38,421,464 | 39,538,223 | 2.91% | | | | | | |
| Source: 2020 U.S. Census Bureau Decennial Census 2011 - 2015 U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey | | | | | | | | | |

Median Family Income

Between 2015 and 2020, the median family income of the assessment area increased, although to a lesser degree than the state as a whole, with Los Angeles County experiencing the greatest increase. Exhibit 7 on the following page reflects the change in median family income that occurred during that timeframe.

| Exhibit 7 | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Median Family Income Change | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Area: Los Angeles-Orange | | | | | | | |
| 2015 Median Family 2020 Median Family Percent | | | | | | | |
| Area | Income | Income | Change | | | | |
| Los Angeles-Orange AA | \$74,040 | \$85,544 | 15.54% | | | | |
| Los Angeles County, CA | \$68,508 | \$80,317 | 17.24% | | | | |
| Orange County, CA | \$93,965 | \$106,451 | 13.29% | | | | |
| California | \$77,267 | \$89,798 | 16.22% | | | | |

Source: 2011 - 2015 U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey

2016 - 2020 U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey

Median Family Incomes have been inflation-adjusted and are expressed in 2020 dollars.

Mortgage Loan Trends

During the review period, mortgage loan originations within the assessment area increased significantly, keeping pace with the state average. Larger growth by number of loans occurred in Los Angeles County, with an increase of 226,493 mortgage loans (168 percent) originated between 2018 and 2021. Within that same timeframe, mortgage loans in Orange County and the State of California increased by 186 percent and 162 percent, respectively. Exhibit 8 below reflects mortgage loans originated by financial institutions in the assessment area and the State of California between 2018 and 2021.

| Exhibit 8 Home Mortgage Trends - 2018 and Later* Assessment Area: Los Angeles-Orange | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Area | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | | | |
| Los Angeles-Orange AA | 188,739 | 277,147 | 530,291 | 515,504 | | | |
| Los Angeles County, CA | 134,959 | 194,645 | 355,793 | 361,452 | | | |
| Orange County, CA | 53,780 | 82,502 | 174,498 | 154,052 | | | |
| California | 711,925 | 1,021,720 | 1,876,147 | 1,866,142 | | | |

Source: FFIEC Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Loan/Application Records

Table includes HMDA-reported purchases and refinances.

Housing Cost Burden

The cost burden for renters indicates a need for affordable housing in the assessment area, as a majority of renters are spending 30 percent or more of their household income on rent.

Affordable housing options for low-income individuals were scarce in both Los Angeles and Orange counties, while the most affordable housing options for moderate-income individuals

were located in Orange County. Exhibit 9 below reflects the percentages of cost burdened renters and owners within the assessment area as well as statewide.

| Exhibit 9 Housing Cost Burden Assessment Area: Los Angeles-Orange | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|----------|---------|--------|----------|--------|--|--|
| Cost Burden - Renters Cost Burden - Owners | | | | | | | | |
| | Low | Moderate | All | Low | Moderate | All | | |
| Area | Income | Income | Renters | Income | Income | Owners | | |
| Los Angeles-Orange AA | 81% | 47% | 53% | 67% | 47% | 33% | | |
| Los Angeles County, CA | 81% | 45% | 53% | 68% | 48% | 34% | | |
| Orange County, CA | 82% | 53% | 52% | 63% | 46% | 30% | | |
| California | 81% | 51% | 50% | 66% | 47% | 30% | | |

Cost Burden is housing cost that equals 30 percent or more of household income Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), 2015-2019 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy

In addition to the high percentage of cost-burdened renters and homeowners, median home prices in the assessment area trended upward during the review period. The Los Angeles County median home price increased by 46.5 percent between January 1, 2018, and December 31, 2021.²⁰ During that same period, Orange County experienced a 51.6 percent increase in median home prices, and statewide home median prices increased by 50.9 percent.²¹ Further, the median rent prices for a one-bedroom unit increased within the assessment area during the review period. Between 2018 and 2021, the median gross rent in Los Angeles County increased 15.7 percent from \$1,479 to \$1,711.²² In Orange County, the median gross rent increased 11.6 percent from \$1,872 to \$2,090 during that same period.²³

<u>Community Contacts and Community Development Opportunities</u>

The economic data described above and feedback from community contacts indicate that credit needs and community development needs are present in the assessment area.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau's Weekly Small Business Pulse Survey, as of April 17, 2022, 25.7 percent of small businesses in California were still experiencing a large negative effect on their businesses as a result of the pandemic, which was higher than the national average of

²⁰ California Association of Realtors, Historical Housing Data, Median Prices of Existing Detached Homes; available from: https://www.car.org/marketdata/data/housingdata/.

²¹ Ibid.

²² U.S. Census Bureau, Median Gross Rent, 1-Bedroom, American Community Survey, 5-year estimate; available from: https://www.census.gov/data.

²³ Ibid.

21.6 percent.²⁴ According to that same data, 44.1 percent of small businesses in California reported a moderate negative effect, which was slightly higher than the national average of 43.9 percent.²⁵ At that time, 16 percent of small businesses in California reported that they would need to attain financial assistance or additional capital within the next six months, as compared to the national average of 13.9 percent.²⁶ Despite the increase in small business lending during the pandemic as described above, survey results indicate there are unmet credit needs for small businesses.

One community contact from an employment-focused community development corporation indicated that the businesses hit hardest by the pandemic were restaurants, salons, and spas, other service businesses. The contact described a broad need for low-cost, smaller dollar loans for businesses in the assessment area that were impacted by the pandemic. Additionally, financial assistance to community development corporations in the form of grants and investments would help assist small businesses in that area that were not able to access PPP loans, as some lenders in the area were only offering these loans to existing customers, according to the contact. According to another community contact, small businesses affected by the pandemic and those forced to close their businesses were in need of education or technical assistance to identify resources available to them, for example through the SBA or other grant programs.

Two community contacts from organizations focused on affordable housing and community wealth building initiatives indicated that homebuyers in the area, particularly first-time homebuyers, were in need of smaller-dollar mortgages, as well as down payment assistance. The contacts also indicated that individuals and families are in need of education and training on topics such as financial capability and financial literacy, as well as foreclosure prevention or counseling. In addition, grants and donations provided to nonprofit organizations in the area are needed to assist the local populations unable to receive financial assistance through traditional banking channels, according to the contact.

²⁴ U.S.Census Bureau, Weekly Small Business Pulse Survey, Week 72, Collection Date April 11, 2022 – April 17, 2022; available from:

 $https://experience.arcg is.com/experience/0a2101a6bc6d41159cb0f4ee9cf38a7f/page/page_4/.$

²⁵ Ibid.

²⁶ Ibid.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN LOS ANGELES-ORANGE

Lending Test

FMB's performance under the lending test in the Los Angeles-Orange assessment area is outstanding. This assessment is attributable to excellent responsiveness to assessment area needs, good geographic lending distribution, and good penetration among borrowers of different incomes and revenue sizes. The bank exhibits an excellent record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses. In addition, the bank is a leader in making community development loans and makes extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving area credit needs.

Lending Activity

Lending levels in the Los Angeles-Orange assessment area reflect excellent responsiveness to assessment area credit needs. The bank makes extensive use of innovative and/or flexible lending programs to help meet the credit needs of low- and moderate-income borrowers and small businesses by offering PPP loans, the Bankcard Pandemic Hardship Program, and loan modifications. The volume of small business loans in 2020 and 2021 increased tenfold from the volume originated in 2019 and demonstrates the bank's excellent responsiveness in helping to serve the credit needs of very small businesses.

Lending Distribution by Geography

Overall lending distributions by geography are good. The geographic distribution of CRA-reportable lending reflects excellent penetration and HMDA-reportable lending reflects adequate penetration throughout the bank's assessment area. Home refinance loans represented over half (68.6 percent) of HMDA-reportable loans during the review period and were therefore most heavily weighted in the HMDA-reportable loan analysis. Small business loans were also given significant weight as the bank is primarily a commercial lender.

Small Business Lending

The geographic distribution of small business lending is excellent as shown in Tables 2-3 in Appendix B. Small business lending occurred in geographies of all income levels. The lending performance in 2019 exceeded that of aggregate lenders and concentration of businesses in low- and moderate-income census tracts. The lending performance for the remainder of the review period was comparable with aggregate lenders and the concentration of businesses in low- and moderate-income census tracts.

Home Mortgage Lending

Overall, the geographic distribution of home mortgage lending is adequate. As reflected in Tables 4-5 in Appendix B, lending was distributed throughout the assessment area, and loans were made within the majority of all income categories.

Home Purchase Loans

The geographic distribution of home purchase loans is adequate. FMB's 2018 and 2020 lending in low-income census tracts significantly exceeded both aggregate and the percentage of owner-occupied units, while 2019 and 2021 lending was comparable to aggregate and the percentage of owner-occupied units in the assessment area. The 2019 lending in moderate-income census tracts exceeded both aggregate and the percentage of owner-occupied units. For the remainder of the review period, the bank's lending in moderate-income census tracts was below aggregate and the percentage of owner-occupied units.

Home Refinance Loans

The geographic distribution of home refinance loans is adequate. FMB's lending during the review period in low-income census tracts was consistent with aggregate and the percentage of owner-occupied units in the assessment area. The 2019 and 2020 lending in moderate-income census tracts was comparable to aggregate but below the percentage of owner-occupied units for all four years.

Home Improvement Loans

The geographic distribution of home improvement loans is adequate. FMB's 2019 lending in low-income census tracts significantly exceeded aggregate and the percentage of owner-occupied units; however, the remainder of the review period was comparable with aggregate and the percentage of owner-occupied units in the assessment area. The 2018 and 2020 lending in moderate-income census tracts exceeded aggregate but was comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units. The 2019 lending was significantly below aggregate, while 2021 lending was comparable. For both 2019 and 2021, FMB and aggregate were below the percentage of owner-occupied units.

Multifamily Loans

The geographic distribution of multifamily loans is adequate. FMB's 2019 and 2020 lending in low-income census tracts exceeded aggregate and the percentage of owner-occupied units in the assessment area. The remainder of the review period was generally comparable to aggregate and the percentage of multi-family units. The lending in moderate-income census tracts during the review period was generally well below aggregate but comparable to the percentage of multi-family units.

Lending Distribution by Borrower Income and Business Revenue

Overall lending distributions by borrower income and business revenue are good. The distribution of CRA-reportable lending reflects good penetration, while the HMDA-reportable lending reflects adequate penetration among customers of different income levels and businesses of different sizes. Home refinance loans represented over half (68.6 percent) of HMDA-reportable loans during the review period and were therefore most heavily weighted in the HMDA-reportable loan analysis. Small business loans were also given significant weight as the bank is primarily a commercial lender.

<u>Small Business Lending</u>

The borrower distribution of small business lending is good. While overall lending levels were below the representation of small businesses and lower than that of aggregate lenders, the bank extended a very high number of small dollar loans as seen in Tables 6-7 in Appendix B. FMB responded to the need for small dollar loans by extending a majority of its loans in amounts equal to or less than \$250,000. Further, the majority of these loans were equal to or less than \$100,000, thereby addressing a stated community credit need for micro-loans to small businesses.

In 2020 and 2021, the volume of small business lending substantially increased due to the bank's participation in the SBA's PPP. In 2020, 34.2 percent of the small business loans extended by the bank did not include revenue information; however, in 2021, only 2.0 percent of loans did not include revenue information. Limited borrower information was collected due to the nature of the PPP requirements, and therefore reported revenue was not available for most PPP loans. In 2018, a majority of small business loans were in amounts more than \$250,00, however in 2019–2021, the bank originated a majority or a substantial majority of loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less and were equal to or less than \$100,000.

Home Mortgage Lending

Overall, the borrower distribution of home mortgage lending is adequate. As depicted in Tables 8-9 in Appendix B, FMB's borrower distribution is comparable to aggregate; however, both are generally below the percentages of low- and moderate-income families in the assessment area. As previously mentioned, affordable housing is scarce within the assessment area and home prices increased significantly during this review period.

Home Purchase Loans

The borrower distribution of home purchase loans is adequate. FMB's lending to low- and moderate-income borrowers during the review period was comparable to aggregate. Both FMB and aggregate were significantly below the percentages of low- and moderate-income families in the assessment area.

Home Refinance Loans

The borrower distribution of home refinance loans is adequate. FMB's lending to low- and moderate-income borrowers during the review period was comparable to aggregate. Both FMB and aggregate were significantly below the percentages of low- and moderate-income families in the assessment area.

Home Improvement Loans

The borrower distribution of home improvement loans is good. FMB's lending to low- and moderate-income borrowers during the review period generally exceeded aggregate. Both FMB and aggregate were significantly below the percentages of low- and moderate-income families in the assessment area.

Community Development Lending

FMB is a leader in making community development loans in the assessment area. The bank made 423 loans totaling \$704.2 million, that provided funding for 11,521 units of affordable housing and helped create or retain 9,144 jobs. A substantial majority of the community development loans extended by the bank helped stabilize and revitalize low- and moderate-income areas, some of which were particularly impactful in helping to stabilize geographies during the economic disruptions caused by COVID-19. Loans were also made to finance affordable housing for low-and moderate-income individuals, which was identified as a significant need, particularly in FMB's high-cost assessment area.

Of the 423 community development loans, 212 were PPP loans in amounts less than \$1 million to non-profit organizations meeting qualified community development purposes ineligible to be reported as small business loans. These PPP loans provided financing for the retention of approximately 3,646 jobs and helped keep organizations operational that provided services to low- and moderate- income individuals and families during the pandemic.

The following are examples of FMB's community development lending:

- A \$4.5 million loan to stabilize and revitalize a high school located in a moderateincome census tract.
- A \$2.4 million loan to support the property acquisition and development of affordable housing for families, seniors, and those with special needs.
- A \$1.6 million loan to support a non-profit organization carry out their mission of housing the homeless and those deemed particularly vulnerable to the impacts of the pandemic.

Investment Test

The bank made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, rarely in a leadership position. The bank makes occasional use of innovative or complex investments and exhibits excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs. As seen on Table 18 in Appendix B, \$108.2 million was directly invested in the Los Angeles-Orange assessment area. This total includes \$34.7 million in new investments, \$73.5 million in prior period investments that remained on the bank's books. During the review period, investments were made in LIHTCs, Municipal Bonds, and New Market Tax Credits, often benefiting a broader regional area as previously mentioned. The bank's investments directly benefit the assessment area by developing or maintaining more than 630 units of affordable housing for low- and moderate-income individuals and families. These investments were responsive to area needs as identified by community contacts such as affordable housing, grants for small businesses during the pandemic. In addition, FMB made 466 donations totaling \$1.7 million during the review period. This total includes \$293,300 in affiliate qualified community development donations made by The Farmers and Merchants Bank Foundation. Due to the pandemic and cancelled fundraising opportunities, the bank converted many sponsorships into donations to help non-profit organizations maintain operations during the pandemic.

The following are examples of notable investments:

- A \$3.9 million investment in a Federal National Mortgage Association pool to finance the acquisition, rehabilitation and development of a 500-unit multi-family affordable apartment complex located in a low-income census tract.
- A 1.1 million investment in a Municipal Bond for revitalizing an area community college that approximately 70 percent of students receive financial aid.
- A \$50,000 donation to an economic development organization to expand financial opportunities for under-invested entrepreneurs and small businesses.

Service Test

FMB's performance under the service test is rated high satisfactory. Delivery systems are readily accessible to the bank's geographies and individuals of different income levels in its assessment area. The record of opening and closing of branches has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems. Branch hours and services do not vary in a way that inconveniences, particularly to low- and moderate-income geographies and individuals. Bank employees provided a relatively high level of community development services.

Retail Banking Services

FMB's delivery systems are readily accessible to essentially all geographies and individuals of different income levels within its assessment area. During the review period, the bank operated 24 offices consisting of 11 full-service branches in Los Angeles County and 13 full-service branches in Orange County. The bank's branch network included seven offices, or 29.2 percent, located in low- and moderate-income census tracts. There were no branch openings or closings during the review period. One branch relocation occurred within the same upper-income census tract and did not adversely affect the accessibility of its delivery systems. Many bank branches include automated teller machines, drive-through teller service, and night-drop deposit service and are open Saturdays. Differences in hours and services between offices do not vary in a way that inconveniences its assessment area, particularly to low- and moderate-income individuals or geographies.

FMB's other delivery systems, including telephone banking, online, text and mobile banking and remote deposit capture, provide access to banking after normal business hours. Many bank staff speak languages that are reflective of its branch communities and thereby provide greater access to banking services for customers who may not speak English. Specifically, there are 337 bank employees who can communicate in two or more languages, including but not limited to Spanish, Tagalog, Korean, Chinese, Japanese, Vietnamese, and Cambodian, among a total of 44 languages spoken by bank staff.

Community Development Services

Bank employees provided a relatively high level of community development services that were responsive to community development needs throughout its assessment area. Specifically, bank employees supported 122 organizations by providing a total of 4,735 qualified service hours. Most services hours benefited community services to low- and moderate-income individuals, followed by economic development for small businesses. These services addressed identified community needs mentioned previously. Lastly, a significant number of service hours provided by FMB were in leadership positions as board and committee members. The following are notable examples of community development services provided by FMB employees:

- A total of 224 service hours serving on the board of directors and providing financial expertise to an organization serving low- and moderate-income senior citizens.
- A total of 180 service hours serving on the finance committee of an organization supporting economic development for small businesses. The organization focuses on underserved small businesses and provides capital, advocacy, and business advising.
- A total of 105 service hours serving on the fundraising and events committee of an organization providing mental health services to under-served youth.
- A total of 93 service hours providing financial literacy to low- and moderate-income students through an organization providing educational and scholarship programs to area youth.

LIMITED-SCOPE ASSESSMENT AREA CONCLUSIONS

For each assessment area where a limited-scope review was performed using the examination procedures.

FMB's performance in the assessment area receiving a limited review is below the performance in the assessment area receiving a full-scope review. Due to the bank's smaller presence in this market and lower percentages of loans and deposits, the limited-scope assessment area received less weight than the full-scope areas. Performance in this area did not materially affect the bank's overall lending, investment, and service test performance assessments. FMB operates one branch located in a moderate-income census tract in Santa Barbara, California. Facts and data reviewed, including performance and demographic information, can be found in the tables accompanying this report.

| Ехнівіт 10 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| LIMITED-SCOPE ASSESSMENT AREA CONCLUSIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| ASSESSMENT AREA | LENDING TEST | INVESTMENT TEST | SERVICE TEST | | | | | | | |
| Santa Barbara County | Below | Below | Below | | | | | | | |

During the review period, FMB originated ten community development loans totaling \$43.7 million. In addition, one investment in the amount of \$1.3 million and six grants totaling \$37,000 were provided in the Santa Barbara County assessment area. FMB bank employees supported five organizations by providing a total of 148 community development service hours.

APPENDIX A

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Aggregate lending: The number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in specified income categories as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the metropolitan area/assessment area.

Census tract: A small subdivision of metropolitan and other densely populated counties. Census tract boundaries do not cross county lines; however, they may cross the boundaries of metropolitan statistical areas. Census tracts usually have between 2,500 and 8,000 persons, and their physical size varies widely depending upon population density. Census tracts are designed to be homogeneous with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions to allow for statistical comparisons.

Community development: All Agencies have adopted the following language. Affordable housing (including multifamily rental housing) for low- or moderate-income individuals; community services targeted to low- or moderate-income individuals; activities that promote economic development by financing businesses or farms that meet the size eligibility standards of the Small Business Administration's Development Company or Small Business Investment Company programs (13 CFR 121.301) or have gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less; or, activities that revitalize or stabilize low- or moderate-income geographies.

Effective September 1, 2005, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation have adopted the following additional language as part of the revitalize or stabilize definition of community development. Activities that revitalize or stabilize:

- (i) Low-or moderate-income geographies;
- (ii) Designated disaster areas; or
- (iii) Distressed or underserved nonmetropolitan middle-income geographies designated by the Board, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, based on:
 - a. Rates of poverty, unemployment, and population loss; or
 - b. Population size, density, and dispersion. Activities that revitalize and stabilize geographies designated based on population size, density, and dispersion if they help to meet essential community needs, including needs of low- and moderate-income individuals.

Consumer loan(s): A loan(s) to one or more individuals for household, family, or other personal expenditures. A consumer loan does not include a home mortgage, small business, or small farm loan. This definition includes the following categories: motor vehicle loans, credit card loans, home equity loans, other secured consumer loans, and other unsecured consumer loans.

Family: Includes a householder and one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The number of family households always equals the number of families; however, a family household may also include non-relatives living with the family. Families are classified by type as either a married-couple family or other family, which is further classified into 'male householder' (a family with a male householder and no wife present) or 'female householder' (a family with a female householder and no husband present).

Full-scope review: Performance under the lending and community development tests is analyzed considering performance context, quantitative factors (for example, geographic distribution, borrower distribution, and total number and dollar amount of investments), and qualitative factors (for example, responsiveness).

Geography: A census tract delineated by the United States Bureau of the Census in the most recent decennial census.

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA): The statute that requires certain mortgage lenders that do business or have banking offices in a metropolitan statistical area to file annual summary reports of their mortgage lending activity. The reports include such data as the race, gender, and the income of applications, the amount of loan requested, and the disposition of the application (for example, approved, denied, and withdrawn).

Home mortgage loans: Includes home purchase and home improvement loans as defined in the HMDA regulation. This definition also includes multifamily (five or more families) dwelling loans, loans for the purchase of manufactured homes and refinancings of home improvement and home purchase loans.

Household: Includes all persons occupying a housing unit. Persons not living in households are classified as living in group quarters. In 100 percent tabulations, the count of households always equals the count of occupied housing units.

Limited-scope review: Performance under the lending and community development tests is analyzed using only quantitative factors (for example, geographic distribution, borrower distribution, total number and dollar amount of investments, and branch distribution).

Low-income: Individual income that is less than 50 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is less than 50 percent, in the case of a geography.

Market share: The number of loans originated and purchased by the institution as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the metropolitan area/assessment area.

Metropolitan area (MA): A metropolitan statistical area (MSA) or a metropolitan division (MD) as defined by the Office of Management and Budget. A MSA is a core area containing at least one urbanized area of 50,000 or more inhabitants, together with adjacent communities having a high degree of economic and social integration with that core. A MD is a division of a MSA based on specific criteria including commuting patterns. Only a MSA that has a population of at least 2.5 million may be divided into MDs.

Middle-income: Individual income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent, in the case of a geography.

Moderate-income: Individual income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent, in the case of a geography.

Multifamily: Refers to a residential structure that contains five or more units.

Other products: Includes any unreported optional category of loans for which the institution collects and maintains data for consideration during a CRA examination. Examples of such activity include consumer loans and other loan data an institution may provide concerning its lending performance.

Owner-occupied units: Includes units occupied by the owner or co-owner, even if the unit has not been fully paid for or is mortgaged.

Qualified investment: A qualified investment is defined as any lawful investment, deposit, membership share, or grant that has as its primary purpose community development.

Rated area: A rated area is a state or multistate metropolitan area. For an institution with domestic branches in only one state, the institution's CRA rating would be the state rating. If an institution maintains domestic branches in more than one state, the institution will receive a rating for each state in which those branches are located. If an institution maintains domestic branches in two or more states within a multistate metropolitan area, the institution will receive a rating for the multistate metropolitan area.

Small loan(s) to business(es): A loan included in 'loans to small businesses' as defined in the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report) and the Thrift Financial Reporting (TFR) instructions. These loans have original amounts of \$1 million or less and typically are either secured by nonfarm or nonresidential real estate or are classified as commercial and industrial loans. However, thrift institutions may also exercise the option to report loans secured by nonfarm residential real estate as "small business loans" if the loans are reported on the TFR as nonmortgage, commercial loans.

Small loan(s) to farm(s): A loan included in 'loans to small farms' as defined in the instructions for preparation of the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report). These loans have original amounts of \$500,000 or less and are either secured by farmland, or are classified as loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.

Upper-income: Individual income that is more than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is more than 120 percent, in the case of a geography.

APPENDIX B

CRA CORE TABLES

| Table 1 | Lending Volume of Loans |
|----------------------|--|
| Table 2 Table 3 | Distribution of 2018 and 2019 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography Distribution of 2020 and 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography Los Angeles-Orange Assessment Area |
| Table 4 Table 5 | Distribution of 2018 and 2019 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography Distribution of 2020 and 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography Los Angeles-Orange Assessment Area |
| Table 6 Table 7 | Distribution of 2018 and 2019 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses Distribution of 2020 and 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses Los Angeles-Orange Assessment Area |
| Table 8 Table 9 | Distribution of 2018 and 2019 Home Mortgage Lending By Borrower Income Level Distribution of 2020 and 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Borrower Income Level Los Angeles-Orange Assessment Area |
| Table 10 Table 11 | Distribution of 2018 and 2019 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography Distribution of 2020 and 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography Santa Barbara Assessment Area |
| Table 12 Table 13 | Distribution of 2018 and 2019 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography Distribution of 2020 and 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography Santa Barbara Assessment Area |
| Table 14 Table 15 | Distribution of 2018 and 2019 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses Distribution of 2020 and 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses Santa Barbara Assessment Area |
| Table 16 Table 17 | Distribution of 2018 and 2019 Home Mortgage Lending By Borrower Income Level Distribution of 2020 and 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Borrower Income Level Santa Barbara Assessment Area |

- Table 18 Qualified Investments
- Table 19 Distribution of Branch Delivery System and Branch Openings/Closings

${\bf FARMERS\ AND\ MERCHANTS\ OF\ LONG\ BEACH,\ Long\ Beach,\ California}$

| Table 1 - Lending Volume of Loans Lending Test Evaluation Period: January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2021 Community Development Loans Evaluation Period: September 18, 2018 to August 29, 2022 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------|------------------|--------|------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|--|--|
| Assessment Areas | % of Rated Area | | Business oans | Home N | Nortgage | Community Development Loans | | Total Loans | | % of Rated Area | | |
| | Loans | # | \$(000's) | # | \$ (000's) | # | \$(000's) | # | \$(000's) | Deposits | | |
| Full Scope | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Los Angeles – Orange | 93.5 | 6,539 | 1,005,962 | 1,448 | 999,783 | 423 | 704,278 | 8,410 | 1,810,023 | 99 | | |
| Limited Scope | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Santa Barbara | 6.5 | 97 | 14,683 | 40 | 68,146 | 10 | 43,716 | 147 | 126,545 | 1 | | |

FARMERS AND MERCHANTS OF LONG BEACH, Long Beach, California

Table 2 - Distribution of 2018 and 2019 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Los Angeles-Orange

| | | Bank And Aggregate Loans By Year | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----|----------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|------|-------|----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Geographic | | 2018 | | | | | | | 2019 | | | | | | |
| Income Level | | | | Bank A | | Agg | Bank | | Agg Bank | | Agg | % | | | |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | | | |
| Low | 16 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 7,632 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 29 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 9,961 | 9.2 | 5.6 | 5.1 | | |
| Moderate | 57 | 19.9 | 19.1 | 26,493 | 21.4 | 20.5 | 93 | 23.2 | 19.3 | 25,363 | 23.4 | 20.2 | 19.7 | | |
| Middle | 94 | 32.8 | 25.3 | 41,873 | 33.8 | 26.6 | 133 | 33.2 | 25.1 | 32,999 | 30.4 | 26.3 | 25.6 | | |
| Upper | 110 | 38.3 | 48.8 | 45,270 | 36.5 | 44.2 | 135 | 33.7 | 48.4 | 37,918 | 34.9 | 44.5 | 47.8 | | |
| Unknown | 10 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 2,793 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 11 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 2,336 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.8 | | |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | | | |
| Total | 287 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 124,061 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 401 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 108,577 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |

Source: 2019 FFIEC Census Data

2019 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

FARMERS AND MERCHANTS OF LONG BEACH, Long Beach, California

 ${\bf Table\,3-Distribution\,of\,2020\,and\,2021\,Small\,Business\,Lending\,By\,Income\,Level\,of\,Geography}$

Assessment Area: Los Angeles-Orange

| | | Bank And Aggregate Loans By Year | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------|----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Geographic | | 2020 | | | | | | | 2021 | | | | | | |
| Income Level | Ва | Bank Agg | | Banl | Bank Agg | | Bank | | Agg Banl | | < | Agg | % | | |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | | | |
| Low | 198 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 26,000 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 107 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 18,926 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.1 | | |
| Moderate | 770 | 20.8 | 19.3 | 97,587 | 21.9 | 20.0 | 432 | 20.1 | 19.8 | 60,022 | 18.3 | 20.2 | 19.7 | | |
| Middle | 1,045 | 28.2 | 25.1 | 151,778 | 34.1 | 27.6 | 582 | 27.1 | 25.4 | 109,396 | 33.4 | 26.7 | 25.5 | | |
| Upper | 1,587 | 42.9 | 48.4 | 155760 | 35.0 | 44.1 | 978 | 45.5 | 48.1 | 129,240 | 39.4 | 44.7 | 48.0 | | |
| Unknown | 103 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 14,367 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 49 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 10,248 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 1.8 | | |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | | | |
| Total | 3,703 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 445,492 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2,148 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 327,832 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

| Table 4 - Distribu Assessment Area | | | | e Mortgag | ge Lendin | g By Income Lo | evel of Ge | eography | ′ | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|------------|----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|------------------|
| | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | Ban | k And Aggreg | ate Loans | By Year | | | | | |
| Geographic | | | | 2018 | | | | | | 2019 | | | Owner |
| Income Level | Ва | nk | Agg | Bai | nk | Agg | Ва | nk | Agg | Bai | nk | Agg | Occupied Units % |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | 76 |
| | | | | | | Home Purcl | hase Loar | าร | | | | | |
| Low | 6 | 10.0 | 3.4 | 3,341 | 7.8 | 2.4 | 1 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 563 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.7 |
| Moderate | 7 | 11.7 | 18.6 | 3,236 | 7.5 | 12.9 | 11 | 21.2 | 18.5 | 5,000 | 11.9 | 12.9 | 17.6 |
| Middle | 9 | 15.0 | 26.8 | 3,463 | 8.0 | 21.3 | 10 | 19.2 | 27.2 | 5,286 | 12.5 | 21.8 | 27.5 |
| Upper | 38 | 63.3 | 50.1 | 33,062 | 76.7 | 62.1 | 30 | 57.7 | 50.4 | 31,310 | 74.3 | 61.8 | 52.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 60 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 43,102 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 52 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 42,159 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | Refinanc | e Loans | | | | | | |
| Low | 6 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 1,601 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 4 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 654 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 2.7 |
| Moderate | 14 | 8.2 | 19.1 | 3,245 | 3.4 | 13.7 | 25 | 14.8 | 16.5 | 8,423 | 8.6 | 11.8 | 17.6 |
| Middle | 39 | 22.8 | 28.1 | 25,327 | 26.2 | 22.6 | 25 | 14.8 | 27.6 | 9,745 | 9.9 | 22.1 | 27.5 |
| Upper | 112 | 65.5 | 49.6 | 66,447 | 68.8 | 61.3 | 115 | 68.0 | 53.0 | 79,428 | 80.8 | 63.9 | 52.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 171 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96,620 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 169 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98,250 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | Home Improv | ement Lo | ans | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2 | 12.5 | 1.7 | 3,238 | 52.9 | 1.8 | 2.7 |
| Moderate | 2 | 18.2 | 13.5 | 720 | 29.4 | 11.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 17.6 |
| Middle | 3 | 27.3 | 25.2 | 530 | 21.6 | 20.3 | 4 | 25.0 | 25.3 | 764 | 12.5 | 20.7 | 27.5 |
| Upper | 6 | 54.5 | 59.2 | 1,200 | 49.0 | 66.2 | 10 | 62.5 | 60.0 | 2,114 | 34.6 | 67.0 | 52.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2,450 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 16 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6,116 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

| | | | | | | Mult | tifamily Lo | oans | | | | | Multi-family Units % |
|-----------|-----|-------|-------|---------|-------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------------------------|
| Low | 4 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 4,000 | 6.3 | 9.1 | 4 | 22.2 | 15.0 | 3,140 | 13.7 | 10.5 | 12.4 |
| Moderate | 11 | 28.9 | 38.4 | 29,642 | 46.9 | 29.8 | 5 | 27.8 | 37.0 | 3,581 | 15.6 | 30.3 | 31.2 |
| Middle | 9 | 23.7 | 22.9 | 9,656 | 15.3 | 28.1 | 4 | 22.2 | 22.1 | 9,535 | 41.5 | 24.1 | 23.6 |
| Upper | 14 | 36.8 | 22.7 | 19,892 | 31.5 | 28.8 | 5 | 27.8 | 25.3 | 6,716 | 29.2 | 33.4 | 31.6 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 38 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 63,190 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 18 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 22,972 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | Total Hon | ne Mortgo | age Loans | s | | | | Owner Occupied Units % |
| Low | 16 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 8,942 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 11 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 7,595 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Moderate | 37 | 12.6 | 18.4 | 37,629 | 17.7 | 15.2 | 42 | 15.4 | 17.1 | 17,854 | 10.0 | 13.8 | 17.6 |
| Middle | 62 | 21.1 | 26.9 | 40,234 | 18.9 | 22.4 | 45 | 16.5 | 27.1 | 25,780 | 14.4 | 22.0 | 27.5 |
| Upper | 179 | 60.9 | 50.8 | 125,754 | 59.2 | 58.1 | 175 | 64.1 | 52.5 | 127,619 | 71.4 | 60.7 | 52.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Tract onk | U | 0.0 | 0.0 | · | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | |

Source: 2019 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

| Table 5 - Distribu Assessment Area | | | | ne Mortga | ge Lendin | ig By Income L | evel of G | eograph | у | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|---------|-------|---------|-------|-------|----------------|
| | | | | | Ban | k And Aggreg | ate Loans | By Year | | | | | _ |
| Geographic | | | | 2020 | | | | | | 2021 | | | Owner |
| Income Level | Ва | nk | Agg | Bai | nk | Agg | Ba | nk | Agg | Baı | nk | Agg | Occupied Units |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | /• |
| | | | | | | Home Purcl | hase Loai | าร | | | | | |
| Low | 6 | 10.7 | 2.7 | 5,947 | 11.9 | 1.9 | 1 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 535 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.7 |
| Moderate | 5 | 8.9 | 16.4 | 2,339 | 4.7 | 11.4 | 3 | 10.3 | 16.6 | 890 | 2.3 | 11.4 | 17.6 |
| Middle | 10 | 17.9 | 26.8 | 5,235 | 10.5 | 21.4 | 5 | 17.2 | 26.4 | 2,875 | 7.5 | 20.8 | 27.5 |
| Upper | 33 | 58.9 | 53.0 | 34,707 | 69.3 | 64.1 | 20 | 69.0 | 53.1 | 33,995 | 88.8 | 64.7 | 52.2 |
| Unknown | 2 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 1,845 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 56 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50,073 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 29 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 38,295 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | Refinanc | e Loans | | | | | | |
| Low | 5 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1,410 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 660 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 2.7 |
| Moderate | 50 | 12.9 | 14.4 | 15,691 | 9.0 | 11.2 | 26 | 9.8 | 15.9 | 9,094 | 5.3 | 11.9 | 17.6 |
| Middle | 86 | 22.1 | 27.2 | 28,208 | 16.2 | 23.1 | 56 | 21.1 | 27.2 | 23,487 | 13.6 | 22.2 | 27.5 |
| Upper | 248 | 63.8 | 56.2 | 128,470 | 73.9 | 63.7 | 181 | 68.3 | 54.1 | 139,589 | 80.8 | 63.6 | 52.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 389 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 173,779 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 265 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 172,830 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | Home Improv | ement Lo | ans | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 2.7 |
| Moderate | 2 | 20.0 | 11.6 | 630 | 27.2 | 9.7 | 1 | 9.1 | 11.7 | 880 | 20.7 | 9.9 | 17.6 |
| Middle | 1 | 10.0 | 23.6 | 50 | 2.2 | 20.3 | 3 | 27.3 | 25.7 | 1,760 | 41.3 | 21.4 | 27.5 |
| Upper | 7 | 70.0 | 63.4 | 1,635 | 70.6 | 68.6 | 7 | 63.6 | 61.0 | 1,620 | 38.0 | 67.2 | 52.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 10 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2,315 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 4,260 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

| | | | | | | Mult | ifamily L | oans | | | | | Multi-family Units % |
|----------------------|-----|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|
| Low | 6 | 21.4 | 15.7 | 8,970 | 31.1 | 11.3 | 10 | 14.1 | 15.1 | 13,130 | 10.4 | 12.8 | 12.4 |
| Moderate | 10 | 35.7 | 36.1 | 10,330 | 35.8 | 32.1 | 19 | 26.8 | 37.1 | 37,118 | 29.4 | 30.2 | 31.2 |
| Middle | 7 | 25.0 | 23.1 | 4,232 | 14.7 | 24.8 | 12 | 16.9 | 22.2 | 23,673 | 18.8 | 26.7 | 23.6 |
| Upper | 5 | 17.9 | 24.6 | 5,285 | 18.3 | 30.9 | 30 | 42.3 | 25.1 | 52,331 | 41.4 | 29.3 | 31.6 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 28 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 28,817 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 71 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 126,252 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | Owner Occupied Units % | | | |
| Low | 17 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 16,327 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 13 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 14,325 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| Moderate | 68 | 13.8 | 14.8 | 29,348 | 11.3 | 12.2 | 49 | 12.6 | 16.1 | 47,982 | 13.7 | 12.7 | 17.6 |
| Middle | 106 | 21.5 | 26.9 | 38,775 | 15.0 | 22.7 | 79 | 20.3 | 26.9 | 53,680 | 15.4 | 21.9 | 27.5 |
| Upper | 299 | 60.8 | 55.7 | 172,470 | 66.7 | 62.4 | 248 | 63.8 | 54.0 | 233,624 | 66.8 | 62.3 | 52.2 |
| | 2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1,845 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Unknown | 2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1,043 | 0.7 | 0.5 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Unknown Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

${\bf FARMERS\ AND\ MERCHANTS\ OF\ LONG\ BEACH,\ Long\ Beach,\ California}$

| Table 6 - Distril | | | | | iness Lend | ing By Revenue | Size of | Business | es | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----|-------|-------|---------|------------|-----------------|----------|------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|------------------|
| | | | | | Вс | ank And Aggre | gate Loc | ans By Ye | ear | | | | |
| | | | | 2018 | | | | | | 2019 | | | Total Businesses |
| | Е | Bank | Agg | Ва | ınk | Agg | Во | ank | Agg | Ва | nk | Agg | % |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | |
| | | | | | | Ву | Revenu | e | | • | | | |
| \$1 Million or Less | 115 | 40.1 | 46.8 | 51,453 | 41.5 | 31.5 | 172 | 42.9 | 50.8 | 42,224 | 38.9 | 32.9 | 91.3 |
| Over \$1 Million | 150 | 52.3 | | 62,028 | 50.0 | | 167 | 41.6 | | 54,520 | 50.2 | | 8.1 |
| Revenue Unknown | 22 | 7.7 | | 10,580 | 8.5 | | 62 | 15.5 | | 11,833 | 10.9 | | 0.5 |
| Total | 287 | 100.0 | | 124,061 | 100.0 | | 401 | 100.0 | | 108,577 | 100.0 | | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | By I | oan Siz | e | | | | | |
| \$100,000 or Less | 44 | 15.3 | 95.9 | 2,968 | 2.4 | 49.3 | 209 | 52.1 | 96.0 | 5,982 | 5.5 | 50.1 | |
| \$100,001 - \$250,000 | 65 | 22.6 | 2.2 | 12,439 | 10.0 | 12.6 | 36 | 9.0 | 2.2 | 7,466 | 6.9 | 12.9 | |
| \$250,001 – \$1 Million | 178 | 62.0 | 1.9 | 108,654 | 87.6 | 38.1 | 156 | 38.9 | 1.9 | 95,129 | 87.6 | 37.0 | |
| Total | 287 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 124,061 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 401 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 108,577 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | | | | | By L | oan Size and Re | evenues | \$1 Millio | n or Les | s | | | |
| \$100,000 or Less | 14 | 12.2 | | 830 | 1.6 | | 103 | 59.9 | | 2,374 | 5.6 | | |
| \$100,001 - \$250,000 | 20 | 17.4 | | 3,456 | 6.7 | | 8 | 4.7 | | 1,604 | 3.8 | | |
| \$250,001 – \$1 Million | 81 | 70.4 | | 47,167 | 91.7 | | 61 | 35.5 | | 38,246 | 90.6 | | |
| Total | 115 | 100.0 | | 51,453 | 100.0 | | 172 | 100.0 | | 42,224 | 100.0 | | |

Source: 2019 FFIEC Census Data

2019 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

${\bf FARMERS\ AND\ MERCHANTS\ OF\ LONG\ BEACH,\ Long\ Beach,\ California}$

| | | | | | ness Le | nding By Reven | ue Size | of Busin | esses | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|---------|--------|---------|---------|----------------|----------|-------------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|------------------|
| Assessment A | rea: Los | Angeles | -Orang | je | | Danie Anal A | | D V | V | | | | |
| | | | | 2020 | | Bank And Aggre | egate L | oans By | rear | 2021 | | | Total Businesses |
| | Вс | ank | Agg | Bank | (| Agg | В | ank | Agg | Ban | k | Agg | % |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | |
| | | | | | | В | y Reve | nue | | | | | L |
| \$1 Million or Less | 1,338 | 36.1 | 41.7 | 77,217 | 17.3 | 24.1 | 1,149 | 53.5 | 44.5 | 79,432 | 24.2 | 25.6 | 91.8 |
| Over \$1 Million | 1,097 | 29.6 | | 231,135 | 51.9 | | 957 | 44.6 | | 235,612 | 71.9 | | 7.7 |
| Revenue Unknown | 1,268 | 34.2 | | 137,140 | 30.8 | | 42 | 2.0 | | 12,788 | 3.9 | | 0.5 |
| Total | 3,703 | 100.0 | | 445,492 | 100.0 | | 2,148 | 100.0 | | 327,832 | 100.0 | | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | В | y Loan : | Size | | | | | |
| \$100,000 or Less | 2,605 | 70.3 | 90.1 | 88,065 | 19.8 | 37.8 | 1,388 | 64.6 | 93.6 | 47,221 | 14.4 | 43.8 | |
| \$100,001 - \$250,000 | 588 | 15.9 | 5.9 | 96,936 | 21.8 | 19.4 | 362 | 16.9 | 3.8 | 58,649 | 17.9 | 16.8 | |
| \$250,001 – \$1 Million | 510 | 13.8 | 4.1 | 260,491 | 58.5 | 42.8 | 398 | 18.5 | 2.6 | 221,962 | 67.7 | 39.4 | |
| Total | 3,703 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 445,492 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2,148 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 327,832 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | | | | | Ву | Loan Size and | Revenu | ues \$1 Mil | lion or L | ess | | | |
| \$100,000 or Less | 1,233 | 92.2 | | 32,762 | 42.4 | | 1,042 | 90.7 | | 27,666 | 34.8 | | |
| \$100,001 - \$250,000 | 43 | 3.2 | | 6,862 | 8.9 | | 31 | 2.7 | | 4,485 | 5.6 | | |
| \$250,001 – \$1 Million | 62 | 4.6 | | 37,593 | 48.7 | | 76 | 6.6 | | 47,281 | 59.5 | | |
| Total | 1,338 | 100.0 | | 77,217 | 100.0 | | 1,149 | 100.0 | | 79,432 | 100.0 | | |

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding

| | | | | | Bank Ar | nd Aggreg | gate Loans | By Year | | | | | |
|--------------|-----|-------|-------|---------|---------|-----------|------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--------------------|
| Borrower | | | 2018 | | | | | | 20 | 019 | | | Families by |
| Income Level | Bar | ık | Agg | Bar | nk | Agg | Bar | nk | Agg | Bar | ık | Agg | Family Income % |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | income /s |
| | | | | | Н | ome Purcl | hase Loans | 1 | | | | | |
| Low | 1 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 260 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 24.4 |
| Moderate | 1 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 239 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 2 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 1,175 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 16.3 |
| Middle | 2 | 3.3 | 12.9 | 490 | 1.1 | 7.9 | 2 | 3.8 | 14.0 | 969 | 2.3 | 8.7 | 17.C |
| Upper | 41 | 68.3 | 63.7 | 28,472 | 66.1 | 72.6 | 34 | 65.4 | 63.8 | 22,342 | 53.0 | 72.4 | 42.3 |
| Unknown | 15 | 25.0 | 17.4 | 13,641 | 31.6 | 16.3 | 14 | 26.9 | 16.8 | 17,673 | 41.9 | 16.4 | 0.0 |
| Total | 60 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 43,102 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 52 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 42,159 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | Refinanc | e Loans | | | | | | |
| Low | 12 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 3,380 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 10 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 1,585 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 24.4 |
| Moderate | 15 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 3,823 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 19 | 11.2 | 7.0 | 4,677 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 16.3 |
| Middle | 23 | 13.5 | 17.6 | 5,228 | 5.4 | 12.5 | 27 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 9,456 | 9.6 | 11.1 | 17.C |
| Upper | 105 | 61.4 | 54.9 | 67,685 | 70.1 | 64.5 | 97 | 57.4 | 58.7 | 69,100 | 70.3 | 67.4 | 42.3 |
| Unknown | 16 | 9.4 | 13.1 | 16,504 | 17.1 | 14.6 | 16 | 9.5 | 15.4 | 13,432 | 13.7 | 16.2 | 0.0 |
| Total | 171 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96,620 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 169 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98,250 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | Hon | ne Improv | ement Loa | ns | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 70 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 24.4 |
| Moderate | 4 | 36.4 | 5.8 | 485 | 19.8 | 3.8 | 1 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 100 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 16.3 |
| Middle | 3 | 27.3 | 13.9 | 550 | 22.4 | 9.3 | 4 | 25.0 | 14.9 | 730 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 17.C |
| Upper | 3 | 27.3 | 71.4 | 995 | 40.6 | 72.7 | 7 | 43.8 | 72.3 | 4,367 | 71.4 | 74.9 | 42.3 |
| Unknown | 1 | 9.1 | 6.5 | 420 | 17.1 | 12.5 | 3 | 18.8 | 3.8 | 849 | 13.9 | 7.8 | 0.0 |
| Total | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2,450 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 16 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6,116 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

| | | | | | Tota | Home M | ortgage Lo | ans | | | | | |
|----------|-----|-------|-------|---------|-------|--------|------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Low | 13 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 3,640 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 11 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 1,655 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 24.4 |
| Moderate | 20 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 4,547 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 26 | 10.2 | 6.2 | 6,738 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 16.3 |
| Middle | 28 | 10.9 | 14.8 | 6,268 | 4.2 | 9.6 | 35 | 13.7 | 15.2 | 12,622 | 8.1 | 10.0 | 17.0 |
| Upper | 163 | 63.7 | 59.6 | 104,349 | 69.9 | 68.3 | 150 | 58.8 | 60.7 | 102,907 | 66.0 | 69.0 | 42.3 |
| Unknown | 32 | 12.5 | 15.6 | 30,565 | 20.5 | 16.6 | 33 | 12.9 | 15.8 | 31,954 | 20.5 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
| Total | 256 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 149,369 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 255 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 155,876 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: 2019 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

| | | | | | Bank And | Aggrego | ite Loans B | y Year | | | | | |
|--------------|-----|-------|-------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--------------------|
| Borrower | | | 2020 | | | | | | 20 | 21 | | | Families by |
| Income Level | Bar | nk | Agg | Ba | nk | Agg | Bar | ık | Agg | Bar | nk | Agg | Family Income % |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | ilicollie / |
| | | | | | Hor | ne Purcho | ase Loans | | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 24.4 |
| Moderate | 2 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 540 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 16.3 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 2 | 6.9 | 13.7 | 791 | 2.1 | 8.4 | 17.C |
| Upper | 36 | 64.3 | 65.6 | 29,385 | 58.7 | 74.2 | 11 | 37.9 | 63.7 | 11,769 | 30.7 | 72.1 | 42.3 |
| Unknown | 18 | 32.1 | 12.2 | 20,148 | 40.2 | 12.5 | 16 | 55.2 | 16.4 | 25,735 | 67.2 | 16.4 | 0.0 |
| Total | 56 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50,073 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 29 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 38,295 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | F | Refinance | Loans | | | | | | |
| Low | 11 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2,044 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 11 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 2,206 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 24.4 |
| Moderate | 43 | 11.1 | 7.8 | 11,802 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 31 | 11.7 | 9.1 | 7,501 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 16.3 |
| Middle | 67 | 17.2 | 18.1 | 20,087 | 11.6 | 14.0 | 45 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18,127 | 10.5 | 13.3 | 17.0 |
| Upper | 213 | 54.8 | 56.4 | 115,510 | 66.5 | 63.7 | 147 | 55.5 | 53.3 | 120,625 | 69.8 | 62.7 | 42.3 |
| Unknown | 55 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 24,336 | 14.0 | 15.6 | 31 | 11.7 | 16.1 | 24,371 | 14.1 | 16.5 | 0.0 |
| Total | 389 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 173,779 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 265 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 172,830 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | Home | Improve | ment Loans | 3 | | | | | |
| Low | 1 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 300 | 13.0 | 1.9 | 1 | 9.1 | 4.1 | 300 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 24.4 |
| Moderate | 1 | 10.0 | 6.6 | 50 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 2 | 18.2 | 6.2 | 500 | 11.7 | 4.4 | 16.3 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 1 | 9.1 | 15.3 | 165 | 3.9 | 11.3 | 17.C |
| Upper | 6 | 60.0 | 69.2 | 1,285 | 55.5 | 69.2 | 5 | 45.5 | 68.8 | 2,620 | 61.5 | 70.1 | 42.3 |
| Unknown | 2 | 20.0 | 6.2 | 680 | 29.4 | 11.2 | 2 | 18.2 | 5.5 | 675 | 15.8 | 10.7 | 0.0 |
| Total | 10 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2,315 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 4,260 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

| | | | | | Total H | lome Mor | tgage Loa | ns | | | | | |
|----------|-----|-------|-------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Low | 12 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2,344 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 12 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2,506 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 24.4 |
| Moderate | 48 | 10.3 | 7.3 | 13,100 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 37 | 11.6 | 8.0 | 9,516 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 16.3 |
| Middle | 68 | 14.7 | 17.4 | 20,487 | 8.9 | 12.9 | 49 | 15.4 | 16.9 | 19,148 | 8.6 | 11.7 | 17.0 |
| Upper | 261 | 56.3 | 58.0 | 148,853 | 64.7 | 65.8 | 170 | 53.5 | 56.1 | 140,612 | 63.0 | 65.5 | 42.3 |
| Unknown | 75 | 16.2 | 14.7 | 45,164 | 19.6 | 15.4 | 50 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 51,577 | 23.1 | 16.6 | 0.0 |
| Total | 464 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 229,948 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 318 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 223,359 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

Table 10 - Distribution of 2018 and 2019 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography

Assessment Area: Santa Barbara

| | | | | | Bank A | nd Aggreg | ate Loai | ns By Yea | r | | | | |
|--------------|----|-------|-------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|------------------|
| Geographic | | | 2 | :018 | | | | | | 2019 | | | Total Businesses |
| Income Level | Ва | nk | Agg | Bar | nk | Agg | Во | ank | Agg | Bar | nk | Agg | % |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 5.1 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 33.4 | 4 | 50.0 | 29.4 | 200 | 32.0 | 34.2 | 29.9 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 24.8 | 1 | 12.5 | 24.8 | 30 | 4.8 | 24.4 | 25.5 |
| Upper | 1 | 100.0 | 38.6 | 200 | 100.0 | 34.6 | 3 | 37.5 | 38.8 | 395 | 63.2 | 34.1 | 38.9 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | |
| Total | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 625 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: 2019 FFIEC Census Data

2019 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Table 11 - Distribution of 2020 and 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography

Assessment Area: Santa Barbara

| | | | | | Bank A | nd Aggreg | ate Loai | ns By Yea | r | | | | |
|--------------|----|-------|-------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|------------------|
| Geographic | | | 2 | 020 | | | | | | 2021 | | | Total Businesses |
| Income Level | Ва | nk | Agg | Bar | nk | Agg | Вс | ank | Agg | Bar | nk | Agg | % |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 1 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 950 | 11.2 | 3.7 | 5.1 |
| Moderate | 22 | 45.8 | 30.8 | 3,904 | 72.8 | 36.6 | 15 | 37.5 | 29.4 | 3,312 | 39.0 | 35.2 | 30.2 |
| Middle | 11 | 22.9 | 24.8 | 999 | 18.6 | 26.8 | 10 | 25.0 | 25.1 | 670 | 7.9 | 26.2 | 25.5 |
| Upper | 15 | 31.3 | 39.2 | 458 | 8.5 | 31.3 | 14 | 35.0 | 40.2 | 3,565 | 42.0 | 33.4 | 38.7 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | |
| Total | 48 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5,361 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 40 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 8,497 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

| Table 12 - Distribu Assessment Area: | | | 9 Home N | Nortgage Le | ending By | Income l | evel of Ge | ography | | | | | |
|---|-----|-------|----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------------------|
| | | | | | Bank Ar | nd Aggreg | gate Loans | By Year | | | | | _ |
| Geographic | | | 20 | 18 | | | | | 20 | 019 | | | Owner |
| Income Level | Bar | nk | Agg | Ban | ık | Agg | Bar | nk | Agg | Bar | ık | Agg | Occupied Units % |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | Offics 78 |
| | | | | | H | ome Purc | hase Loans | i | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 3.1 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 2 | 40.0 | 21.2 | 1,367 | 41.3 | 15.1 | 15.6 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 38.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 29.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 36.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 34.1 |
| Upper | 1 | 100.0 | 34.7 | 500 | 100.0 | 51.9 | 3 | 60.0 | 38.0 | 1,940 | 58.7 | 55.6 | 47.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 500 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3,307 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| <u>.</u> | | | | | | Refinanc | e Loans | | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 3.1 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 2 | 33.3 | 17.0 | 1,764 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 15.6 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 24.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 34.1 |
| Upper | 0 | 0.0 | 42.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 60.8 | 4 | 66.7 | 43.5 | 14,725 | 89.3 | 55.5 | 47.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 16,489 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | Hon | ne Improv | ement Loa | ns | | | ' | " | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.1 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 15.6 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 30.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 34.1 |
| Upper | 0 | 0.0 | 57.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 67.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 54.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 68.2 | 47.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

| | | | | | | Multifo | amily Loans | i | | | | | Multi-family Units % |
|-----------|---|-------|-------|-----|-------|----------|------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------------------------------|
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 27.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 22.8 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 26.6 | 31.3 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 26.8 | 1 | 100.0 | 23.8 | 1,550 | 100.0 | 25.9 | 20.4 |
| Upper | 0 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 25.4 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1,550 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | То | tal Home | M ortgage | Loans | | | | | Owner Occupied Units % |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 3.1 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 4 | 33.3 | 18.5 | 3,131 | 14.7 | 12.6 | 15.6 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 35.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 1 | 8.3 | 35.8 | 1,550 | 7.3 | 29.4 | 34.1 |
| Upper | 1 | 100.0 | 40.4 | 500 | 100.0 | 55.1 | 7 | 58.3 | 42.2 | 16,665 | 78.1 | 55.6 | 47.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 500 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 12 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 21,346 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: 2019 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

| | | | | | Bank Ar | nd Aggreg | ate Loans | By Year | | | | | |
|--------------|-----|-------|-------|---------|---------|-----------|------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|-------|------------------|
| Geographic | | | 20 | 20 | | | | | 20 | 021 | | | Owner |
| Income Level | Bar | nk | Agg | Bar | ık | Agg | Bar | ık | Agg | Bar | ık | Agg | Occupied Units % |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | Offics 76 |
| | | | | | H | ome Purcl | nase Loans | | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
| Moderate | 1 | 50.0 | 20.1 | 1,341 | 70.9 | 14.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 15.6 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 35.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 2 | 40.0 | 35.6 | 703 | 7.6 | 24.4 | 34.1 |
| Upper | 1 | 50.0 | 41.5 | 550 | 29.1 | 60.7 | 3 | 60.0 | 42.2 | 8,592 | 92.4 | 61.7 | 47.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1,891 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 9,295 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | Refinanc | e Loans | | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 3.1 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 2 | 22.2 | 17.5 | 1,827 | 23.0 | 12.5 | 15.6 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 36.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 28.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 34.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 25.2 | 34.1 |
| Upper | 5 | 100.0 | 44.9 | 1,933 | 100.0 | 56.9 | 7 | 77.8 | 45.2 | 6,110 | 77.0 | 60.5 | 47.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1,933 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7,937 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | Hon | ne Improv | ement Loa | ns | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.1 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 15.6 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 27.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 34.1 |
| Upper | 0 | 0.0 | 63.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 67.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 60.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 76.0 | 47.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

| | | | | | | Multifo | amily Loans | i | | | | | Multi-family Units % |
|-----------|----|-------|-------|--------|-------|----------|------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------------------------------|
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 22.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 28.3 | 1 | 50.0 | 28.1 | 2,575 | 63.2 | 29.4 | 22.8 |
| Moderate | 1 | 50.0 | 35.4 | 18,000 | 90.9 | 38.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 27.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 31.3 |
| Middle | 1 | 50.0 | 26.6 | 1,800 | 9.1 | 25.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.4 |
| Upper | 0 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 1 | 50.0 | 26.3 | 1,500 | 36.8 | 22.0 | 25.4 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 19,800 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 4,075 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | To | tal Home | M ortgage | Loans | | | | | Owner Occupied Units % |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 2,575 | 12.1 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
| Moderate | 2 | 18.2 | 16.9 | 19,341 | 77.4 | 13.7 | 2 | 12.5 | 17.2 | 1,827 | 8.6 | 12.3 | 15.6 |
| Middle | 2 | 18.2 | 35.5 | 2,440 | 9.8 | 26.6 | 2 | 12.5 | 33.7 | 703 | 3.3 | 23.9 | 34.1 |
| Upper | 7 | 63.6 | 44.7 | 3,212 | 12.9 | 57.5 | 11 | 68.8 | 45.5 | 16,202 | 76.0 | 61.3 | 47.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tract-Unk | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 24,993 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 16 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 21,307 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

| Table 14 - Distributi | ion of 20 | 018 and 2 | 019 Smc | all Busines | s Lending | By Revenue S | ize of Bus | inesses | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|-----------|---------------|------------|--------------|-------|---------|-------|----------|--------------|
| Assessment Area: S | Santa Bo | arbara | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | Bar | nk And Aggreg | jate Loan | s By Year | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | 1 | 2018 | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | 2 | 2019 | | <u> </u> | Total |
| | Вс | ank | Agg | Bai | nk | Agg | Bai | nk | Agg | Ban | ık | Agg | Businesses % |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | |
| | | | | | | By Rev | /enue | | | | | | |
| \$1 Million or Less | 1 | 100.0 | 40.1 | 200 | 100.0 | 30.2 | 4 | 50.0 | 44.5 | 445 | 71.2 | 32.1 | 92.0 |
| Over \$1 Million | 0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0.0 | | 7.6 |
| Revenue Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0.0 | | 4 | 50.0 | | 180 | 28.8 | | 0.4 |
| Total | 1 | 100.0 | | 200 | 100.0 | | 8 | 100.0 | | 625 | 100.0 | | 100.0 |
| . | | | | | | By Loa | ın Size | | | | | | |
| \$100,000 or Less | 0 | 0.0 | 94.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 42.7 | 6 | 75.0 | 95.6 | 280 | 44.8 | 48.4 | |
| \$100,001 - \$250,000 | 1 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 200 | 100.0 | 14.9 | 2 | 25.0 | 2.5 | 345 | 55.2 | 14.0 | |
| \$250,001 – \$1 Million | 0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 37.6 | |
| Total | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 625 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | | | | | By Loan | Size and Reve | nues \$1 M | lillion or L | ess | | | | |
| \$100,000 or Less | 0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0.0 | | 2 | 50.0 | | 100 | 22.5 | | |
| \$100,001 - \$250,000 | 1 | 100.0 | | 200 | 100.0 | | 2 | 50.0 | | 345 | 77.5 | | |
| \$250,001 – \$1 Million | 0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total | 1 | 100.0 | | 200 | 100.0 | | 4 | 100.0 | | 445 | 100.0 | | |

Source: 2019 FFIEC Census Data

2019 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

| Table 15 - Distribut | | | 2021 Sm | all Busines | ss Lending | g By Revenue S | Size of Bu | sinesses | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|--------|---------|-------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--------------|
| Assessment Area: | Santa Be | arbara | | | Bar | nk And Aggreg | ıate Loan | s By Year | | | | | |
| | | | | 2020 | | | , 410 20 411 | , | | 2021 | | | Total |
| | В | ank | Agg | Ва | nk | Agg | Ba | nk | Agg | Bar | nk | Agg | Businesses % |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | |
| | | | | | | By Rev | /enue | | | | | | |
| \$1 Million or Less | 27 | 56.3 | 32.5 | 3,276 | 61.1 | 20.9 | 30 | 75.0 | 43.3 | 4,711 | 55.4 | 28.3 | 92.0 |
| Over \$1 Million | 1 | 2.1 | | 510 | 9.5 | | 10 | 25.0 | | 3,786 | 44.6 | | 7.5 |
| Revenue Unknown | 20 | 41.7 | | 1,575 | 29.4 | | 0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0.0 | | 0.5 |
| Total | 48 | 100.0 | | 5,361 | 100.0 | | 40 | 100.0 | | 8,497 | 100.0 | | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | By Loa | n Size | | | | | | |
| \$100,000 or Less | 39 | 81.3 | 87.7 | 1,004 | 18.7 | 33.8 | 24 | 60.0 | 91.5 | 622 | 7.3 | 37.8 | |
| \$100,001 - \$250,000 | 4 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 643 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 7 | 17.5 | 5.1 | 1,217 | 14.3 | 19.4 | |
| \$250,001 – \$1 Million | 5 | 10.4 | 5.0 | 3,714 | 69.3 | 45.2 | 9 | 22.5 | 3.4 | 6,658 | 78.4 | 42.8 | |
| Total | 48 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5,361 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 40 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 8,497 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | | | | | By Loan | Size and Reve | nues \$1 M | lillion or L | .ess | | | | |
| \$100,000 or Less | 23 | 85.2 | | 491 | 15.0 | | 22 | 73.3 | | 521 | 11.1 | | |
| \$100,001 - \$250,000 | 1 | 3.7 | | 140 | 4.3 | | 3 | 10.0 | | 452 | 9.6 | | |
| \$250,001 – \$1 Million | 3 | 11.1 | | 2,645 | 80.7 | | 5 | 16.7 | | 3,738 | 79.3 | | |
| Total | 27 | 100.0 | | 3,276 | 100.0 | | 30 | 100.0 | | 4,711 | 100.0 | | |

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

| _ | | | | | Bank A | And Agg | regate | Loans By | Year | | | | |
|-----------------|---|-------|-------|---------|--------|---------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--------------------|
| Borrower | | | : | 2018 | | | | | | 2019 | | | Families by |
| Income Level | В | ank | Agg | | Bank | Agg | Во | ank | Agg | Banl | K | Agg | Family Income % |
| Level | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | 76 |
| | | | | | | Home | e Purcho | ase Loans | 1 | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1 | 20.0 | 0.8 | 1,110 | 33.6 | 0.4 | 23.4 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 16.8 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 2 | 40.0 | 21.0 | 1,373 | 41.5 | 12.5 | 18.2 |
| Upper | 1 | 100.0 | 55.7 | 500 | 100.0 | 68.1 | 2 | 40.0 | 57.0 | 824 | 24.9 | 69.9 | 41.6 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 14.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 0.0 |
| Total | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 500 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3,307 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | Re | finance | Loans | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 23.4 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 13.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 2 | 33.3 | 8.3 | 5,224 | 31.7 | 3.9 | 16.8 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 19.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 1 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 500 | 3.0 | 9.2 | 18.2 |
| Upper | 0 | 0.0 | 50.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 66.0 | 3 | 50.0 | 53.6 | 10,765 | 65.3 | 59.1 | 41.6 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 25.8 | 0.0 |
| Total | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 16,489 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | Home I | mprove | ment Loa | ns | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 23.4 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 16.8 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 18.2 |
| Upper | 0 | 0.0 | 66.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 73.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 69.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 78.2 | 41.6 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 |
| Total | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

| | | | | | | | Tota | l Home N | Nortgag | je Loans | | | |
|----------|---|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|------|----------|----------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1 | 9.1 | 2.5 | 1,110 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 23.4 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 2 | 18.2 | 7.7 | 5,224 | 26.4 | 3.6 | 16.8 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 3 | 27.3 | 17.9 | 1,873 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 18.2 |
| Upper | 1 | 100.0 | 53.5 | 500 | 100.0 | 66.9 | 5 | 45.5 | 55.1 | 11,589 | 58.5 | 63.1 | 41.6 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 0.0 |
| Total | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 500 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 19,796 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: 2019 FFIEC Census Data

2011–2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

| | | | | | Bank A | nd Aggı | regate Lo | ans By Ye | ear | | | | |
|--------------|----|-------|-------|---------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-----------------|
| Borrower | | | 2020 |) | | | | - | | 2021 | | | Families by |
| Income Level | Ba | nk | Agg | В | ank | Agg | Ba | nk | Agg | Ва | nk | Agg | Family Income % |
| | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | # | #% | #% | \$(000) | \$% | \$% | |
| | | | | | | Home | Purchase | Loans | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 23.4 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 16.8 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 19.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 18.2 |
| Upper | 0 | 0.0 | 56.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 68.1 | 2 | 40.0 | 54.1 | 3,092 | 33.3 | 65.2 | 41.6 |
| Unknown | 2 | 100.0 | 10.8 | 1,891 | 100.0 | 13.7 | 3 | 60.0 | 15.8 | 6,203 | 66.7 | 19.2 | 0.0 |
| Total | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1,891 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 9,295 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | Refi | inance Lo | ans | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 23.4 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 16.8 |
| Middle | 2 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 1,070 | 55.4 | 15.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 18.2 |
| Upper | 3 | 60.0 | 49.5 | 863 | 44.6 | 59.3 | 8 | 88.9 | 48.1 | 6,437 | 81.1 | 59.6 | 41.6 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 1 | 11.1 | 17.0 | 1,500 | 18.9 | 18.2 | 0.0 |
| Total | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1,933 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7,937 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | ŀ | Home Im | proveme | nt Loans | | | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 23.4 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 16.8 |
| Middle | 0 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 18.2 |
| Upper | 0 | 0.0 | 65.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 73.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 68.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 74.2 | 41.6 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 |
| Total | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

| | | | | | | | Total I | Home M | ortgage | Loans | | | |
|---|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|---------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Low | 0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 23.4 |
| Moderate 0 0.0 9.9 0 0.0 5.5 0 0.0 11.0 0 0.0 5.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Middle | 2 | 22.2 | 20.0 | 1,070 | 20.6 | 14.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 18.2 |
| Upper | 5 | 55.6 | 51.2 | 2,232 | 43.0 | 61.4 | 10 | 71.4 | 50.4 | 9,529 | 55.3 | 61.7 | 41.6 |
| Unknown | 2 | 22.2 | 16.4 | 1,891 | 36.4 | 17.7 | 4 | 28.6 | 16.5 | 7,703 | 44.7 | 18.7 | 0.0 |
| Total | 9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5,193 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 17,232 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

| Table 18 - Qualified Inves | tments | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----|------------------------|----|-----------------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| Evaluation Period: Septer | mber 18, 2018 to | August 29, 202 | 2 | | | | | 1 | |
| Assessment Areas | Prior Period | Investments | | ent Period estments | T | otal Investment | ts | Unfunde | d Commitments |
| | # | \$(000) | # | \$(000) | # | \$(000) | % of Total | # | \$ (000's) |
| Full Scope | | | | | | | | | |
| Los Angeles - Orange | 35 | 73,544 | 18 | 34,704 | 53 | 108,248 | 31.6 | 0 | 0 |
| Broader Regional and Statewide | 1 | 2,120 | 14 | 69,687 | 15 | 71,807 | 21.0 | 2 | 55,513 |
| Nationwide | 1 | 4,080 | 26 | 156,690 | 27 | 160,770 | 47.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Limited Scope | · | | | | | | | | |
| Santa Baraba | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,317 | 1 | 1,317 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |

${\bf FARMERS\ AND\ MERCHANTS\ OF\ LONG\ BEACH,\ Long\ Beach,\ California}$

| | Distribution n Period: Se | | | | | :h Openi | ings/Closi | ings | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------|-----|-------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----|--------|------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Deposits | | | Branch | es | | | Br | anch Op | ening | s / Clo | sings | | | P | opulat | ion |
| Assessment Areas | % of Rated | # of Bank | % of Rated Area | | | Branch f Geogr | - | # of Branch | # of Branch | | hange Branch | | | % of l | • | ation v eograj | vithin each |
| | Deposits in AA | Branches | Branches in AA | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | Openings | Closings | | Mod | Mid | Upp | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp |
| Full Scope | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Los Angeles - Orange | 99.0 | 24 | 96.0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.6 | 28.9 | 23.9 | 35.0 |
| Limited Sco | рре | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Santa Barbara | 1.0 | 1 | 4.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12.2 | 25.8 | 29.3 | 32.0 |

¹ Does not include % unknown

APPENDIX C

LIMITED-SCOPE ASSESSMENT AREA MARKET PROFILES

All demographic and economic information in this appendix originates from one of the following sources:

U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Resident Population Estimates for States and Counties, July 1, 2022; available from: https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/popest/technical-documentation/research/evaluation-estimates/2020-evaluation-estimates/2010s-counties-total.html.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Deposit Market Share Report, June 30, 2021; available from: https://www7.fdic.gov/sod/sodMarketBank.asp.

FFIEC Adjusted Median Family Income; available from: https://www.ffiec.gov/Medianincome.htm.

Santa Barbara Assessment Area

The Santa Barbara assessment area consists of Santa Barbara County, which comprises the Santa Maria-Santa Barbara Metropolitan Statistical Area. Santa Barbara County is located along the Pacific Coast in Southern California, south of San Luis Obispo County, and West of Ventura County. As of July 2022, the assessment area was estimated to be home to 443,837 people.¹

FMB maintains a small presence with one full-service branch located in Santa Barbara County. As of June 30, 2021, there were 20 financial institutions operating 90 branches in the assessment area with \$16.9 billion in total deposits. According to that same data, Farmers and Merchants ranked 18th with 0.29 percent of the deposit market share.

The table on the following page presents key demographic and business information concerning the assessment area.

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, QuickFacts, Population Estimates, July 1, 2022; available from: www.census.gov/quickfacts/.

| | | | | able 11 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Income Categories | 2021 Sar Tract Distribution | | Families by Tract Income | | Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract | | Families by Family Income | | |
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | |
| Low | 8 | 8.9 | 8,221 | 8.8 | 2,339 | 28.5 | 21,869 | 23.4 | |
| Moderate | 23 | 25.6 | 22,949 | 24.6 | 4,197 | 18.3 | 15,701 | 16.8 | |
| Middle | 23 | 25.6 | 27,423 | 29.3 | 1,606 | 5.9 | 17,013 | 18.2 | |
| Upper | 32 | 35.6 | 34,850 | 37.3 | 1,240 | 3.6 | 38,890 | 41.6 | |
| Unknown | 4 | 4.4 | 30 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Total AA | 90 | 100.0 | 93,473 | 100.0 | 9,382 | 10.0 | 93,473 | 100.0 | |
| | Housing Type by Tract | | | | | | | | |
| | Housing Units by | | Owner-occup | | Rei | ntal | Vacant | | |
| | Tract | # | % by tract | % by unit | # | % by unit | # | % by unit | |
| Low | 14,466 | 2,286 | 3.1 | 15.8 | 11,378 | 78.7 | 802 | 5.5 | |
| Moderate | 36,611 | 11,539 | 15.6 | 31.5 | 22,384 | 61.1 | 2,688 | 7.3 | |
| Middle | 44,310 | 25,270 | 34.1 | 57.0 | 16,525 | 37.3 | 2,515 | 5.7 | |
| Upper | 58,678 | 34,988 | 47.2 | 59.6 | 18,307 | 31.2 | 5,383 | 9.2 | |
| Unknown | 70 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36 | 51.4 | 34 | 48.6 | |
| Total AA | 154,135 | 74,083 | 100.0 | 48.1 | 68,630 | 44.5 | 11,422 | 7.4 | |
| Total Busine Tract | | : | | Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size | | | | | |
| | | • | Less Than or = \$1 Million | | Over \$1 Million | | Revenue Not Reported | | |
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | |
| Low | 1,131 | 5.1 | 1,064 | 5.2 | 60 | 3.6 | 7 | 6.2 | |
| Moderate | 6,747 | 30.2 | 6,066 | 29.5 | 655 | 39.3 | 26 | 23.0 | |
| Middle | 5,696 | 25.5 | 5,198 | 25.3 | 469 | 28.2 | 29 | 25.7 | |
| Upper | 8,641 | 38.7 | 8,144 | 39.6 | 446 | 26.8 | 51 | 45.1 | |
| Unknown | 120 | 0.5 | 84 | 0.4 | 36 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Total AA | 22,335 | 100.0 | 20,556 | 100.0 | 1,666 | 100.0 | 113 | 100.0 | |
| Percentage of Total Businesses: | | | | 92.0 | | 7.5 | | 0.5 | |

| | Total Farms by Tract | | Farms by Tract & Revenue Size | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------|-------|----------------------|-----|--|
| | | | Less Than or = \$1 Million | | Over \$1 Million | | Revenue Not Reported | | |
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | |
| Low | 26 | 4.2 | 18 | 3.3 | 8 | 12.7 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Moderate | 93 | 15.1 | 84 | 15.2 | 9 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Middle | 148 | 24.1 | 127 | 23.0 | 21 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Upper | 346 | 56.4 | 321 | 58.3 | 25 | 39.7 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Unknown | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Total AA | 614 | 100.0 | 551 | 100.0 | 63 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Percentage of Total Farms: | | | | 89.7 | | 10.3 | | 0.0 | |

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data 2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data